

10th MEETING OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE
8-10 July 2015, Kampala, Uganda

DRAFT RESOLUTION 6.17¹

INSTITUTIONAL ARRANGEMENTS: TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

Pursuant to Article VII of the Agreement, the first Session of the Meeting of the Parties (MOP), through Resolution 1.8, established and determined the composition of the Technical Committee,

Considering that at the present 6th Session of the MOP, the terms of office of two members of the Technical Committee expire - the regional representatives for North & Southwester Europe and Northern Africa, the regional representatives for Southern Africa had to step down in advance of the expiration of his terms of office and that the position of the regional representative for Central Africa remained vacant in the past triennium; the terms of office of the three thematic experts (game management, environmental law, and rural development) expire too and that the position of a CEPA expert, as per Resolution 5.18, was not filled during the past triennium,

Further considering the recommendation of the Advisory Group, as per Rule 8 of the Modus Operandi of the Technical Committee, regarding the nominated candidates for the vacant positions,

Thanking members of the Technical Committee for their work in fulfilling the task stipulated by Article VII.2 of the Agreement, thereby contributing to the implementation of the Agreement over the past triennium,

Noting the relatively limited implementation of the Technical Committee work plan for 2012-2015 due to the growing volume of requests to the Committee by the Meeting of Parties, the lack of any earmarked resources with which to contract significant elements of work (e.g. technical reviews) and thus consequent reliance on prior fundraising by the Secretariat to progress these work areas, and the heavy constraints on the amount of *pro bono* input to the Committee's work by its members as a consequences of economic austerity measures – as outlined in document AEW A/MOP 6.XX [Report of TC to MOP 6],

Recognising the need of prior strategic review by the Meeting of the Parties of Technical Committee tasks for the forthcoming triennium in order to allow prioritisation, and resource provision and mobilisation so as to ensure adequate work allocation and implementation,

Further recognising that the Technical Committee is a subsidiary and advisory body to the Agreement and its members shall be able to distance themselves from the possibility of a conflict of interest(s) between their personal professional interests and their responsibilities to AEW A,

The Meeting of the Parties:

1. *Appoints* to the Technical Committee the members and alternates named in Appendix I to the present Resolution, taking into account terms of office in accordance with Rule 5 of the Modus Operandi of the Technical Committee and the geographical representation as laid down in its Annex;

¹ The numbering of draft resolutions presented to MOP6 may change.

2. *Approves* the summary of scientific and technical tasks for the AEWA Technical Committee for 2016-2018 and their prioritisation as presented in Appendix II to this Resolution;
3. *Instructs* the Secretariat to provide the necessary support to the Technical Committee in accordance with Article VII of the Agreement, as well as the provisions in the budget for the Agreement and the activities of the Technical Committee or the Agreement Secretariat, as adopted under Resolution 6.XX [financial and administrative matters];
4. *Encourages* Contracting Parties to include members of the Technical Committee in their delegations to the Meeting of the Parties, finances permitting, in order to enhance synergies between the bodies of the Agreement;
5. *Requests* the Technical Committee to create and maintain, as per Appendix III to this Resolution, a register whereby relevant interest(s) can be declared by its members.

Appendix I

AEWA TECHNICAL COMMITTEE

REGIONAL REPRESENTATIVES

ALTERNATES

NORTH AND SOUTHWESTERN EUROPE

tbc

tbc

CENTRAL EUROPE

Mr Lorenzo Serra (Italy)

Mr Darko Saveljic (Montenegro)

EASTERN EUROPE

Mr Saulius Svazas (Lithuania)

Mr Gleb Gavrys (Ukraine)

SOUTHWESTERN ASIA

Mr Sharif Jbour (Jordan)

Vacant

NORTHERN AFRICA

tbc

tbc

WESTERN AFRICA

Mr Erasmus Owusu (Ghana)

Vacant

CENTRAL AFRICA

tbc

tbc

EASTERN AFRICA

Mr Muchai S. Muchane (Kenya)

Vacant

SOUTHERN AFRICA

Ms Lizanne Roxburgh (South Africa)

Vacant

REPRESENTATIVES OF ORGANISATIONS ¹

IUCN

Mr Jean-Christophe Vié

Vacant

WETLANDS INTERNATIONAL

Mr Szabolcs Nagy

Mr Taej Mundkur

CIC

Mr Arto Marjakangas

Vacant

THEMATIC EXPERTS

RURAL ECONOMICS

tbc

ENVIROMENTAL LAW

tbc

GAME MANAGEMENT

tbc

¹ Term of office to be decided by the Organisation.

Appendix II - Work Plan for the AEWA Technical Committee 2016-2018

Introduction

1. This section provides explanatory notes concerning the two attached documents (Appendix II, Parts 1 and 2).
2. Part 1 provides a summary list of proposed top priority scientific and technical tasks for the 2016-2018 triennium. This is drawn from Part 2 which provides a summary of the full list of proposed scientific and technical tasks for the work of the Technical Committee in 2016-2018.
3. Themes relate largely to the structure of the AEWA Action Plan as follows:
 - a) **Field of application**³
 - issues of the taxonomic and geographic scope of the Agreement
 - b) **Species conservation**⁴
 - including issues of legal regulation of taking; international single species action plans and their implementation; emergency measures; re-establishments; and introductions.
 - c) **Habitat conservation**⁵
 - including issues of habitat and site inventories; conservation of areas; habitat rehabilitation and restoration; and climate change adaptation and mitigation
 - d) **Management of human activities**⁶
 - including hunting (including lead issues); disturbance; species-conflicts and management of other human activities (e.g. impacts of energy generation and transmission, development, etc.)
 - e) **Research and monitoring**⁷
 - including planning and funding of surveys and monitoring
 - f) **Education and information**⁸
 - including all Communication, Education and Public Awareness (CEPA) issues
 - g) **Implementation**⁹
 - including Conservation Guidelines; Action Plan para 7.4 international reviews; and other implementation issues
 - h) **Strategic, reporting, emerging and other issues**¹⁰
 - including strategic, reporting and other planning issues; emerging issues and horizon scanning

³ includes some of the issues covered by TC Working Group 10: Emerging issues (in relation to taxonomy)

⁴ includes some of the issues covered by TC Working Group 1: Lead, hunting and trade and TC Working Group 10: Emerging issues

⁵ includes some of the issues covered by TC Working Group 11: Habitat loss & degradation

⁶ includes work currently undertaken by TC Working Group 1: Lead, hunting and trade; TC Working Group 8: Renewable energy and migratory waterbirds; TC Working Group 9: Disturbance; and TC Working Group 10: Emerging issues (in relation to seabird bycatch etc.)

⁷ includes work currently undertaken by TC Working Group 4: Waterbird monitoring

⁸ includes work currently undertaken by TC Working Group 5: CEPA & Communication Strategy and Plan

⁹ includes work currently undertaken by TC Working Group 3: International Reviews, and TC Working Group 6: Conservation Guidelines

¹⁰ includes work currently undertaken by TC Working Group 2: National reporting, Strategic Plan and Aichi Targets; Plan of Action for Africa; TC Working Group 7: Climate change; and some of the issues covered by TC Working Group 10: Emerging issues

4. The 14 top priority tasks listed in Part 1 below have been identified from the full range of proposed scientific and technical tasks listed in Part 2. These include all ‘Essential’ tasks and a few additional ‘High priority’ tasks.
5. The provisional figure for the total estimated funds needed for full implementation of the recommended 2016-2018 top priority tasks is € 541,000 over the triennium, whilst the total cost of the implementation of the full work plan is € 849,000.
6. Part 2 provides further details of all proposed scientific and technical implementation support tasks for the Technical Committee during 2016-2018. It provides a summary description of each task, with its anticipated outcomes and outputs, and provisional estimated costs.
7. As indicated in Part 2, a number of the tasks listed are either ongoing or have been carried forward from the task adopted by previous MOPs, but for which capacity or funding has been insufficient in the previous triennia to undertake or complete them.
8. Provisional estimated costs in Part 2 are based on each task requiring the engagement of an expert (or experts) to undertake the work required. These provisional estimated costs have been prepared by the Technical Committee and Secretariat to provide Contracting Parties with an initial assessment of delivery needs – more precise costings and the scope and ways and means for the delivery of each of the tasks will be developed at the beginning of the 2016-2018 cycle and reported to the Standing Committee at that time.

It is recognized that much of the funding estimated as being needed to enable further scientific and technical implementation support for the Agreement will need to be found from sources other than the Agreement’s core budget.

9. Please note that some significant tasks and costings given, relate to the work involved in developing Terms of Reference for the respective project (including the assessment of full costs), not the cost of delivery of the project for which funding will need to be sought.

Appendix II - Part 1

Recommended Top Priority Scientific and Technical Tasks for the 2016-2018 Triennium

Recommended Top Priority Tasks 2016-2018 (summary of task only)	Indicative cost
1. Field of application	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Population definitions. Review of delineation of population boundaries for a small number of species and assessment of implications for listing by AEWA 	€5,000
2. Species Conservation	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Harvests and sustainability. Develop Terms of Reference for possible project(s) on the sustainable harvesting of migratory waterbirds that promote interdisciplinary approaches to livelihood needs, including the development of alternative food resources and awareness raising. 	€2,000 (to develop project proposals)
3. Habitat Conservation	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Site network review. Finalise Report on the Site Network for waterbirds in the Agreement area a draft of which was presented to MOP5 	€80,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Wetland loss as it impacts migratory waterbirds. Through an initial assessment of the very rapid rates of inter-tidal habitat loss within the West Asian – East African Flyway, collate data on regional rates of wetland habitat loss and use this to prioritise actions to address the drivers of such loss and degradation as they impact migratory waterbirds. 	€20,000
4. Management of human activities	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Management of disturbance. Produce simple guidance on management of disturbance to waterbirds targeted at wetland site managers and translated into French, Arabic and Russian 	€76,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Illegal killing. Support implementation of CMS Resolution 11.16 on prevention of illegal killing, taking and trade of migratory birds and AEWA/CMS Plan of Action to Address Bird Trapping Along the Mediterranean Coasts of Egypt and Libya 	€8,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Reducing the impact of fisheries. Assess how AEWA can best work through, and enhance the activities of other actors engaged with reducing the impact of both freshwater and marine fisheries on migratory waterbirds 	€10,000
5. Research and monitoring	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Targeting of monitoring schemes. Complete additional guidance on how to ensure that populations (including of seabirds and colonial breeding waterbirds) are covered by international monitoring schemes to produce reliable international population size and trend estimates. 	€10,000
7. Implementation	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conservation Status Review 7. Guide the process of preparation of Conservation Status Review 7 for submission to MOP7. 	€100,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Other international reviews. Guide the process of preparation of a) updated review of information from surveys, b) updated review of pertinent hunting and trade legislation and c) updated review of re-establishment projects. 	€130,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Conservation Guidelines. Review Conservation Guidelines nos. 1, 3, 4, 7, 8 and 9 to ensure they continue to reflect best conservation practice, and reformat as necessary following conclusion of ongoing review of format. 	€60,000

Recommended Top Priority Tasks 2016-2018 (summary of task only)	Indicative cost
8. Strategic, reporting, emerging and other issues	
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • National reports. Revise the national report format and make necessary adjustments on the basis of received feedback after the MOP6 reporting cycle and address necessary changes following MOP6. 	To be determined
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Population reporting for MOP 7. Develop module for reporting on population status for MOP7. 	€20,000
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Review of Aichi Target delivery. Assess progress on issues relevant to the Aichi Targets, and present triennial assessments of AEWA's contribution to each relevant Target, elaborating further needs as necessary and appropriate, for MOP7. 	€20,000

Appendix II – Part 2. Summary of Scientific and Technical Tasks for the AEWA Technical Committee: 2016-2018

- A. The scientific and technical tasks for the Technical Committee are organized under eight broad themes, as follows:
- Field of application
 - Species conservation
 - Habitat conservation
 - Management of human activities
 - Research and monitoring
 - Education and information
 - Implementation
 - Strategic, reporting, emerging or other issues
- B. Prioritisation of tasks is as follows:
- Essential** (highest priority) – other MoP processes depend on the task being undertaken *e.g.* reporting to MoP 7
- High** – important to progress before MoP 7 i.e. to start work within the next two years
- Other** – important but initiation could take place on a longer timescale
- Rolling** – important and ongoing or soon-to-be-launched long-term activity
- C. The categorization of types of tasks is coded in the tables below as follows:
1. New or revised guidance for Contracting Parties
 2. Technical support and advice – ongoing and *ad hoc*
 3. Advice on new and emerging issues
 4. Input to scientific or technical products/initiatives undertaken by other relevant organizations
 5. Review of knowledge as a basis for developing guidance for Parties and others.
- D. Note that costs are significantly approximate and not derived from detailed costing of the specific tasks. Costs are for broad planning purposes only at this stage and will be further refined.

Theme: Field of application

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€) – see note above
Taxonomy & nomenclature: Maintain overview of taxonomic issues and advise as necessary	Other	2	CMS Scientific Council	Strategic Plan: Objective 3 Aichi Target: Target 19	–
Taxonomic (and geographic) scope of AEWA: The Programme of Work on Migratory Birds and Flyways adopted at CMS COP11 envisages the preparation of a review to explore options to extend AEWA as a framework for other migratory bird species/species groups in the Africa-Eurasian region so as to cover all African-Eurasian bird MoUs and Action Plans. Contribute to this as necessary. (Resolution 11.14, Annex 1, point 19).	High	4	CMS Flyways Working Group and collaboration with Raptors MOU and Landbirds Action Plan as appropriate	Strategic Plan: Objective 5.7 Aichi Target: Target 12	–
Population definitions: Early in the triennium, consider evidence supporting the delineation of current population boundaries for the following species and TC13 to make any recommendations to Standing Committee 12 for interim approval such that any changes can be included within work to develop proposals for MOP7 (CSR 7 and proposed changes to Table 1 of AEWA's Action Plan): Lesser White-fronted Goose <i>Anser erythropus</i> – status of birds in Fennoscandia Little Crake <i>Porzana parva</i> Sociable Lapwing <i>Vanellus gregarius</i> White-tailed Lapwing <i>Vanellus leucurus</i> Whimbrel <i>Numenius phaeopus rogachevae</i> Black-legged Kittiwake <i>Rissa tridactyla</i> Roseate Tern <i>Sterna dougallii</i> Little Tern <i>Sterna albifrons</i> Guillemot <i>Uria a. aalge</i> & <i>U. aalge albionis</i> Puffin <i>Fratercula arctica</i>	Essential	2	Wetlands International and its relevant Specialist Groups, CAFF CBird Group	Strategic Plan: Objective 3	[€5,000]
Technical Committee procedures: Consider and implement appropriate, cost-neutral review procedures related to major outputs arising from the Committee to ensure maintenance of high scientific standards	Other	–			–

Theme: Species conservation

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€)
<p>Guidance on derogation issues Provide guidance concerning measures that should be taken in order to prevent exemptions listed in AP paragraph 2.1.3 operating to the detriment of species listed in Table 1. (Resolution 4.3) (carried over from Work Plan 2009-2012)</p>	High	1		<p>Strategic Plan: Objective 1 Aichi Target: Target 12</p>	[€30,000]
<p>Regional multi-species declines Continue to develop ideas as to how multi-species and regional-scale declines (such as Baltic seaducks) might be addressed through a combination of appropriate national and international measures, and consider most appropriate means of issuing this as guidance. (Resolution 5.6) (carried over from Work Plan 2012-2015)</p>	Other	1		<p>Strategic Plan: Objective 1 Aichi Target: Target 12</p>	[€5,000]
<p>Breeding & pre-nuptial migration periods Continue to provide relevant information on African species, as mentioned in Appendix 1 of Resolution 5.10, as knowledge of these improves through the implementation of the Action Plan for Africa and bring elaborated guidance to a future MOP. (Resolution 5.10)</p>	Other Rolling	1, 5		<p>Strategic Plan: Objectives 2 & 3.5 Aichi Target: Target 4</p>	[€3,000]
<p>Invasive aquatic weeds as they impact waterbirds Compile a guide to the available guidelines on the issue. (carried over from Work Plan 2009-2012)</p>	High	1	Ramsar STRP	<p>Strategic Plan: Objectives 1 & 3 Aichi Target: Target 9</p>	[€20,000]
<p>Promoting better recognition of waterbird socio-economic importance In the context of both Aichi Target 2 and CMS Resolution 10.18¹¹, consider the need to develop appropriate guidance concerning the recognition of the socio-economic values of migratory waterbird consumptive and non-consumptive uses within NBSAPs and elsewhere to ensure that the economic and cultural values of waterbirds are fully recognised nationally and integrated within poverty reduction strategies.</p>	High		CMS, CBD	<p>Strategic Plan: Objectives 1.5, 2 & 4 Aichi Target: Target 2</p>	[€30,000]

¹¹ Resolution 10.18. Guidelines on the integration of migratory species into National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans (NBSAPs) and other outcomes from CBD COP10. http://www.cms.int/sites/default/files/document/10_18_nsbaps_e_0_0.pdf

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€)
Harvests and sustainability Develop Terms of Reference for possible project(s) on the sustainable harvesting of migratory waterbirds that promote interdisciplinary approaches to livelihood needs, including development of alternative food resources and awareness raising. [CMS Flyways Programme of Work #12]	High	1	CMS Flyways Working Group	Strategic Plan: Objective 2 Aichi Target: Target 4	[€2,000] Costings for projects to be developed
Traditional knowledge and harvest Finalise the delayed review on this issue and make recommendations for further work. Consider whether existing work can be included within conceptually related task (above) on promoting socio-economic benefits of waterbirds.	Other	5		Strategic Plan: Objectives 2 & 3.4 Aichi Target: Targets 12 & 18	[€20,000]
Red List assessments Consider any needs to promote streamlining of the process to ensure prompt IUCN Red List assessment of migratory birds that feed into the prioritization of CMS listings. [CMS Flyways Programme of Work #12]	High	4	CMS Flyways Working Group and BirdLife International	Strategic Plan: Objective 3 Aichi Target: Target 12	[€5,000]

Theme: Habitat conservation

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€)
Site network review Continue to develop the “ <i>Report on the Site Network for waterbirds in the Agreement area</i> ” so as to better reflect information on the management and conservation status of key sites, to further develop ways of summarising this information accessibly (Resolution 5.2) while taking into account reporting needs for the AEWA Strategic Plan and assessment against relevant Aichi Targets (Resolution 5.23) (carried over from Work Plan 2012-2015)	Essential	1	Wetlands International	Strategic Plan: Objective 1.2 Aichi Target: Target 11	[€80,000]
Site conservation and AEWA In view of the multiple (international) initiatives associated with the	High	5	Potentially all those involved with protected areas		[€20,000]

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€)
identification, designation and management of important sites for waterbirds, critically assess the added value (if any) that AEWA can bring and bring proposals for any specific activities or initiatives arising from this review to MOP 7.					
Wetland loss as it impacts waterbirds Develop a shared and evidence based understanding of regional rates of wetland habitat loss as the basis for prioritised actions to address the drivers of such loss and degradation as they impact migratory waterbirds. Initial work should focus on assessing the very rapid rates of inter-tidal habitat loss within the West Asian – East African Flyway and implications for waterbirds using these areas.	High	3	Joint with Ramsar Convention (STRP)	Strategic Plan: Objective 3 Aichi Target: Target 5	[€20,000]
Socio-economic importance of waterbirds Explore the potential to develop a number of case studies summarising the socio-economic benefits (including food security) accruing to local populations from the sustainable management of wetlands and the sustainable use of the waterbirds that depend on these areas. (Resolution 5.13)	Other	4	Joint with Ramsar Convention (STRP) Note links to related tasks in <i>Species conservation</i> Theme	Strategic Plan: Objective 4 Aichi Target: Target 14	[€5,000]
Net Positive Impact Investigate the feasibility and develop a proposal for international Net Positive Impact including offsetting approaches that involve the corporate sector and governments in order to support flyway conservation. [CMS Flyways Programme of Work #7]	Other	3	CMS Flyways Working Group; CMS Scientific Council; Wetlands International; BirdLife International; corporate sector	Strategic Plan: Objective 5 Aichi Target: Target 4 & 5	[€2,000]

Theme: Management of human activities

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€)
Management of disturbance Commission simple but comprehensive guidance on the management of disturbance in a form that may be widely translated and disseminated, and	High	1	Joint with Ramsar Convention (STRP)	Strategic Plan: Objectives 1, 2.4 & 5.6	[€76,000] €30,000 to draft plus translation at

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€)
submit to MOP7 for consideration. Produce versions in for versions in English, French, Arabic and Russian. (Resolution 5.24) (carried over from Work Plan 2012-2015)				Aichi Target: Targets 11 & 12	€2,000/language, plus production costs at €10,000/language
Disturbance Commission a synthesis of scientific knowledge of disturbance, including activities that are significant and widespread sources of disturbance, and dealing both with the effects of disturbance and with the possible mechanisms of adaptation, mitigation and compensation, and where relevant, summarising those studies that have evaluated the short-term effects of disturbance and its long-term impact on bird productivity and survival, both at the individual and population levels, and submit to MOP7 for consideration (Resolution 5.24) (carried over from Work Plan 2012-2015)	Other	5		Strategic Plan: Objectives 2 & 3.5 Aichi Target: Targets 12 & 19	[€40,000]
Reducing energy impacts – Energy Task Force Collaborate on implementation of CMS Resolution 11.27 on <i>Renewable energy and migratory species</i> . Task includes participation in the CMS Energy Task Force to provide further guidance and support in relation to the implementation of Resolution 11.27. Task also involves providing further guidance when relevant new developments on reducing the impact of power lines on birds become available, such as improved mitigation techniques (Resolution 5.11). [Also CMS Flyways Programme of Work #13]	Other Rolling	2	CMS Scientific Council and others	Strategic Plan: Objectives 1.3 & 5.7 Aichi Target: Targets 2, 4 & 12	[€10,000] (T&S for meetings)
Reducing energy impacts – sensitivity mapping tool In the context of the implementation of CMS Resolution 11.27 and Resolution 6.7, develop Terms of Reference and costings to further develop a sensitivity-mapping tool (as already developed for the Red Sea-Rift Valley flyway) to indicate risk to migratory birds from potential infrastructure development. [CMS Flyways Programme of Work #13]	Other	2	CMS Scientific Council and others	Strategic Plan: Objectives 1.3 & 5.7 Aichi Target: Targets 2, 4 & 12	[€2,000]
Extractive industries – cumulative impact assessment Work with the Ramsar STRP and other interested parties to develop guidance for assessing the significance of cumulative impacts of multiple wetland losses along species' flyways, and the implications for EIA, SEA and other assessment processes. (Resolution 5.14) (carried over from Work Plan 2012-2015)	High	1, 4	Ramsar STRP and possibly also CMS. Possible interest from stakeholders involved in the CMS Energy Task Force	Strategic Plan: Objectives 1.3 & 3 Aichi Target: Targets 4 & 19	[€30,000]

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€)
Extractive industries – Guide to guidance Work with the Ramsar STRP to finalise the <i>Guide to Guidance on Extractive Industries</i> and to disseminate this to Contracting Parties. (Resolution 5.14, Resolution 5.3 & IIT No. 11) (carried over from Work Plan 2012-2015)	Other	1, 4	Ramsar STRP	Strategic Plan: Objective 3.5 Aichi Target: Targets 4 & 19	[€10,000]
Extractive industries – development of analytical tools Continue to collaborate with the Ramsar STRP on these issues, in particular jointly working with other interested organisations to further develop geospatial analytical tools for identifying those areas where potential conflicts may arise regarding impacts of extractive industry processes on wetlands of importance for migratory waterbirds. (Resolution 5.14) (carried over from Work Plan 2012-2015)	Other	4	Ramsar STRP and others	Strategic Plan: Objective 3 Aichi Target: Targets 4 & 19	[€20,000]
Poisoning and agrochemicals Collaborate on implementation of CMS Resolution 11.15 on <i>Preventing poisoning of migratory birds</i> . Task includes participation in the CMS Poisoning Working Group to provide further guidance and support in relation to the implementation of Resolution 11.15. [CMS Flyways Programme of Work #14]	High Rolling	2	CMS Scientific Council and others	Strategic Plan: Objective 1, 2.3 & 3 Aichi Target: Target 12	[€5,000] (T&S for meetings)
Poisoning by lead shot Collaborate on implementation of CMS Resolution 11.15 on <i>Preventing poisoning of migratory birds</i> . Task includes participation in the CMS Poisoning Working Group to provide further guidance and support in relation to the implementation of Resolution 11.15.	High Rolling	2	CMS Scientific Council and others	Strategic Plan: Objective 2 Aichi Target: Targets 4 & 12	{ Costs included in poisoning and agrochemicals task above }
Illegal killing Collaborate on implementation of CMS Resolution 11.16 on the prevention of illegal killing, taking and trade of migratory birds. Task includes participation in the Intergovernmental Task Force to Address Illegal Killing, Taking and Trade of Migratory Birds in the Mediterranean and technical support for implementation of AEWA/CMS Plan of Action to Address Bird Trapping Along the Mediterranean Coasts of Egypt and Libya.	High	2	CMS Scientific Council and others	Strategic Plan: Objective 2.3 Aichi Target: Targets 1 & 12	[€8,000] (T&S for attendance at Task Force meetings)
Illegal killing – pilot project In the context of the implementation of CMS Resolution 11.16 and Resolution 6.9, develop Terms of Reference and costings for a pilot	Other	2	CMS Scientific Council and others	Strategic Plan: Objectives 1.3 & 5.7	[€2,000]

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€)
project to be implemented in Africa (e.g. possibly relating to Ruff <i>Philomachus pugnax</i> in the Sahel). [CMS Flyways Programme of Work #15]			Seek joint funding for project(s)	Aichi Target: Targets 2, 4 & 12	
Reducing the impact of fisheries Develop specific proposals (including specific work for the Technical Committee) as to how AEWA (respectively the Parties, Secretariat and Technical Committee) can best work through, and enhance the activities of other actors engaged with reducing the impact of both freshwater and marine fisheries on migratory waterbirds (especially through incidental killing including through bycatch in fishing gear such as gill nets, longlines and trawling), and to bring these to MOP 7.	High	1	ACAP, RFMOs, European Commission, BirdLife Seabird Programme, Albatross Task Force, ICES and other relevant bodies and expertise	Strategic Plan: Objective 1 & 3 Aichi Target: Targets 6 & 12	[€10,000]

Theme: Research and monitoring

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€)
Targeting of monitoring schemes Complete additional guidance to the Parties on how to ensure that populations are covered by international monitoring schemes which are appropriate both in their scopes and methods to produce reliable international population size and trend estimates, including monitoring of seabirds and colonial breeding waterbirds. (Resolution 5.2) (carried over from Work Plan 2012-2015)	High	1 & 5		Strategic Plan: Objective 3.2 Aichi Target: Targets 12 & 19	[€10,000]
Conservation Guidelines on monitoring Develop Conservation Guidelines in order to provide guidance to the Parties on how to develop individual monitoring programmes which are appropriate in their scope and methods to obtain reliable estimates of population sizes and trends of waterbird populations breeding or wintering in their territories while striving towards a harmonised methodology. (Resolution 5.2) (carried over from Work Plan 2012-2015)	High	1 & 5		Strategic Plan: Objective 3.2 Aichi Target: Targets 12 & 19	[€30,000]

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€)
Monitoring priorities Identify priorities for the systematic development of waterbird monitoring, in order to reach the target of a 50% increase by 2017 in the number of populations whose status is assessed on the basis of regular monitoring data, as per the AEWA Strategic Plan 2009-2017, taking into account the conservation status of the populations, their geographic representativeness and other factors (Resolution 5.2) (carried over from Work Plan 2012-2015)	High	2		Strategic Plan: Objective 3.2 Aichi Target: Target 19	[€5,000]
Sustainable funding of waterbird monitoring Work with the Waterbird Monitoring Partnership to make progress towards the monitoring related targets of the AEWA Strategic Plan 2009-2017 and to report to MOP7 and, if required, to propose this issue to be revisited at MOP7 with the aim to secure a long-term, sustainable solution for international waterbird monitoring (Resolution 5.22)	Other	4		Strategic Plan: Objective 3.1 Aichi Target: Target 19	–

Theme: Education and information

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€)
Communication Strategy implementation Provide advice and prioritisation on the ongoing implementation of the Communication Strategy. (Resolution 5.5) (carried over from Work Plan 2012-2015)	Other	2		Strategic Plan: Objective 4 Aichi Target: Target 1	–
Global Interflyway Network Engage in the future implementation of the Global Interflyway Network (GIN) ¹² to ensure that best practice is shared between the various international conservation initiatives for migratory birds in different parts of the world. (carried over from Work Plan 2012-2015)	Other	4	Ramsar, CMS, EAAFP, WHSRN and others	Strategic Plan: Objective 5.7 Aichi Target: Target 19	[€10,000] (T&S for meetings)

¹² http://www.eaaflyway.net/documents/resources/globalflyway2011/eaafp-tech-01_GIN-report-sml.pdf

Theme: Implementation

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€)
<p>Conservation Status Review 7 Guide the process of preparation of Conservation Status Review 7 (Action Plan 7.4a) while taking into account reporting needs on the AEWAs Strategic Plan and assessment against relevant Aichi Targets (Resolution 5.23)</p>	Essential	2 & 5	Wetlands International and its Specialist Groups	<p>Strategic Plan: Objective 3</p> <p>Aichi Target: Targets 12 & 19</p>	[€100,000]
<p>Other international reviews Guide the process of preparation of a) updated review of information from surveys (Action Plan 7.4b); b) updated review of pertinent hunting and trade legislation (Action Plan 7.4d) and c) updated review of re-establishment projects (Action Plan 7.4f)</p>	Essential	2 & 5		<p>Strategic Plan: Objective 3</p> <p>Aichi Target: Targets 12 & 19</p>	<p>[€130,000]</p> <p>7.4b) [€40,000]</p> <p>7.4d) [€60,000]</p> <p>7.4f) [€30,000]</p>
<p>Review and reformatting of existing Conservation Guidelines Review AEWAs Conservation Guidelines (CG) nos. 1, 3, 4, 7, 8 and 9¹³ to ensure they continue to reflect best conservation practice. Ensure that revision of CG 9 on waterbird monitoring covers guidance on a) colonial waterbird monitoring, and b) demographic monitoring.</p> <p>Undertake any necessary updates or revision, and reformat in the context of any new CG format agreed by Standing Committee 11 (following the current review of CG format). Submit any revised CGs to MOP 7.</p>	High	1	Wetlands International and others	<p>Strategic Plan: Objective 4.3</p> <p>Aichi Target: Target 1</p>	<p>[€60,000]</p> <p>six x [€10,000]</p>

¹³ Guidelines = 1. National Single Species Action Plans; 3. Preparation of site inventories; 4. Management of key sites; 7. Ecotourism; 8. Reducing crop damage, damage to fisheries, bird strikes and other forms of conflict; and 9. waterbird monitoring protocol

Theme: Strategic, reporting, emerging and other issues

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€)
Update of Strategic Plan Input to the revision of the updated Strategic Plan to go to MOP7	Essential	2		Strategic Plan: Operational Principle 1 Aichi Target: All relevant Targets	–
Strategic Plan Targets Further consider how to assess progress towards reaching Strategic Plan (2009-2017) Targets 3.4 and 5.8 (Resolution 5.2).	Essential	2		Strategic Plan: Objectives 3.2, 3.4, 4.3, 4.4, 5.7 & 5.8 Aichi Target: Target 11	[€10,000]
Revision of POAA Input to the revision of the Plan of Action for Africa	Essential	2		Strategic Plan: Objective 3.5 Aichi Target: All relevant Targets	–
National Reports Revise the national report format and make the necessary adjustments on the basis of received feedback after the MOP6 reporting cycle and address necessary changes following MOP6, amongst others, [to be added at MOP 6]	Essential	2		Strategic Plan: Objectives 3 & 5.5 Aichi Target: Target 12	TO BE ADDED AT MOP 6
Population status module for national reports Further develop a modular approach to national reporting with modules reported at different intervals including development of a module on population status for MOP 7 national reports.	Essential	2		Strategic Plan: Objective 5.7 Aichi Target: Target 19	[€20,000]
Harmonisation of reporting Continue to promote synchronised timing and other synergies with the reporting cycles of CMS, Ramsar, EU Birds Directive (Article 12) and others as opportunities permit.	High	2	CMS Scientific Council; Ramsar Secretariat and STRP; European Commission and others	Strategic Plan: Objective 5.7 Aichi Target: Target 19	–
Common implementation indicators Consider developing common implementation indicators for AEWA and Ramsar, if appropriate, based, for example on the TEMATEA tool	Other	2	Ramsar (STRP); CMS Scientific Council	Strategic Plan: Objective 5.7	[€10,000]

Task	Priority for delivery	Category of task	Collaboration with other organisations	Supports Strategic Plan Objective and Aichi Target:	Provisional estimated cost (€)
(Resolution 5.19). (carried over from Work Plan 2012-2015) Will need also to consider relationship with the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species.				Aichi Target: Target 19	
Delivery of Aichi Targets Continue to assess progress on issues relevant to the Aichi Targets, and to present triennial assessments of AEWA's contribution to each of the relevant Aichi Targets, elaborating further needs as necessary and appropriate, as an agenda item for each future MOP through to 2020. (Resolution 5.23)	Essential	2		Strategic Plan: Objective 5.7 Aichi Target: All relevant Targets	[€20,000]
Emerging diseases Continue to participate in the CMS Scientific Council Working Group on wildlife diseases.	High	2	CMS Scientific Council, Ramsar STRP, FAO, OIE and others	Strategic Plan: Objectives 1 & 5.7 Aichi Target: Target 12	No direct costs, possible T&S need for meetings [€2,000]
Implementation On request, assist with Parties implementation of the Agreement including possible participation in Implementation Review Process missions. [Also CMS Flyways Programme of Work #17]	High	2	Contracting Parties		[€30,000]

Appendix III - AEWA Technical Committee: Register of interests

Introduction

As an international advisory body, it is essential that Technical Committee (TC) members are able to distance themselves from any possibility of a conflict of interest(s) between their personal professional interests and their responsibilities to AEWA. The TC therefore will create and hold a register whereby relevant interest(s) can be declared. These forms will be publically available on request. The following guidance on conflict of interest is relevant.

Conflict of interest is:

- a situation in which a person owing a duty to provide professional advice or skill is compromised in the performance of that duty by either a like duty to another whose interests' conflict with the first, or by the opportunity to make a personal profit;
- an obligation not to place oneself in a position of conflict arise from the duty of loyalty and confidentiality — this proscription applies to an actual conflict and extends to avoiding the appearance of conflict; and
- is determined objectively — the existence of a conflict of interest arises when a fair-minded person might reasonably suspect the person has a conflict of interest.
- Where there is conflict the person must act in perfect good faith and make full disclosure of the interest.
- A conflict of interest may be consented to — the person must act with full candour and make an appropriately complete disclosure about the conflict its likely scope and implications.

You should disclose interests that could: i) significantly impair your objectivity in carrying out your duties and responsibilities for the TC, or ii) create an unfair advantage for you or any person or organization; and which could result in your securing a direct and material gain through outcomes of a TC process (for example commercial advantage in gaining contracted work).

For the purposes of this policy, circumstances that could lead a reasonable person to question your objectivity, or whether an unfair advantage has been created, constitute a potential conflict of interest and should be disclosed in this form. Disclosure of an interest on this form does not automatically mean that a conflict is present or that you will be unable to perform your designated role with the TC.

If in doubt about whether an interest should be disclosed, individuals are encouraged to disclose that information. In filling out this form, we rely on your professionalism, common sense and honesty.

It is the responsibility of each individual to ensure that their declaration is up to date at all times.

**AEWA TECHNICAL COMMITTEE
REGISTER OF INTERESTS**

Name:

Position in TC:

Current employer:

1. Do you have any significant and relevant professional activities that might be considered conflicts of interest?

Yes No

(Please list current, significant, and relevant professional and other non-financial interests which could be interpreted as i) significantly impairing your objectivity in carrying out your duties and responsibilities for the TC; or ii) creating an unfair advantage for you or any person or organisation. This might include, but is not limited to, membership on the boards of advocacy groups.)

Details:

2. Do you have any significant and relevant financial interests in the subject matter of the work in which you will be involved, which might be considered conflicts of interest?

Yes No

(Please list current, significant, and relevant financial interests which could be interpreted as i) significantly impairing your objectivity in carrying out your duties and responsibilities for the TC, or ii) creating an unfair advantage for you, or any person or organization. These may include employment relationships, consulting relationships, and/or commercial interests.)

Details:

3. Is there anything else that could affect your objectivity or independence in the work in which you will be involved which might result in bias?

Yes No

Details:

I hereby declare to the best of my knowledge that the disclosed information is complete and correct.

Date

Signature: