**DRAFT WORK PLAN 2020-2023**

**Introduction**

The AEWA Red-breasted Goose International Working Group is charged with coordinating and facilitating the implementation of the AEWA International Single Species Action Plan for the Conservation of the Red-breasted Goose.

In order to increase the implementation of conservation action for the species, it is proposed to develop and adopt an inter-sessional work plan at the 2nd Meeting of the Working Group in November 2019.

It should be noted that the purpose of the work plan is specifically to facilitate the timely planning of and fundraising for internationally coordinated activities prioritized by the Working Group. The work plan is not meant to cover all nationally relevant activities and obligations to be implemented by countries as foreseen in the Action Plan, but rather to highlight those urgent actions in need of international cooperation and/or support to ensure timely implementation.

A workplan template for the next inter-sessional period (2020-2023) is attached below to be populated by Working Group members.

A final version will be prepared for adoption by the Coordinator after the meeting incorporating the outcomes of the various agenda items and brainstorming sessions.

**Action requested from the Working Group**

The Working Group is requested to review the attached draft work plan for the next inter-sessional period and to use it as a basis for the discussions at the meeting. A final draft will be circulated for adoption after the meeting.

Annex I - DRAFT work plan for the AEWA Red-breasted Goose International Working Group 2020-2023

| **Project/activity** | **Pop.** | **Timeframe** | **Lead** | **Actions** | **Budget** | **Comments** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***Result 1: Sufficient feeding opportunity available in staging and wintering areas*** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***Result 2: The impact of development in the wintering and staging areas minimised through strategic planning*** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***Result 3: Detrimental development in breeding grounds minimised*** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***Result 4: Risk of poisoning by rodenticides significantly reduced*** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***Result 5: Direct and indirect mortality from hunting significantly reduced*** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***Result 6: A site network of protected areas functioning effectively*** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ***Result 7: The species’ status and the effect of action plan implementation, assessed by monitoring numbers and demography*** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| ***Result 8: The severity of threat from lead poisoning evaluated*** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |