

Secretariat provided by the United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP) Agenda item: 20 Doc: AEWA/MOP 3.16 Date: 12 August 2005 Original: English

3rd SESSION OF THE MEETING OF THE PARTIES TO THE AGREEMENT ON THE CON-SERVATION OF AFRICAN-EURASIAN MIGRATORY WATERBIRDS (AEWA)

23 – 27 October 2005, Dakar, Senegal

PROPOSAL FOR NEW SPECIES TO BE ADDED TO AEWA ANNEX 2

INTRODUCTION

AEWA's 2nd Session of the Meeting of the Parties in Resolution 2.1 requested the Technical Committee of the Agreement, *inter alia*, to review further development of the Agreement by including additional species of wetland birds and species traditionally considered to be seabirds, looking in the first instance at the species listed in Table 2 and Table 3 of the document AEWA/MOP 2.9. The Technical Committee was also requested to consider in particular, the extent to which the existing Action Plan is adequate in its scope to address differing conservation problems faced by birds of prey, passerines and other taxonomic groups using wetlands.

The issue of inclusion of new species to the Agreement was discussed by the Technical Committee at its fourth (in 2003), fifth (in 2004) and more substantively at its sixth (in 2005) meeting. As a result of these discussions the technical Committee decided to recommend to MOP3 for the time being not to include any bird species from Table 3 of the document AEWA/MOP 2.9, which listed wetland dependent passerines, birds of prey and owls.

The Technical Committee felt that it is still premature to include large number of passerine species for several reasons. Firstly, the concern was that the passerines would dilute the focus on the current species and might weaken the effectiveness of the Agreement. About most of them is lacking necessary scientific knowledge for effective conservation. At the same time it was felt that passerines anyway would benefit from appropriate management of wetlands for the current AEWA species.

Birds of prey and owls were rejected on the ground that CMS is currently looking at the options that might lead to another intergovernmental instrument for their conservation.

As a result, the Technical Committee decided to analyse closer the seabird species listed in Table 2 of the document AEWA/MOP 2.9 in order to assess the degree to which may be appropriate to include in the Agreement's Annex 2. Information on these species and threats that they are facing was summarised in three tables, which are appended to this paper. It is worth mentioning that already in the current Annex 2 of the Agreement a number of seabird species have been listed in the past, both in the original listings and further Southern African species added at MoP2.

In Table 1 (*Summarised information on the candidate seabird species for inclusion in the AEWA and Action Plan*) was collated information about species'/sub-species' population sizes, distribution ranges and overlap with the Agreement area, migration patterns and threats. Two criteria were used to filter out species/sub-species for further consideration:

1) A species/sub-species should breed within the Agreement area and its range should overlap with the Agreement area by 75% or more. It is thought that only in cases when a species/sub-species occurs mainly within the Agreement area, i.e. it overlaps with its range by at least ³/₄, the flyway approach could be applied and AEWA could potentially make a contribution towards its conservation. However, as an exception to this criterion was suggested to consider species/sub-species whose range overlaps with the Agreement area by less than 75%, but whose breeding population in concentrated in the Agreement area and main known threats are occurring in the breeding sites;

2) A species/sub-species should demonstrate clear migration (wandering) patterns.

After applying these two criteria 30 species/sub-species out of 55 were selected. However, of these, only 13 meet to greater extent the definition of migratory species, i.e. those that cyclically and predictably cross one or more national jurisdictional boundaries. These species/sub-species are shaded in darker grey in Table 1. The remaining 17 species/subspecies are largely wandering (dispersive) or some of them (Great Frigatebird, Lesser Frigatebird, Black Noddy and Black Guillemot) even generally sedentary with poorly known dispersal patterns of juveniles and non-breeders, therefore poorly fit into the definition of migratory species, though they fly over long distances and probably cross national borders. Nearly all of them are pelagic in the non-breeding season, i.e. spend part of their annual cycle far offshore, often in international waters. These species are shaded in lighter grey in Table 1.

Concerns have been raised that the threats confronted by these pelagic birds fall into a completely different category from those tackled by AEWA so far. The dimension is also quite different, because the habitat of the pelagic birds in the non-breeding season has a status of international waters. However, it should be noted that the Agreement area covers large parts of the Atlantic and the Indian Oceans as well as other seas. The fact that no measures have been envisaged in the Action Plan to tackle threats to waterbirds in international waters should not be seen as a reason for avoiding activities in these parts of the Agreement area in future, if considered appropriate.

In addition were identified 3 exceptions, as described above in criterion 1 – one new subspecies of an already qualifying species and two new species. In Table 1 they are shaded in black. However, all the three exceptions fall into the group of wandering pelagic birds.

In Table 2 (*Threats posed on candidate seabirds for inclusion in the AEWA and Action Plan*) appended to this paper have been summarised the threats to all seabird species considered by the Technical Committee (note the light and dark shading of those species that

met the two criteria applied to Table 1 and black shading for the exceptions). Ten general types of threats were highlighted by the analysis. Those relevant to the seabirds proposed for further consideration are shaded: light grey for the dispersal pelagic species, dark grey for the genuine migratory species and black for the exceptions. In addition the global and European threat status has been listed for each species accordingly. Major finding is that they all are Least Concern according to the IUCN Red List classification with one exception only (the Ascension Frigatebird is Vulnerable). At European level only four species are considered to be either Vulnerable, Rare or Depleted, while the rest are thought to be Secure (some with provisional status).

In Table 3 (*List of threats and references to paragraphs of the AEWA Action Plan* (2003–2005) *that deal with them*) are listed all ten general types of threats against numbers of relevant paragraphs from the AEWA Action Plan that deal with such threats. They are presented in descending order based on the number of proposed new species exposed to each particular threat. This simple analysis revealed that the current AEWA Action Plan does not tackle four types of threats (shaded). For each of them is suggested where in the Action Plan should a new paragraph/sub-chapter be developed. Climate change is thought to have (potential) impact on all species, including also the current AEWA species, and therefore was recognized as most significant amongst all listed threats; a separate sub-chapter of the Action Plan are overfishing, oil spills, and bycatch in fishing equipment.

This proposal was approved for submission to MOP3 by the Standing Committee at its 3^{rd} meeting in July 2005.

ACTION REQUESTED FROM THE MEETING OF THE PARTIES

The Meeting of the Parties is requested to review and discuss the proposal, and to decide on some or all suggested options for extension of the AEWA species list (Annex 2).

Table 1. Summarised information on the candidate seabird species for inclusion in the AEWA and Action Plan

LEGEND:

Species/subspecies whose range overlaps with AEWA area by at least 75% and is migratory (meets criteria 1 and 2 above)

Species/subspecies whose range overlaps with AEWA area by at least 75%, but is wandering (dispersive) (meets criterion 1 only)

Species/subspecies whose range does overlaps with AEWA area by less than 75%, but main threats occur in breeding areas (exception from criterion 1)

Species name (global population size)	Sub-species name	Relative population size (within the agreement area)	Relative overlap of distribution with the AEWA area (% within)	Migration pat- terns	Threats					
PHAETHONTIDAE										
Phaethon aethereus Red-billed Tropicbird < 10,000 pairs	aethereus	<3,000 pairs	50% (S Atlantic)	No regular migra- tion. Extensive dis- persal of juv. Over waters around the breeding grounds.	Terrestrial preda- tors (cats and rats)					
	mesonauta	100 pairs (Cape Verde Islands, esti- mation 1990)	Ca. 20% (E Atlantic, E Pacific, Caribbean)	No regular migra- tion. Extensive dis- persal of juv. Over waters around the breeding grounds (birds from Cape Verde move to ar- eas of upwelling off W Africa fairly close to breeding colonies)	Terrestrial preda- tors (cats and rats)					

	indicus	? x 100 pairs	Ca. 100% (Persian Gulf, Gulf of Aden, Red Sea)	No regular migra- tion. Extensive dis- persal of juv. Over waters around the breeding grounds.	Terrestrial preda- tors (cats and rats)
Phaethon rubri- cauda Red-tailed Tropicbird Stable population, sev- eral tens of thousands pairs (20-30,000)	rubricauda	? x 1,000 pairs	Ca. 100% (W Indian Ocean)	No regular migra- tion. Probably more dispersal than the other Tropicbird species.	Terrestrial preda- tors (cats and rats). Unsustain- able human ex- ploitation for food (not mentioned for the W Indian Ocean)
<i>Phaethon lepturus</i> White-tailed Tropic- bird At least 24,000-30,000 pairs, probably more	lepturus	Ca. 2,500 pairs	Ca. 50% (Indian Ocean). Most colonies located within the Agreement area.	Resident and dis- persive, adults and juv. Often wander- ing extensively as much as 1,000 km.	Terrestrial preda- tors (cats and rats). Unsustain- able human ex- ploitation for food (not mentioned for the W Indian Ocean)

Species name (global population size)	Sub-species name	Relative population size (within the agreement area)	Relative overlap of distribution with the AEWA area (% within)	Migration pat- terns	Threats				
SULIDAE									
Sula (Morus) bas- sana Northern Gannet Global population > 600,000 birds (estimation 1984/85)		Ca. 225,000 pairs (es- timation 1984/85)	Ca. 75%	Well-known N-S migration, reaches the equator.	Overfishing				
Sula dactylatra Masked Booby Difficult to estimate, probably between 200,000 and 400,000 (very rough estimation)	dactylatra	Ca. 32,500-57,500 pairs	Touches upon the boundaries of the Agreement area (Car- ibbean and SW Atlan- tic)	Most adults spend all year round in the vicinity of the col- ony, extensive dis- persal of young, sometimes of adults too. May forage 1,000 km away from any land.	Terrestrial preda- tors (cats and rats). Unsustain- able human ex- ploitation for food. Tourism development.				
	melanops	Declining, few colo- nies left, protection essential.	100% (W Indian Ocean)	Most adults spend all year round in the vicinity of the col- ony, extensive dis- persal of young, sometimes of adults too. May forage 1,000 km away from any land.	Terrestrial preda- tors (cats and rats). Unsustain- able human ex- ploitation for food. Tourism development.				

Sula sula Red-footed Booby Global population > 1,000,000 birds	sula	Ca. 100 pairs (S At- lantic)	Touches upon the boundaries of the Agreement area (Car- ibbean and SW Atlan- tic)	Extensive dispersal over tropical oceans. Obscure regular movements.	Habitat destruc- tion (tree nesting species). Terres- trial predators (cats and rats). Egg-collection and poaching. Tourism devel- opment.
	rubripes	Declining, not nu- merous.	Ca. 7% (tropical W & C Pacific and Indian Ocean)	Extensive dispersal over tropical oceans. Obscure regular movements.	Habitat destruc- tion (tree nesting species). In the W Indian Ocean at least 12 colonies lost over the last 100 years due to habitat loss. Ter- restrial predators (cats and rats). Egg-collection and poaching. Tourism devel- opment.

Sula leucogaster Brown Booby Most numerous of the family, but difficult es- timate, probably several hundred thousand indi- viduals	leucogaster	? x 1,000 pairs	Ca. 50% (Caribbean and tropical Atlantic)	Adults more or less resident, juv. spread widely, records of inter-colony ex- change	Terrestrial preda- tors (cats and rats). Unsustain- able human ex- ploitation for food. Tourism
					development.
	plotus	? x 1,000 pairs	Ca. 15%	Adults more or less	Terrestrial preda-
			(Red Sea and W Indian	resident, juv. spread	tors (cats and
			Ocean to C Pacific)	widely, records of	rats). Unsustain-
				inter-colony ex-	able human ex-
				change	ploitation for
					food. Tourism
					development.

Species name (global population size)	Sub-species name	Relative population size (within the agreement area)	Relative overlap of distribution with the AEWA area (% within)	Migration pat- terns	Threats					
PHALACROCORACIDAE										
Phalacrocorax aris- totelis European Shag Ca. 100,000 pairs	aristotelis	? x 10,000 to ? x 100,000	100% (Iceland and N Scandi- navia S to Iberian Pen- insula)	Sedentary with post-breading dis- persion mostly of young over short distances. Birds from Norwegian and British popula- tion move up to 1,000 km from colonies.	No specific threats, general ones with impact on cliff breeding seabirds.					
	desmarestii	Ca. 10,000 pairs, de- clining	100% (C Mediterranean E to Black Sea)	Sedentary with lim- ited post-breading dispersal.	No specific threats, general ones with impact on cliff breeding seabirds.					
	riggenbachi	? x 1,000	100% (Coast of Morocco)	Sedentary with lim- ited post-breading dispersal.	No specific threats, general ones with impact on cliff breeding seabirds.					

Species name (global population size)	Sub-species name	Relative population size (within the agreement area)	Relative overlap of distribution with the AEWA area (% within)	Migration pat- terns	Threats
		FREG	ATIDAE		
<i>Fregata aquila</i> Ascension Frigatebird 1,000-1,500 pairs or less	-	1,000-1,500 pairs or less	100% (Ascension Island)	Sedentary, rarely wandering more than 150 km from colony	Terrestrial preda- tors (cats and rats). Intensified human activities on the island since 1982 when a military base was established.
Fregata magnificens Magnificent Frigate- bird Several 100,000 birds	-	Probably declined to 10 birds only (Cape Verde islands)	Ca. 15% (E Pacific, Caribbean, SW Atlantic, E Atlan- tic)	Sedentary with dis- persal of imm. and non-breeders.	Habitat destruc- tion, disturbance, persecution (by fishermen).
Fregata minor Great Frigatebird 500,000 to 1,000,000 birds	aldabrensis	? x 1,000	100% (W Indian Ocean)	Sedentary with dis- persal of imm. and non-breeders.	Habitat destruc- tion, disturbance, persecution (by fishermen), ter- restrial predators.
<i>Fregata ariel</i> Lesser Frigatebird Several 100,000 birds	iredalei	? x 1,000	100% (W Indian Ocean)	Sedentary with dis- persal of imm. and non-breeders.	Habitat destruc- tion, disturbance, persecution (by fishermen), ter- restrial predators.

Species name (global population size)	Sub-species name	Relative population size (within the agreement area)	Relative overlap of distribution with the AEWA area (% within)	Migration pat- terns	Threats				
STERCORARIDAE									
Catharacta antarc- tica Brown (Subantarctic) Skua Ca. 13,000-14,000 pairs	lonnbergi	Not breeding within the Agreement area.	<5% (Antarctic Peninsula, subantarctic islands of Atlantic, Indian and Pacific Oceans)	Usually sedentary, at harsh winter dis- perse in sea.	Persecution.				
Catharacta skua Great Skua 13,600 pairs	-	13,600 pairs	100% (breeding range), ca. 80% (wintering range)	Well-defined N-S migration	Persecution, (over)fishing.				
Stercorarius pomarinus Pomarine Skua Several 10,000 pairs	-	? x 1,000 (to ? x 10,000)	Ca. 30% (breeding range) (Arc- tic Zone of Palearctic and Holarctic). Part of the wintering range covers the W and SW African coast, Persian Gulf and red Sea.	Well-defined N-S migration.	No specific threats are de- scribed.				
Stercorarius para- siticus Arctic Skua Several 100,000 pairs	-	? x 10,000	Ca. 30% (breeding range) (Arc- tic Zone of Palearctic and Holarctic). Part of the wintering range covers the SW, S and SE African coast.	Well-defined N-S migration.	Persecution.				

Stercorarius longi- caudus Long-tailed Skua Several 100,000 pairs	longicaudus	? x 10,000 (to ? x 100,000)	100% (breeding range) (Arc- tic and subarctic Zone of Palearctic). Winter- ing range is offshore S Africa and S South America.	Well-defined N-S migration.	Probably climate change.
	pallescens	? x 10,000 (to ? x 100,000)	Ca. 25% (breeding range) (Arc- tic and subarctic Zone of Holarctic and Palearctic). Wintering range is offshore S Af- rica and S South Amer- ica.	Well-defined N-S migration.	Probably climate change.

Species name (global population size)	Sub-species name	Relative population size (within the agreement area)	Relative overlap of distribution with the AEWA area (% within)	Migration pat- terns	Threats					
LARIDAE										
Pagophila eburnea Ivory Gull 9,000-25,000 pairs	-	Rough estimation 3,000-5,000 pairs	Ca. 20% (breeding range) (High arctic of Holarctic and Palearctic)	Spends most year along ice edges, may wander as far as edge of pack ice, may even go N of breeding grounds. In non-breeding season most birds concentrate in Lab- rador Sea along ice edge of Davis Strait (between Greenland and Baffin Island)	Disturbance, probably climate change.					
<i>Rissa tridactyla</i> Black-legged Kittiwake Ca. 6,000,000- 7,000,000 pairs	tridactyla	? x 1,000,000 pairs	Ca. 90% (breeding range). Win- ters S to Sargasso Sea and W Africa.	Post-breeding dis- persal to open ocean, relatively pelagic.	Unsustainable harvest.					
Sterna anaethetus Bridled Tern	melanopterus	? x 10,000 pairs	100% (W Africa)	Unknown, wanders in open sea.	Disturbance.					
Probably >200,000 pairs	fuligula	Ca. 130,000 pairs	Ca. 95% (Red Sea and E Africa, Persian Gulf and Ara- bian Sea to W India)	Unknown, wanders in open sea.	Disturbance.					

	antarctica	? x 10,000 pairs	Ca. 75% (Madagascar, Aldabra, Seychelles and Mas- carenes through Mal- dives to Andman Si- lands)	Unknown, wanders in open sea.	Disturbance.
Sterna fuscata Sooty Tern Probably >25,000,000 pairs	fuscata	? x 100,000 or 1,000,000 pairs	Ca. 25-30% (Gulf of Mexico, incl. W Indies and E Mex- ico, Louisiana, Texas, islands in Gulf of Guinea and S Atlantic)	Pelagic, rarely seen on water. Once breeding is over the adults leave the colony and spread into the sea. Long distance wanderers.	Terrestrial preda- tors (cats and rats). Unsustain- able egg harvest (Indian Ocean). Disturbance (low flying jets). Oil pollution and spills.
	nubilosa	? x 100,000 or 1,000,000 pairs	Ca. 50% (Red Sea, Gulf of Aden and Indian Ocean E to Ryukyu Islands and Philippines)	Pelagic, rarely seen on water. Once breeding is over the adults leave the colony and spread into the sea. Long distance wanderers.	Terrestrial preda- tors (cats and rats). Unsustain- able egg harvest (Indian Ocean). Disturbance (low flying jets). Oil pollution and spills.
Anous stolidus Brown Noddy Ca. 300,000-500,000 pairs	stolidus	? x 1,000 or 10,000 pairs	Ca. 25-30% (Carib- bean, S Atlantic is- lands, and Gulf of Guinea to Cameroon)	Poorly known. Dis- perse to sea after breeding, directed movements not known. Pelagic, rarely seen on shore in non-breeding season.	Terrestrial preda- tors (cats and rats).

	plumbeigularis	? x 1,000 or 10,000 pairs	100% (Red Sea and Gulf of Aden)	Poorly known. Dis- perse to sea after breeding, directed movements not known. Pelagic, rarely seen on shore in non-breeding season.	Terrestrial preda- tors (cats and rats).
	pileanus	? x 1,000 or 10,000 pairs	Ca. 5-10% (Seychelles and Mada- gascar E to N Australia, Polynesia, Hawaii, and Easter Island)	Poorly known. Dis- perse to sea after breeding, directed movements not known. Pelagic, rarely seen on shore in non-breeding season.	Terrestrial preda- tors (cats and rats).
Anous minutus Black Noddy >200,000 pairs	atlanticus	? x 1,000 or 10,000 pairs	Ca.80-90% (Atlantic islands N & E to Gulf of Guinea)	Poorly known. Some dispersal up to 3,000 km (in the Pacific races). High racial variation suggests that the species is generally sedentary, with iso- lated populations.	No specific threats men- tioned.
Anous tenuirostris Lesser Noddy Not known, probably several 10,000 pairs	tenuirostris	? x 10,000 pairs	Ca. 95% (Seychelles, Mascarene Islands, and probably Maldives, pssible breeding attempts in Somalia, non-breeders visiting Arabia and rarely Tanzania)	Poorly known. Post-breeding dis- persal, often seen off E African coast.	Some colonies vulnerable, al- though no spe- cific threats men- tioned.

Gygis alba	alba	? x 1,000 or 10,000	Ca. 5% or less (Caro-	Poorly known.	No specific
White Tern		pairs	line Is through Melane-	Post-breeding dis-	threats men-
Not known, probably			sia to Norfolk Island	persal to sea, al-	tioned.
>100,000 pairs			and Kermadec Is, Ha-	though resident on	
			waii, W Mexico, Costa	some islands. No	
			Rica, S Atlantic Is-	movement patterns	
			lands)	are known.	
	candida	? x 1,000 or 10,000	Ca. 5% or less (Sey-	Poorly known.	No specific
		pairs	chelles and Mascarenes	Post-breeding dis-	threats men-
		-	through Indian Ocean	persal to sea, al-	tioned.
			to SC Pacific)	though resident on	
				some islands. No	
				movement patterns	
				are known.	

Species name (global population size)	Sub-species name	Relative population size (within the agreement area)	Relative overlap of distribution with the AEWA area (% within)	Migration pat- terns	Threats					
	ALCIDAE									
Alle alle Little Auk Ca. 12,000,000 (8,000,000-18,000,000) pairs	alle	Ca. 12,000,000- 13,000,000 pairs	100% (E Baffin island through Greenland and Iceland to Juan Mayen, Spitsbergen, Bear Iis- land and Novaya Zem- lia)	Mobile, undertak- ing migrations of substantial length. Movement patterns known.	Oil pollution and spills. Probably climate change.					
	polaris	Ca. 250,000 pairs	Ca. 20% (Franz Josef Land, from Severnaya Zem- lya E to Berring Sea)	Mobile, undertak- ing migrations of substantial length. Movement patterns known.	Oil pollution and spills.					
Uria aalge Common Guillemot Ca. 9,000,000 pairs	aalge	? x 100,000 pairs	Ca. 80-90% (E North America, Greenland and Iceland, through Faeroes and Scotland to S Norway and Baltic Sea)	Winters offshore at sea, mostly within breeding range. During post- breeding dispersal (very complex) usually cross "na- tional borders".	Unsustainable harvest, overfish- ing, gill-net fish- eries, oil pollution and spills, distur- bance.					
	albionis	? x 100,000 pairs	100% (Ireland and S Britain through Brittany to W Iberia; Helgoland)	Winters offshore at sea, mostly within breeding range. During post- breeding dispersal (very complex) usually cross "na- tional borders".	Unsustainable harvest, overfish- ing, gill-net fish- eries, oil pollution and spills, distur- bance.					

	hyperborea	? x 100,000 pairs	100% (Svalbard through N Norway and Murmansk to Novaya Zemlya)	Winters offshore at sea, mostly within breeding range. During post- breeding dispersal (very complex) usually cross "na- tional borders".	Unsustainable harvest, overfish- ing, gill-net fish- eries, oil pollution and spills, distur- bance.
Uria lomvia Brunnich's Guillemot Ca. 11,000,000 pairs	lomvia	Ca. 3,000,000- 4,000,000 pairs	Ca. 75-80% (NE Canada to Gulf of St Lawrence, and Greenland E to Franz Josef Land, Novaya Zemlya and Severnaya Zemlya). Center of concentration (ca. 25% of the global popula- tion) in the NW Atlan- tic, especially in E Canada Arctic and NW Greenland.	Winters mostly off- shore at sea. Dis- persal determined by ice conditions and food availabil- ity. Two major mi- gration pathways: associated with (1) Labrador current and (2) E to W Greenland Cur- rents. Often crosses "national borders".	Unsustainable harvest, overfish- ing, gill-net fish- eries, oil pollution and spills, intro- duced terrestrial predators (foxes), disturbance.
Alca torda Razorbill Ca. 700,000 (300,000- 1,200,000) pairs	torda	ca. 80,000 pairs	Ca. 85-90% (E North America, Greenland and E to Bear Island, Norway, Denmark, Baltic Sea region, Murmansk and White Sea)	Winters offshore at sea. During post- breeding dispersal usually cross "na- tional borders". Reaches quite S waters (N African and Mediterranean coasts).	Overfishing, gill- net fisheries, oil pollution and spills, introduced terrestrial preda- tors (foxes).

	islandica	Ca. 650,000 pairs	100% (Iceland, Faeroes, Brit- ain, Ireland E to Helgo- land, Channels Islands and NW France)	Winters offshore at sea. During post- breeding dispersal usually cross "na- tional borders". Reaches quite S waters (N African and Mediterranean coasts).	Overfishing, gill- net fisheries, oil pollution and spills, introduced terrestrial preda- tors (foxes).
<i>Cepphus grylle</i> Black Guillemot Unknown, estimation of 1985 Ca. 270,000 (200,000-350,000) pairs	grylle	? x 1,000 or 10,000 pairs	100% (Baltic Sea)	Resident, mostly sedentary. Winter in sheltered waters near the colony. Fledglings under- take long-distance dispersal (poorly known).	Overfishing, gill- net fisheries, oil pollution and spills, introduced terrestrial preda- tors (mink, rats).
	mandtii	? x 10,000 pairs	Ca. 75% (Arctic E North Amer- ica S to Labrador and N Newfoundland, W & E Greenland, Jan Mayen and Svalbard E through E Siberia to N Alaska	Resident, mostly sedentary. Winter in sheltered waters near the colony. Fledglings under- take long-distance dispersal (poorly known).	Overfishing, gill- net fisheries, oil pollution and spills, introduced terrestrial preda- tors (mink, rats).
	arcticus	? x 10,000 pairs	Ca. 90% (North America and S Greenland to British Is, Norway, SW Sweden, Denmark, Murmansk and White Sea)	Resident, mostly sedentary. Winter in sheltered waters near the colony. Fledglings under- take long-distance dispersal (poorly known).	Overfishing, gill- net fisheries, oil pollution and spills, introduced terrestrial preda- tors (mink, rats).

	islandicus	Ca. 50,000	100% (Iceland)	Resident, mostly sedentary. Winter in sheltered waters near the colony. Fledglings under- take long-distance dispersal (poorly known).	Overfishing, gill- net fisheries, oil pollution and spills, introduced terrestrial preda- tors (mink, rats).
	faeroeensis	? x 1,000 or 10,000 pairs	100% (Faeroes)	Resident, mostly sedentary. Winter in sheltered waters near the colony. Fledglings under- take long-distance dispersal (poorly known).	Overfishing, gill- net fisheries, oil pollution and spills, introduced terrestrial preda- tors (mink, rats).
Fratercula arctica Atlantic Puffin Ca. 6,000,000 (3,800,000-8,200,000) pairs	arctica	? x 1,000,000	Ca. 75% (SE Baffin Island and Hudson Bay S to Maine, and E through SW & S Greenland and Iceland to Bear Island, C & N Norway, Kola Peninsula and S No- vaya Zemlya)	Winters offshore widely dispersed. Post-breeding dis- persal is very com- plex, however cross "national borders".	Overfishing, gill- net fisheries, oil pollution and spills, introduced terrestrial preda- tors (rats, cats, dogs), grazing livestock, distur- bance (tourism).
	naumanni	? x 1,000,000	100% (NE Canada (high E Arctic), NW & E Greenland to Jan Mayen, Spitsbergen and N Novaya Zemlya)	Winters offshore widely dispersed. Post-breeding dis- persal is very com- plex, however cross "national borders".	Overfishing, gill- net fisheries, oil pollution and spills, introduced terrestrial preda- tors (rats, cats, dogs), grazing livestock, distur- bance (tourism).

grabae Ca. 4,50	9,000 pairs 100% (Faeroes, S Norway and SW Sweden S through British Is to Channel Is and NW France)	Winters offshore widely dispersed. Post-breeding dis- persal is very com- plex, however cross "national borders".	Overfishing, gill- net fisheries, oil pollution and spills, introduced terrestrial preda- tors (rats, cats, dogs), grazing livestock, distur- bance (tourism).
-----------------	--	--	--

References

Bakken, V. & Falk, K. (eds.) 1998. Incidental take of seabirds in commercial fisheries in the arctic countries. CAFF Technical Report No. 1. CAFF International Secretariat. 50 pp.

Chardine, J. & Mendenhall, V. 1998. Human disturbance at arctic seabird colonies. CAFF Technical Report No. 2. CAFF International Secretariat. 18 pp.

del Hojo, J., Elliott, A. & Sargatal, J. eds. (1992) Handbook of the Birds of the World. Vol. 1. Ostrich to Ducks. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona

del Hojo, J., Elliott, A. & Sargatal, J. eds. (1996) *Handbook of the Birds of the World*. Vol. 3. Hoatzin to Auks. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona

Denlinger, L. & Wohl, K. (eds.) 2001. Seabird harvest regimes in the circumpolar nations. CAFF Technical Report No. 9. CAFF International Secretariat. 56 pp.

Table 2. Threats posed on candidate seabirds for inclusion in the AEWA and Action Plan

LEGEND:

Species/subspecies whose range overlaps with AEWA area by at least 75% and is migratory (meets criteria 1 and 2 above)

Species/subspecies whose range overlaps with AEWA area by at least 75%, but is wandering (dispersive) (meets criterion 1 only)

Species/subspecies whose range does overlaps with AEWA area by less than 75%, but main threats occur in breeding areas (exception from criterion 1)

Species scientific name	Species common name	Threats									Comments			
		habitat loss	hunting & persecution	introduced predators (rats, cats, etc)	unsustainable human ex- ploitation	limited to few sites	disturbance	accidental mortality (nets, hooks)	climate change	food shortage (overfishing)	pollution (oil spills)	Threat status, global & (Europe) (after BirdLife International, 2004)	SPEC (after BirdLife International, 2004)	
PHAETONIDAE														
Phaethon aethereus	Red-billed Tropicbird			Х								LC		Cap Verde: alarming decline
Phaethon rubricauda	Red-tailed Tropicbird			Х	Х							LC		
Phaethon lepturus	White-tailed Tropicbird			Х	X							LC		
SULIDAE														
Sula (Morus) bassana	Northern Gannet					Χ		Х		Χ		LC (S)		susceptible to catastrophes
Sula dactylatra	Masked Booby			Х	Х		Х					LC		
Sula sula	Red-footed Booby	Х		Х	Х		Х					LC		
Sula leucogaster	Brown Booby			Х	Х		Х					LC		
PHALACROCORACIDAE														
Phalacrocorax aristotelis	European Shag											LC ((S))		decline in some populations
FREGATIDAE														
Fregata aquila	Ascension Frigatebird			Х	Х	Х	Х	Х				VU		
Fregata magnificens	Magnificent Frigatebird	Х	Х				Х					LC		
Fregata minor	Great Frigatebird	Χ	Χ	Χ			Χ		Χ			LC		
Fregata ariel	Lesser Frigatebird	Χ	Х	X			Х		Χ			LC		

Species scientific name	Species common name	Threats								Comments				
		habitat loss	hunting & persecution	introduced predators (rats, cats, etc)	unsustainable human ex- ploitation	limited to few sites	disturbance	accidental mortality (nets, hooks)	climate change	food shortage (overfishing)	pollution (oil spills)	Threat status, global & (Europe) (after BirdLife International, 2004)	SPEC (after BirdLife International, 2004)	
STERCORARIIDAE														
Catharacta antarctica	Brown (Subantarctic) Skua		Х									LC		decline in some populations
Catharacta skua	Great Skua		X					Х		X		LC (S)		decline in some populations
Sterocorarius pomarinus	Pomarine Skua											LC ((S))		no sufficient data
Sterocorarius parasiticus	Arctic Skua		х						х			LC ((S))		
Sterocorarius Iongicaudus	Long tailed Skup													
I A RIDAE												((3))		
Pagophila eburnea	Ivory Gull						x		x		х	LC ((R))	3	fewer than 500 bp, trend difficult to assess, large de- cline
Rissa tridactyla	Black-legged Kittiwake				Х					х		LC ((S))		populations vary in size
Sterna anaethetus	Bridled Tern						Х					LC		
Sterna <u>f</u> uscata	Sooty Tern			Х	Χ		X				Χ	LC		
Anous stolidus	Brown Noddy			X								LC		some populations are vulner- able
Anous minutus	Black Noddy											LC		some populations are vulner- able
Anous tenuirostris	Lesser Noddy											LC		some populations are vulner- able
Gygis alba	White Tern											LC		
ALCIDAE														
Alle alle	Little Auk								x		X	LC ((S))		decline of some populations
Uria aalge	Common Guillemot				X		X	X		X	X	LC ((S))		decline of some populations
Uria lomvia	Brünnich's Guillemot			х	Х		X	х		X	X	LC ((VU))	3	decline of some populations
Alca torda	Razorbill			Х				Х		X	X	LC ((S))		decline in Norway + Ireland
Cepphus grylle	Black Guillemot			Х			Х	Х		Χ	Χ	LC (H)	2	decline of some populations
Fratercula arctica	Atlantic Puffin	X		X			Χ	X		X	X	LC ((H))	2	large decline

IUCN Red List categories

EX - extinct, EW - extinct in the wild, CR (PE) – critically endangered (possibly extinct), CR – critically endangered, EN – endangered, VU – vulnerable, NT – near threatened, LC – least concern, DD – data deficient, NE – not evaluated, NR – not recognised

European Treat Status

CR – critically endangered, EN – endangered, VU – vulnerable, D – declining, R - rare, H – depleted, L – localised, S – secure, DD – data deficient, NE – not evaluated, () – status provisional

Categories of Species of European Conservation Concern (SPEC)

SPEC 1 – European species of global conservation concern, i.e. classified as Critically Endangered, Endangered, Vulnerable, Near Threatened or Data Deficient under the IUCN Red List Criteria at a global level

SPEC 2 – Species whose global populations are concentrated in Europe, and which have an Unfavourable conservation status in Europe

SPEC 3 - Species whose global populations are not concentrated in Europe, but which have an Unfavourable conservation status in Europe

References

Bakken, V. & Falk, K. (eds.) 1998. Incidental take of seabirds in commercial fisheries in the arctic countries. CAFF Technical Report No. 1. CAFF International Secretariat. 50 pp.

BirdLife International (2004) Threatened birds of the world 2004. CD-ROM. Cambridge, UK: BirdLife International.

BirdLife International (2004) *Birds in Europe: population estimates, trends and conservation status*. Cambridge, UK: BirdLife International (BirdLife Conservation Series No. 12)

Chardine, J. & Mendenhall, V. 1998. Human disturbance at arctic seabird colonies. CAFF Technical Report No. 2. CAFF International Secretariat. 18 pp.

del Hojo, J., Elliott, A. & Sargatal, J. eds. (1992) Handbook of the Birds of the World. Vol. 1. Ostrich to Ducks. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona

del Hojo, J., Elliott, A. & Sargatal, J. eds. (1996) Handbook of the Birds of the World. Vol. 3. Hoatzin to Auks. Lynx Edicions, Barcelona

Denlinger, L. & Wohl, K. (eds.) 2001. Seabird harvest regimes in the circumpolar nations. CAFF Technical Report No. 9. CAFF International Secretariat. 56 pp.

Threat (no. species threatened)	Action plan paragraph
Climate change / unfavorable weather condi-	Virtually (potentially) affecting all spe-
tions (all)	cies, not only the newly proposed sea-
	birds, but the Action Plan does not tackle
	the issue of climate change. Needs a new
	sub-chapter. Unfavorable weather condi-
	tions to go under Emergency measures
	(2.3)
Introduced predators (12)	2.5.3
Disturbance / tourism / leisure activities (9)	2.1.1 (b), 4.2, 4.3.5, 4.3.6, 6.3, 6.4
Food shortage (due to overfishing) (8)	Needs additional paragraph(s) 4.3.X +
	cross-reference to 3.2 (non-fishing zones)
Accidental mortality (fishing nets, hooks) (7)	Needs additional paragraph(s) 4.3.X
Pollution (oil spills) (7)	Needs additional subchapter 3.X or 4.X +
	cross-reference to 2.3
Unsustainable human exploitation (5)	2.1.1 (a)/(c), 2.1.2 (a) - (d)
Hunting / persecution (3)	4.1
Habitat destruction / habitat loss (3)	3.2, 3.3, 4.3.5, 4.3.6
Concentration on few sites (1)	Although a threat for some species it is
	not a human-induced factor

Table 3. List of threats and references to paragraphs of the AEWA Action Plan(2003–2005) that deal with them.