

Name:

Address:

Organization:

## AGREEMENT ON THE CONSERVATION OF AFRICAN-EURASIAN MIGRATORY WATERBIRDS

# AEWA Lesser White-fronted Goose International Working Group - NATIONAL REPORT -

Please send the completed form to Nina Mikander, Coordinator for the Lesser White-fronted Goose at the UNEP/AEWA Secretariat by Friday the 29<sup>th</sup> of October at the latest. Email: nmikander@unep.de / Tel: +49 (0)228 815 2452 / Fax: +49 (0)228 815 2450 LWfG Single Species Action Plan online in ENGLISH: http://www.unep-aewa.org/activities/working\_groups/lwfg\_ssap\_130109.pdf LWfG Single Species Action Plan online in RUSSIAN:  $http://www.unep-aewa.org/activities/working\_groups/lwfg/lwfg\_ssap\_russ.pdf$ NOTE: THIS DRAFT REPORTING FORMAT WILL BE REVIEWED AND APPROVED BY THE LWFG RANGE STATES AT THE 1st WORKING GROUP MEETING IN HELSINKI ON THE 30<sup>TH</sup> NOVEMBER - 1<sup>ST</sup> DECEMBER 2010. - Please use the grey fields for answers and comments. -1. GENERAL INFORMATION **COUNTRY** Sweden Contracting Party to AEWA:  $\boxtimes$ Yes No **NATIONAL FOCAL POINT** Per Sjögren-Gulve Name: Organization: The Swedish Environmental Protection Agency Address: SE-106 48 Stockholm, Sweden E-mail: per.sjogren-gulve@naturvardsverket.se Phone: +46-10 698 1446, Fax: +46-10 698 1042 Phone & Fax: **NATIONAL EXPERT** 

Swedish Association for Hunting and Wildlife Management

Åke Andersson

Ringgatan 39C, SE-752 17 Uppsala

E-mail:	ake_a(	@tele2.se				
Phone & Fax:	Phone	Phones: +46 18320584, +46 703300635				
<b>DATE</b> of submission						
<b>DATE</b> (submission of pr	evious report)					
GENERAL INFORMATION	– FIELD FOR ADI	DITIONAL INFORM	ATION (optional):			
2. STATUS UPDATE						
2.1. SPECIES STATUS -BRE	EDING (pairs)					
Latest population estimate	e:	Year	2009			
		Minimum	15			
		Maximum	25			
Population trend:	increasing					
Source(s) of information: Counts of pairs in breeding	g areas and fami	lies at staging local	ities			
Not applicable	☐ No info	ormation				
2.2. SPECIES STATUS - PAS	SSING (individua	ıls)				
Latest population estimate	e:	Year	2009			
		Minimum	75			
		Maximum	100			
Population trend:	increasing					
Source(s) of information: Counts on staging areas o and Report system for bird						
Not applicable	☐ No info	ormation				
2.3. SPECIES STATUS – WI	NTERING (indivi	duals)				
Latest population estimate	e:	Year				
		Minimum				
		Maximum				
Population trend:	select from list					

Source(s) of information:

Not applicable No information						
2.4. SPECIES STATUS – FIELD FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (optional) Species status -wintering: None in Sweden. Swedish LWfG overwinter in the Netherlands.						
3. UPDATE ON CRITICAL SITES						
NOTE: the list of critical sites can be found in Annexes 3a and 3b of the SSAP (see links above).						
Which sites that have been identified in the LWfG SSAP as important for the species in your country have been designated as protected areas under the national legislation and have management plans that are being implemented?						
Total number of sites 9						
Out of the above total, number of protected sites 9						
Number of protected sites with management plans that are being implemented 9						
Please point at major gaps in the protection and management of critical sites which will need to be addressed as a matter of priority.  None						
Have any new sites currently not mentioned in the SSAP, either through monitoring or satellite tracking, been identified as possible critical sites for the species?						
Yes No 🖂						
If yes, please list these sites:						
Are any of these sites protected and/or managed?						
CRITICAL SITES - FIELD FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (optional)						
4. UPDATE ON PRESSURES/THREATS AND RESPONSES						
4.1. HUNTING						
Please rate the magnitude of hunting as a threat to the LWfG in your country:						
Severe High Medium Low No threat						
Trend: stable						
Description of the situation: Protected by law. Slight risk of misidentification during hunting of other goose species, but not during breeding season since there are hardly any other geese in the breeding area and thus no goose hunting there.						
Source(s) of information:						

Swedish EPA, Swedish Association for Hunting and Wildlife Management

No information
Has hunting been banned at all key sites used by the Lesser White-fronted Geese during the period when Lesser White-fronted Geese are present?
Yes No Not applicable
If yes, please provide more information:
If no, please explain why: Local hunters are informed about the risk of misidentification + there are general up-dates of hunters in identification of goose species  If not applicable, please explain why:
Have efforts been made to assess the hunting pressure at key sites?
Yes No Not applicable
If yes, please provide more information:
If no, please explain why: Very low / negligible hunting pressure If not applicable, please explain why:
Has obligatory training of hunters as outlined by the Hunting Charter of the Bern Convention for hunters been implemented?
Yes No No Not applicable
If yes, please provide more information:
If no, please explain why: Swedish hunting exam fulfills the criteria in the Charter. Hunting exam is obligatory/required in Sweden If not applicable, please explain why:
Has the level of protection from illegal hunting been increased within existing protected areas through training and improved enforcement?
Yes No Not applicable
If yes, please provide more information:
If no, please explain why: Not necessary nor demanded; hunting pressure is negligible
If not applicable, please explain why:

Has an effort been ma occur outside of the k		rect hunting from	adults to j	juveniles	in areas where	e Lesser White-fronted Geese
Yes No	$\boxtimes$	Not applicable				
If yes, please provide no hunting is allowed			low / neg	ligible		
If no, please explain w	h <b>y</b> :					
If not applicable, pleas	e explain v	vhy:				
Have lure crops been where hunting pressu			en taken) t	o direct	Lesser White-fi	ronted Geese away from areas
Yes No		Not applicable	$\boxtimes$			
If yes, please provide r	nore inforr	mation:				
If no, please explain w	hy:					
If not applicable, pleas						
4.2. POISONING						
Please rate the magni	tude of po	isoning as a threat	t to the LV	VfG in yo	our country:	
Severe High		Medium	Low		No threat	$\boxtimes$
Trend: selec	t from list					
Description of the situ	ation:					
Source(s) of information	n:					
No information						
4.3. HUMAN DISTURB	ANCE					
Please rate the magni	tude of hu	man disturbance a	as a threat	t to the L	.WfG in your co	ountry:
Severe High		Medium 🔀	Low		No threat	
Trend: selec	t from list					
Description of the situ Mainly in breeding are		n or Low, fishing a	nd activiti	es conne	ected to reindee	er farming
		Source(s) of information: Reported by project staff, County Adm. Board staff and volunteers in LWfG censuses				

No information
Are you taking measures to avoid infrastructure development and other sources of human disturbance, including recreation/tourism liable to have an adverse impact on the known core breeding areas?
Yes No Not applicable
If yes, please provide further information: Fishing forbidden in the known breeding area May 2 - August 15. This issue and potential actions will be discussed in near future.
If no, please explain why:
If not applicable, please explain why:
Are you taking measures to avoid infrastructure development and other sources of human disturbance, including recreation/tourism liable to have an impact on the known key sites?
Yes No Not applicable
If yes, please provide further information:
If no, please explain why:
If not applicable, please explain why:
Are you taking measures to avoid overgrazing and nest trampling if/where this is known to be a problem?
Yes No Not applicable
If yes, please provide further information:
If no, please explain why:
If not applicable, please explain why: No indications that this is a problem.
4.4. PREDATION
Please rate the magnitude of predation as a threat to the LWfG in your country:
Severe High Medium Low No threat
Trend: stable
Description of the situation: High frequency of pairs without young and in many cases small broods. Occurrence of red fox (Vulpes vulpes) is a threat to LWfG and the polar fox. However, this issue needs further investigation.
Source(s) of information:

Reported by field staff. Filmed by surveillance cameras at polar fox dens.
No information
Are you taking measures to minimize predation, where this has been shown to be a significant limiting factor (particularly in the breeding grounds)?
Yes No Not applicable
If yes, please provide further information:  Some protective hunting of red fox has been and is conducted in collaboration with the Action plan for the Arctic fox in the area. The predation effects and mitigation measures also needs further evaluation.
If no, please explain why:
If not applicable, please explain why:
<b>4.5. HABITAT LOSS/DEGRADATION</b> (Agricultural intensification, construction of dams etc., wetland drainage, climate change, land abandonment, overgrazing, pollution of wetlands/water bodies)
Please rate the magnitude of habitat loss/degradation as a threat to the LWfG in your country:
Severe High Medium Low No threat
Trend: stable
Description of the situation: Varies between locations and regions. Remaining grazed and managed wetlands and hay-fields have an uncertain future. Some such sites are important as staging areas before reaching breeding areas. Here birds can be staying for longer periods waiting for favorable snow and ice conditions in breeding localities.
Source(s) of information: County Adm. Boards
No information
Are you monitoring the habitat quality at key sites in order to identify any anthropogenic pressures as early as possible?
Yes No Not applicable
If yes, please provide further information:  No specific monitoring but habitat-quality notes are made during field work in the breeding area and seems stable.
If no, please explain why:
If not applicable, please explain why:
Are you taking measures to restore and/or rehabilitate Lesser White-fronted Goose roosting and feeding habitat in the staging or wintering areas?
Yes No No Not applicable
If yes, please provide more information:

If no, please explain why:  No actions specifically aimed to improve habitat conditions for the LWfG. However, other measurers in these areas may be profitable for the species.						
If not applicable, please explain why:						
THREATS & RESPONSES - FIELD FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (optional)						
5. UPDATE ON NATIONAL LEGISLATION AND ACTIVITIES						
5.1. NATIONAL LEGAL PROTECTION						
Is the Lesser White-fronted Goose legally protected in your country?						
Yes No						
If yes, please list the year and title of the legislation concerned as well as the enforcing institution: 1964, 3§ Jaktlagen (1987:259), 33§ Jaktförordningen (1987:905), 4§ Artskyddsförordningen (2007:845)						
If no, please explain why:						
Does the national hunting legislation, in principle, provide adequate protection of the Lesser White-fronted Goose?						
Yes No						
If yes, please provide further information:						
If no, please explain why:						
Are sufficient human and financial resources being allocated to the enforcement of hunting legislation in order to control hunting effectively?						
Yes No						
If yes, please provide further information:						
If no, please explain why:						
NATIONAL LEGAL PROTECTION – FIELD FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (optional)						
5.2. NATIONAL SINGLE SPECIES ACTION PLAN						
Has your country drafted a National Single Species Action Plan for the Lesser White-fronted Goose?						
NSSAP in place and being implemented						

NSSAP in place, but not being implemented
NSSAP in development
No NSSAP
If you already have a NSSAP, please add a reference/link to the plan below:
If a NSSAP has been developed but is not being implemented, please explain why:
If your NSSAP is still being developed, please describe when the process was started and when the Action Plan is estimated to be completed: Started in 2005. Planned to be completed no later than March 2011.
If your country does not have a NSSAP, please explain the reasons why not:
If your country does not have or is still in the process of developing its LWfG NSSAP, would you be interested in assistance from the UNEP/AEWA Secretariat in this work?
Yes No 🖂
If yes, please specify what kind of assistance you would require:
NSSAP – FIELD FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (optional)
5.3. NATIONAL WORKING GROUP
Does your country have a National Working Group for the Lesser White-fronted Goose?
Yes No
If yes, please provide more information about Working Group members, function etc.: The Swedish EPA, The County Administrative Boards of Norrbotten, Västerbotten, Gästrikland and Uppsala, The Swedish Association for Hunting and Wildlife Management, Nordens Ark, WWF Sweden, The Swedish Ornithological Society
If no, please explain the reasons:
NATIONAL WORKING GROUP – FIELD FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (optional) The NWG may change depending on the development of the NSSAP.
5.4. MONITORING
Does your country have a monitoring scheme in place for the LWfG?
Breeding season:
Breeding season:  Yes Partial No Not applicable

	-	nd guarding male ding area.	s in the b	oreeding	area and	follow-up with co	unts of families at staging sites situated
Passage	e/migratio	on period:					
Yes	$\boxtimes$	Partial		No		Not applicable	
		nore information on the most important				s during the passa	ge/migration season:
Winteri	ng seaso	n:					
Yes	$\boxtimes$	Partial		No		Not applicable	
Overwi	ntering a	nd winter monito	ring is ca	rried out	in the Ne	_	reeding/wintering season: den, if any birds are seen they are
If there		nitoring scheme	on a nati	onal leve	el, is LWf0	3 monitoring cond	ducted on a regular basis by other
Breedin	ng season	:					
Yes		Partial		No		Not applicable	
If yes O	R partial,	please provide fu	irther inf	ormation	on how	the monitoring is I	being done and by whom:
Passage	e/migratio	on period:					
Yes		Partial		No		Not applicable	
If yes O	R partial,	please provide fu	ırther inf	ormation	on how	the monitoring is l	being done and by whom:
Winteri	ng seaso	n:					
Yes		Partial				No	
If yes O	R partial,	please provide fu	ırther inf	ormation	on how	the monitoring is I	being done and by whom:
MONIT	ORING –	FIELD FOR ADDIT	IONAL IN	IFORMAT	<b>TION</b> (opt	ional)	

#### 5.5. LWfG CONSERVATION/RESEARCH PROJECTS

List (or provide links to) any national and/or international LWfG conservation or research projects being conducted in your country - including the project title, goals and objectives, period of implementation, implementing organization, contact details and a short description:

Swedish Lesser White-fronted Goose Project. Monitoring, research and conservation activities: The primary goal is to safeguard the future of the Swedish population. Leading organization is the Swedish Association for Hunting and Wildlife Management. Close cooperation with Swedish Environmental Protection Agency and Nordens Ark. In cooperation with the Russian Goose, Swan and duck Group of North Eurasia (RGG) and Moscow Zoo, wildcaught goslings are imported from breeding areas in Russia and kept in Nordens Ark where a captive-breeding population is being built up since 2005 with the goal to produce LWfG for release in supplementation projects. Supported by WWF Sweden and several funds. Originally started in the late 1970s; planned to continue at least until 2014. Project leaders:

Åke Andersson, ake\_a@tele2.se and Niklas Holmqvist, niklas.holmqvist@jagareforbundet.se.

Starting in 2010, the project also examines the efficacy of different ways of releasing captive-reared 1K and 2K LWfG in the supplementation/re-inforcement of wild populations.

List (or provide links to) any other national and/or international conservation or research projects being conducted in your country that could be useful for LWfG conservation - including the project title, goals and objectives, period of implementation, implementing organization, contact details and a short description:

LWfG CONSERVATION/RESEARCH PROJECTS - FIELD FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (optional)

#### 5.6. AWARENESSRAISING

	ur country developed and implo onservation, in particular with	nented methods for raising awareness and understanding on LWfG and elation to hunters?	
Yes, be	ing implemented		
	Please provide further information 5	ion on methods and how they are being implemented:	
Yes, bu	t not being implemented		
	If methods are available but n explain why they are not being	yet implemented, please provide further information on the methods and implemented at present:	
Being d	eveloped		
	If being developed, please des	ribe when these methods will be ready for implementation:	
No			
	If no, please explain why:		
-	r being developed, has your co s, leaflets etc.)?	ntry developed/produced LWfG information materials to this end (i.e.	
Yes	Being developed	No	
Informa Centre, Hunting http://v	at the Swedish Ornithological S g and Wildlife Management, and	e further information: can be found, mainly using the Internet, at the Swedish Species Information ciety, at the County Adm. Board of Norrbotten, at the Swedish Association for the Species Gateway (artportalen.se). Postcard 2010 at c/dokument/norrbottenArjeplog.webb.pdf?epslanguage=sv . See also tex	
AWARE	NESSRAISING – FIELD FOR ADD	FIONAL INFORMATION (optional)	

### 6. FUNDING

Are there any national funding possibilities for LWfG conservation measures in your country?

Yes	$\boxtimes$	No		
Funds	for Wildli	fe Manag	ing programs and relevant authorities: ement and Action Plans for Endangered Species at The Swedish Environmental Protec ife Management at the Swedish Association for Hunting and Wildlife Management	ction
-	-		yet have a National Single Species Action Plan, would national funding be available tion of the NSSAP?	for the
Yes		No		
FUNDI	NG – FIEL	D FOR A	DITIONAL INFORMATION (optional)	
7. UP	DATE O	N KEY K	NOWLEDGE	
	tellite tra rn Main p	_	or field surveys being used in your country to locate the key breeding grounds for ?	the
Yes		No	Not applicable	
If yes, p	please pro	ovide fur	ner information:	
If no, p	lease exp	olain why		
		-	xplain why: t LWfG of the Western Main population, sensu the ISSAP, have breeding grounds in S	Sweden.
		_	or field surveys being used in your country to locate the key staging and wintering oulation?	sites
Yes		No	■ Not applicable      □	
If yes, <sub>I</sub>	please pro	ovide fur	ner information:	
If no, p	lease exp	olain why		
	ipplicable evious qu	-	xplain why:	
		_	or field surveys being used in your country to locate the key breeding, staging and noscandian population?	ſ
Yes	$\boxtimes$	No	□ Not applicable □	
			ner information: d censuses have been and are carried out. Satellite tracking has not been used so far	·.
If no, p	lease exp	olain why		
If not a	ıpplicable	, please	xplain why:	

Are further field studies of suitable breeding habitat and staging areas being undertaken in order to update the estimate for the Fennoscandian population (Kola peninsula etc.)?
Yes No Not applicable
If yes, please provide further information: Surveys/Inventories have been carried out to discover suitable areas.
If no, please explain why:
If not applicable, please explain why:
Are there any further knowledge gaps not covered by this report critical for LWfG conservation in your country which would require further research?
Yes No
If yes, please provide further information:  1) An independent scientific review of the LWfG flyways in Europe (that soon will be commissioned by the AEWA Secretariat). (2) Evaluation of the efficacy of different ways of releasing captive-reared LWfG into the wild during population supplementation/re-inforcement (started in 2010).
KEY KNOWLEDGE – FIELD FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION (optional)  Sweden provided funding to the AEWA to commission an independent review of published studies on LWfG genetics that included dimensioning of the problem with genetic introgression of Greater White-fronted Goose into the LWfG. The review (Amato 2010) concluded that this problem is not a priority but reducing LWfG mortality in the wild is.
ADDITIONAL COMMENTS (optional)  Since 2005 Sweden is building a captive-breeding colony of LWfG based exclusively on wild-caught West-Russian birds, and located at and managed by Nordens Ark in Sweden. In 2010, Sweden cooperated with Norway in the re-inforcement of the Norwegian LWfG population with four 1K goslings from this colony. This also constitutes part of the Swedish comparative evaluation of release methods. In 2010, the Swedish EPA also provided funds for building a second new LWfG captive-breeding facility, which will allow for increased captive-breeding and population supplementation activities.

THANK YOU!