

AGREEMENT ON THE CONSERVATION OF AFRICAN-EURASIAN MIGRATORY WATERBIRDS

13th MEETING OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE

03 - 05 July 2018, The Hague, the Netherlands

DRAFT AEWA STRATEGIC PLAN 2019–2027

Introduction

Building on AEWA's first Strategic Plan, which initially covered the period 2009–2017 but was later extended to 2018, the *AEWA Strategic Plan 2019–2027* provides the framework for implementation of the Agreement by the Contracting Parties, Standing Committee, Technical Committee, Secretariat and Partners. It covers three complete triennia (or intersessional periods) between sessions of the Meeting of the Parties (MOP), from MOP7 (in 2018, when the draft *Strategic Plan 2019–2027* will be tabled for discussion and final adoption by Contracting Parties), to MOP10 due to be held in 2027.

MOP6 (Bonn, 2015) instructed the Standing Committee, working with the Technical Committee and Secretariat, to revise the existing Strategic Plan and to present a draft covering the period 2019–2027 for consideration at MOP7 (2018). The Standing Committee established a Strategic Plan Working Group (SPWG) consisting of the Standing Committee, the EU and nine further Parties, the Technical Committee and other observers and partners.

A facilitated meeting of the SPWG was held in Bonn, 28–30 June 2016. This discussed the findings of an evaluation of the existing Strategic Plan 2009-2017 and identified some of the key elements to be included in the new Strategic Plan, including provisional Objectives and content for many of the associated Targets and Actions. Alongside detailed notes taken during the meeting, these have been used by the compilers, the Rubicon Foundation (in consultation with the UNEP/AEWA Secretariat) as the basis for a preliminary consultation draft circulated to members of the SPWG in September 2016.

This draft was sent to all Contracting Parties in March 2017 for consultation, after which a final draft was produced according to the comments received. The final version was subsequently agreed by the Working Group.

Action Requested from the Standing Committee

The Standing Committee is requested to approve the Draft AEWA Strategic Plan 2019-2027 for submission to MOP7 for adoption.



DRAFT AEWA STRATEGIC PLAN 2019–2027

Prepared by the Rubicon Foundation, in consultation with the UNEP/AEWA Secretariat, on behalf of the Strategic Plan Working Group

Final version: July 2017

Contents

Scope
Process
Structure
Overarching and cross-cutting issues
Operational principles
Draft AEWA Strategic Plan 2019 - 2027: Logical Framework
Objective 1: To strengthen species conservation and recovery and reduce causes of unnecessary mortality
Objective 2: To ensure that any use and management of migratory waterbird populations is sustainable across their flyways
Objective 3: To establish and sustain a coherent and comprehensive flyway network of protected areas and other sites, managed to maintain – and where necessary restore – their national and international importance for migratory waterbird populations
Objective 4: To ensure there is sufficient quantity and quality of habitat in the wider environment for achieving and maintaining favourable conservation status for migratory waterbird populations
Objective 5: To ensure and strengthen the knowledge, capacity, recognition, awareness and resources required for the Agreement to achieve its conservation objectives
Annex I – Summary of Technical Committee outputs required under the Draft AEWA Strategic Plan 2019- 2027
Annex II – List of AEWA Partners covered by the 'Main Actors' column of the Strategic Plan

Scope

Building on AEWA's first Strategic Plan, which initially covered the period 2009–2017 but was later extended to 2018, the *AEWA Strategic Plan 2019–2027* provides the framework for implementation of the Agreement by the Contracting Parties, Standing Committee, Technical Committee, Secretariat and Partners. It covers three complete triennia (or intersessional periods) between sessions of the Meeting of the Parties (MOP), from MOP7 (in 2018, when the draft *Strategic Plan 2019–2027* will be tabled for discussion and final adoption by Contracting Parties), to MOP10 due to be held in 2027.

Process

MOP6 (Bonn, 2015) instructed the Standing Committee, working with the Technical Committee and Secretariat, to revise the existing Strategic Plan and to present a draft covering the period 2019–2027 for consideration at MOP7 (2018). The Standing Committee established a Strategic Plan Working Group (SPWG) with participation as follows:

Parties: Uganda (Chair of Standing Committee and Chair of SPWG), France (Vice-Chair of Standing Committee), Georgia, Ghana, Libya, Netherlands (all Standing Committee members), plus EU, plus nine other Parties (appointed on a 'first-come, first-served' basis) – Benin, Czech Republic, Germany, Kenya, Mauritius, South Africa, Swaziland, the United Kingdom and the United Republic of Tanzania.

Technical Committee: Chair & Vice-Chair, three thematic experts, invited CEPA expert, NGO members (CIC, IUCN, Wetlands International).

Observers & Partners: CMS, AEWA African Initiative Technical Support Unit, BirdLife International, FACE, OMPO, WWT.

A facilitated meeting of the SPWG was held in Bonn, 28–30 June 2016. This discussed the findings of an evaluation of the existing Strategic Plan 2009-2017 and identified some of the key elements to be included in the new Strategic Plan, including provisional Objectives and content for many of the associated Targets and Actions. Alongside detailed notes taken during the meeting, these have been used by the compilers (in consultation with the UNEP/AEWA Secretariat) as the basis for a preliminary consultation draft circulated to members of the SPWG in September 2016.

This revised draft, which takes account of comments from Working Group members, is being circulated internally to the UNEP/AEWA Secretariat, following which a further amended draft will be transmitted to all Contracting Parties (in both English and French) by March 2017. Based on feedback from Parties, a final draft will be produced and forwarded for consideration by MOP7 in 2018.

Structure

A hierarchical logical framework structure has again been followed, adapting that used for the 2009–2017 Strategic Plan. The principal high-level elements are:

- Mission (of AEWA)
- **Goal** (of the Strategic Plan 2019–2027)
- Purpose (of the Strategic Plan, including six high-level indicators for assessing whether the Purpose has been met by 2027)
- > Objectives

There are five **Objectives** – four substantive conservation objectives and one enabling objective:

Substantive conservation objectives

- 1. To strengthen species conservation and recovery and reduce causes of unnecessary mortality.
- 2. To ensure that any use and management of AEWA-listed migratory waterbird populations is sustainable across their flyways.
- 3. To establish and sustain a coherent and comprehensive flyway network of protected areas and other sites, managed to maintain and where necessary restore their national and international importance for migratory waterbird populations.
- 4. To ensure there is sufficient quantity and quality of habitat in the wider environment for achieving and maintaining favourable conservation status for migratory waterbird populations.

Enabling objective

5. To secure and strengthen the knowledge, capacity, recognition, awareness and resources required for the Agreement to achieve its conservation objectives.

For each **Objective** between four and six 2027 **Targets** have been identified, with every **Target** incorporating as far as possible a tangible threshold against which delivery can be assessed.

Each Target is accompanied by specific **Indicators** with **Means of Verification** and corresponding **Actions**. A few Indicators are tagged with the qualifier 'interim' in brackets. These are used for tracking the progress of interim elements of composite targets leading towards the delivery of the final anticipated result. The term **traffic light assessment** appears regularly in the 'Indicator and means of verification' column in relation to qualitative indicators. The traffic light system is simply used to attach a 'green', 'amber' or 'red' ranking to a given indicator, according to whether the progress made is assessed as good, moderate or poor. Simple assessment criteria or thresholds will be required for each traffic light indicator proposed.

The last two columns of the logical framework provide links to relevant resources produced by AEWA and/or its Partners and highlight, especially the contribution of the AEWA Strategic Plan to three major global frameworks for action, notably:

- Sustainable Development Goals (SGDs)
- Aichi Biodiversity Targets
- Strategic Plan for Migratory Species (SPMS)

A number of important linkages with the World Heritage Convention and the Ramsar Convention on Wetlands are also highlighted.

Overarching and cross-cutting issues

These are fundamentally important factors that do not fit under any single Objective exclusively, but rather apply to several or all five of them. They have been taken into account in the preparation of the Strategic Plan but more importantly need to be active elements of its implementation, monitoring and evaluation.

- Climate Change The impacts of climate change, which are already having demonstrable effects on migratory waterbirds and their habitats across the Agreement Area, together with appropriate mitigation and adaptation measures, are integrated into the planning and implementation of all species and habitat conservation measures under the AEWA Strategic Plan, in line with Targets 13.1 and 13.2 of the Sustainable Development Goals and Targets 10 and 15 of the Aichi Biodiversity Targets.
- Indigenous and local communities The traditional knowledge, innovations and practices of indigenous and local communities relevant for the conservation and sustainable use of migratory species, their habitats and migration systems, and their customary sustainable use of biological resources, are taken into account subject to national legislation and relevant international obligations, including in particular paragraph 2.1 of the AEWA Action Plan. See also Target 18 of the Aichi Biodiversity Targets, Target 14 of the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species and Target 10 of the Ramsar Strategic Plan.
- Poverty alleviation and gender equality In line with the Sustainable Development Goals (Goal 1, Goal 5) and Aichi Biodiversity Targets (Target 14) and considering the relevance of community livelihood issues in the framework of the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats, projects, programmes and activities developed and implemented in the framework of this Strategic Plan are reviewed and, where necessary and feasible, adapted to ensure that they contribute to furthering poverty alleviation and gender equality.
- Communication, Education and Public Awareness (CEPA) Successful CEPA is recognised as a prerequisite for meeting each of the Objectives of the AEWA Strategic Plan by means of a dedicated AEWA CEPA Plan which identifies specific CEPA activities relevant to the implementation of the Strategic Plan Targets and Actions.
- Capacity building Capacity for implementation varies widely across the Agreement Area. The Parties, the UNEP/AEWA Secretariat and project development/implementation Partners continue to attach high priority to strengthening institutional, technical and resource capacity, subject to available resources and in conjunction with the AEWA Plan of Action for Africa, where this is required for successful delivery of Strategic Plan Targets.
- Science-based approach Implementation of AEWA, including the review of listing of waterbird populations in Table 1 of the AEWA Action Plan and the development of flyway-level Species Action and Management Plans for species/populations and habitats, is based on the best-available scientific information (e.g. International Waterfowl Census data), as advised by the Technical Committee and taking into account the input of technical and research Partners. The science-based approach of AEWA is reflected throughout this Strategic Plan.

Operational principles

These identify specific aspects of AEWA's internal functioning that will be equally critical to achievement of all five strategic Objectives:

Alignment of AEWA strategic documents and workplans – The AEWA Strategic Plan 2019-2027, the Plan of Action for Africa 2019-2027 and the workplans of the Technical Committee and Secretariat, including the Agreement's Communication Plan, are fully aligned.

Use of AEWA National Reports to support the implementation of the Strategic Plan and its monitoring – The National Report format is optimised so that it enables the analyses required for generating and tracking the indicators for Strategic Plan Targets, as well as implementation of other AEWA work plans and documents aligned to the Strategic Plan. All Parties complete and submit full and comprehensive National Reports to each session of the MOP.

Draft AEWA Strategic Plan 2019 - 2027: Logical Framework

AEWA's mission:	To undertake coordinated and concerted actions at flyway level for the conservation of migratory waterbirds and their habitats. ¹		
Goal of the Strategic Plan 2019–2027:	To maintain migratory waterbird species and their populations in a favourable conservation status or to restore them to such a status throughout their flyways. ²		
Purpose of the Strategic Plan 2019–2027:	By 2027 the status of AEWA populations ³ is improved,		

Purpose-level indicators (These six indicators are for use in monitoring the degree to which the overall Purpose of the Strategic Plan is being met. They are complemented by the target-level indicators listed under each Objective of the Strategic Plan.)	Explanatory information and current baseline ⁴
P1. At least 75% of AEWA populations with known trends show a stable or increasing trend.	Current baseline: 63% n=356 populations
P2. At least 50% of 'priority' populations (as established in 2018) show a stable or increasing trend.	'Priority' populations are those listed in Table 1 of the AEWA Action Plan and classified as Globally Threatened species (i.e. Critically Endangered, Endangered and Vulnerable) or Near Threatened species on the <u>IUCN Red List of Threatened</u> <u>Species</u> as reported in the most recent summary by BirdLife International, as well as those listed in Table 1, Column A, Categories 2 and 3 that are marked with an asterisk. Current baseline: 41% n=75 populations
P3. At least 60% of populations with unfavourable conservation status in 2018 show a stable or increasing trend.	Populations with unfavourable conservation status include those listed in Column A, Categories 1(c), 2 & 3 and Column B, Category 2, in Table 1 of the AEWA Action Plan. Current baseline: 50% n=148 populations

 ¹ The Mission reflects Article II.1 of the Agreement text.
 ² The Goal reflects the penultimate paragraph of the preamble to the Agreement text.

 ³ 'AEWA populations' means those listed in Table 1 of the AEWA Action Plan, Annex 3 to the Agreement.
 ⁴ Baselines quoted were calculated in Dec 2016. It is anticipated that these will be updated before the final adoption of the Strategic Plan at AEWA MOP7.

P4. At least 50% of harvested AEWA populations with known trends show a stable or increasing trend.	A harvested population is a population that is legally harvested in at least one country within its range.
	50% is a provisional, indicative threshold and will be refined on the basis of the most up-to-date information available.
	Current baseline: to be identified once the list of harvested populations has been determined.
P5. At least 70% of AEWA populations highly dependent on site networks with known trends show a stable or increasing trend.	Populations highly dependent on site networks are those for which 25% or more of the population occurs at relatively few key sites during at least one season of its annual cycle. Current baseline: 60% n=297 populations
P6. At least 70% of dispersed AEWA populations with known trends show a stable or increasing trend.	A dispersed population is one where at least 75% of the population is 'dispersed' during at least one season of its annual cycle, with relatively small numbers occurring at multiple sites. Current baseline: 63% n=265 populations

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors⁵	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
Objective 1: To stre	ngthen species conservation and	d recovery and reduce causes of unnecess	ary mortality		
1.1 The legal measures required by the AEWA Action Plan ⁶ are transposed into all Parties' domestic legislation and enforced effectively.	Indicator (quantitative): Percentage of Parties that have transposed all of the legal measures required in Paragraph 2.1 of the AEWA Action Plan into domestic legislation. Means of verification: National reviews under Action 1.1. a); National Reports to MOP8–MOP10. Indicator (qualitative): Degree of enforcement of legislation as assessed by each Party; analysis of trends between MOPs (could be undertaken on a Party-by- Party basis, or regionally/sub- regionally). Means of verification: National Reports to MOP8– MOP10.	 a) Following each MOP, Parties review their existing domestic legislation against the provisions of the latest version of the Agreement text and its Annexes, including Table 1 of the Action Plan, taking into account any amendments adopted by the MOP. b) By the subsequent MOP, Parties have identified and put in place the process for enacting appropriate legislative measures, so as to align domestic law with AEWA requirements. c) On a rolling basis adapted to the MOP and National Reporting cycle, Parties review compliance with, and enforcement of, relevant legislation and identify and implement measures to strengthen compliance and enforcement where needed d) Technical Committee and Secretariat facilitate sharing of experience and exchange of best practice. 	Parties Technical Committee (advisory capacity)	Guidelines on national legislation for the protection of species of migratory waterbirds and their habitats ⁷ adopted through Resolution 6.5 (MOP6, 2015). Guidance on measures in national legislation for different populations of the same species, particularly with respect to hunting & trade ⁸ adopted through Resolution 6.7 (MOP6, 2015).	 SDGs: No direct counterpart, though Target 15.7 on addressing poaching and trafficking of wildlife is relevant. Aichi Targets: No direct counterpart Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Target 3

⁵ This column lists the actors with primary responsibility for implementing actions. It does <u>not</u> list actors that play a secondary supporting/facilitating role.

⁶ This Target refers in particular to Paragraph 2.1 of the Action Plan.

⁷ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/document/draft-guidelines-national-legislation-protection-species-migratory-waterbirds-and-their-1

⁸ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/document/guidance-measures-national-legislation-different-populations-same-species-particularly-1

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors⁵	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
1.2 All priority species/populations ⁹ are covered by effectively implemented Species Action Plans at flyway level ¹⁰ .	 Indicator (quantitative): Percentage of relevant species/populations covered by flyway-level Species Action Plans. Means of verification: Technical Committee assessment. Indicator (qualitative): Traffic-light assessment of implementation status. Means of verification: Technical Committee assessment, National Reports. 	 a) After each MOP, Technical Committee to review and update as necessary the list of Species Action Plans required for priority species/populations, taking into account the possible need to develop new Plans and to retire, revise or prolong existing Plans. b) Secretariat to establish a corresponding work plan, including the drafting and agreement by the next MOP of Species Action Plans for newly classified Globally Threatened Bird species. c) Within one MOP cycle following the adoption by the MOP of a Species Action Plan, Secretariat to convene AEWA Working or Expert Groups for the corresponding priority species (or group of species) to coordinate implementation of that Species Action Plan. d) MOP8–MOP10, Parties implement and report on actions taken at national level in the framework of adopted Species Action Plans. 	Parties (including through Species Working and Expert Groups) Non-Party range states Technical Committee Partners ¹¹ Secretariat	Criteria for prioritizing AEWA populations for action and management planning and for the revision and retirement of Action Plans as well as guidance on the definition of Principal Range States in Action Plans ¹² adopted through Resolution 6.8 (MOP6, 2015). Resolution 4.4 (MOP4, 2008) Developing international best practice for the conservation of threatened waterbirds through action planning	 SDGs: Target 15.5 Aichi Targets: Target 12 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Targets 8 & 9

⁹ 'Priority' populations are those listed in Column A, Categories 1(a) and 1(b), plus Column A populations marked with an asterisk, in Table 1 of the AEWA Action Plan.

¹⁰ Encompassing both international single-species and multi-species action plans (see Resolution 2.1) and international habitat action plans (see Resolution 5.2).

¹¹ See definition and listing in Annex II.

¹² http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/document/criteria-prioritising-aewa-populations-action-and-management-planning-and-revision-and-0

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ⁵	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
		 e) The Technical Committee and AEWA Species Working and Expert Groups, drawing on National Reports and supported by the Secretariat, regularly review the status of implementation of all existing Species Action Plans. f) By MOP9, and thereafter on a rolling basis, develop flyway-scale projects to implement selected Species Action Plans. g) By MOP8 undertake a review and prioritization of the resources required for the development, coordination and implementation of Species Action Plans and draft an appropriate resource mobilisation plan. 		<i>and re-establishment</i> . ¹³ Format for AEWA Single Species Action Plans. ¹⁴ (NB This is likely to be further revised under the provisions of Resolution 6.8 (MOP6, 2015).	
1.3 For all other populations in unfavourable conservation status ¹⁵ , science- based conservation and management guidance is made available by AEWA	Indicator (quantitative): (a) Number/percentage of all relevant populations for which conservation and management advice is available to Parties; (b) Number/percentage of Parties reporting action to reduce threats to relevant populations	 a) By MOP8, Technical Committee to conduct a rapid review of existing information to identify relevant populations for which new or improved conservation and management guidance is required for AEWA purposes. b) By MOP8 agree roles, responsibilities and mechanisms for 	Parties Technical Committee Partners	Preliminary advice can be found in the Ecology and Threats sections of the relevant species fact sheets in the BirdLife International Data	 SDGs: Target 15.5 Aichi Targets: Target 12 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Targets 8 & 15

¹³ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/document/developing-international-best-practice-conservation-threatened-waterbirds-through-action-0

¹⁴ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/document/draft-revised-format-aewa-single-species-action-plans

¹⁵ "Other populations in unfavourable conservation status" are those listed in Category 1 (c), Category 2 or Category 3 in column A or Category 2 in column B, in Table 1 of the AEWA Action Plan.

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors⁵	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
and/or its Partners and is applied by Parties and other stakeholders.	 where they support more than 1% of the biogeographic population. Means of verification: (a) Technical Committee outputs for MOP8–MOP10; (b) National Reports to MOP8– MOP10. 	 updating of guidance and preparation/dissemination of new guidance. c) By MOP10 Parties implement actions to reduce threats to populations with unfavourable conservation status where they support more than 1% of the biogeographic population. 		Zone ¹⁶ and in the corresponding assessments of the IUCN Red List. ¹⁷	
1.4 The quality of waterbird population status assessments, including information on drivers of population trends, is improved so that at least two-thirds of all AEWA populations are being assessed on the basis of the most complete and up-to-date monitoring	Indicator (quantitative): Percentage of populations for which good quality size and trend data as well as information on drivers of trends, is available and regularly updated. Means of verification : Conservation Status Review.	 a) Promote and strengthen, including through building of capacity, the collection, quality and availability of monitoring data. b) Collect and analyse information on drivers of population trends. 	Parties Technical Committee Partners (notably Wetlands International, African-Eurasian Waterbird Monitoring Partnership)	AEWA Resolution 6.3 (adopted by MOP6, 2015) <i>Strengthening</i> <i>monitoring of</i> <i>migratory</i> <i>waterbirds</i> ¹⁸ AEWA Conservation Guidelines No. 9: <i>Guidelines for a</i> <i>waterbird</i> <i>monitoring</i> <i>protocol</i> (AEWA Technical Series No. 24). ¹⁹	 SDGs: Targets 17.18 & 17.19 Aichi Targets: Target 19 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Target 15

¹⁶ http://www.birdlife.org/datazone/species/search

¹⁷ http://www.iucnredlist.org/

¹⁸ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/document/strengthening-monitoring-migratory-waterbirds-2

¹⁹ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/publication/aewa-conservation-guidelines-no-9-guidelines-waterbird-monitoring-protocol-ts-no-24 – note this is likely to be revised.

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ⁵	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
information available. 1.5 Decision- making for national and flyway-level conservation and management of waterbird populations is based on the best- available monitoring data.	Indicator (quantitative): Number/percentage of Parties confirming their use of IWC and/or other relevant monitoring data to inform national-level implementation. Indicator (quantitative): Number/percentage of AEWA populations with flyway-level conservation measures in place that are regularly reviewed on the basis of updated IWC and other relevant monitoring data. Means of verification: Conservation Status Review; Technical Committee outputs and National Reports to MOPs.	 a) Use data from IWC²⁰ and other relevant monitoring programmes to inform decision-making, conservation and management at flyway level under the Agreement. b) Parties actively use IWC and other relevant monitoring data to inform national-level implementation of AEWA. 	Parties Technical Committee Partners (notably Wetlands International, African-Eurasian Waterbird Monitoring Partnership)	AEWA Resolution 6.3 (adopted by MOP6, 2015) <i>Strengthening</i> <i>monitoring of</i> <i>migratory</i> <i>waterbirds</i> ²¹ AEWA Conservation Guidelines No. 9: <i>Guidelines for a</i> <i>waterbird</i> <i>monitoring</i> <i>protocol</i> (AEWA Technical Series No. 24). ²²	 SDGs: Targets 17.18 & 17.19 Aichi Targets: Target 19 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Target 15
1.6 AEWA priorities relating to four causes of unnecessary additional mortality	Indicator (quantitative): (a) Number of issues for which AEWA priorities have been formally communicated to the most relevant multilateral	a) Identify those multilateral processes that can contribute most to progressing AEWA priorities (led by Technical Committee in consultation with Secretariat and Partners).	Parties Technical Committee Secretariat	AEWA Resolution 6.12 (adopted by MOP6, 2015) <i>Avoiding additional</i> <i>and unnecessary</i>	SDGs: • Targets 15.5, 15.7 & 15.8

²⁰ International Waterbird Census coordinated by Wetlands International.

²¹ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/document/strengthening-monitoring-migratory-waterbirds-2

²² http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/publication/aewa-conservation-guidelines-no-9-guidelines-waterbird-monitoring-protocol-ts-no-24 – note this is likely to be revised.

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ⁵	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
and other key threats to migratory waterbirds and their habitats ²³ are integrated in key multilateral processes. ²⁴	process(es); (b) Number of relevant decisions, actions, recommendations and guidance under these processes that incorporate AEWA priorities. Means of verification : Secretariat and Technical Committee outputs for MOPs.	 b) Identify strategic opportunities for positively influencing these processes (meetings of technical bodies, working groups etc.) and ensure, as far as possible, that AEWA's views are represented. c) Communicate/advocate AEWA priorities in a clear and timely manner. d) Ensure that AEWA Parties take coherent, mutually reinforcing aligned positions under different conventions and related processes. 	Partners (notably other convention secretariats and technical bodies, e.g. CMS, Ramsar, CBD)	<i>mortality for</i> <i>migratory</i> <i>waterbirds</i> ²⁵ Plus, specific AEWA guidance, e.g. relating to electricity power grids ²⁶ , infrastructure development ²⁷	 Aichi Targets: No direct counterpart, but linkages with Targets 6 & 9, for example. Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Targets 3, 6, 7 & 8

²³ Causes of unnecessary additional mortality and other key threats include: energy infrastructure (especially powerlines, wind turbines); illegal taking & killing; fisheries bycatch; and invasive alien species.

²⁴ Examples of relevant multilateral processes include, but are not limited to, Agenda 2030, CBD, CMS, Ramsar Convention, Regional Fisheries Management Organisations (RFMOs), UNFCCC.

²⁵ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/document/avoiding-additional-and-unnecessary-mortality-migratory-waterbirds-2

 ²⁶ http://www.unep-aewa.org/sites/default/files/publication/ts50_electr_guidelines_03122014.pdf
 ²⁷ http://www.unep-aewa.org/sites/default/files/publication/cg_11_0.pdf

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ²⁸	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>			
Objective 2: To ensu	Objective 2: To ensure that any use and management of migratory waterbird populations is sustainable across their flyways							
2.1 Harvest levels are monitored and readily available at flyway level to support sustainable harvest of all prioritised quarry species.	Indicator (quantitative): (a) Number/percentage of Parties making harvest data for the prioritised quarry species available; (b) Number/percentage of prioritised quarry species with sufficient harvest data ²⁹ at flyway level. Means of verification: (a) National Reports; (b) Technical Committee outputs for MOPs; (c) Reports of the pertinent AEWA Species Working Groups.	 a) By 2019, Technical Committee to propose a list of quarry species that should be prioritised for the international collation of harvest data. b) By MOP8, Parties establish and/or maintain adequate systems for making realistic estimates of all forms of waterbird harvesting, including illegal taking, at national level. c) By MOP9, Parties provide waterbird harvest data (or links providing access to such data) as part of their National Reports, in accordance with paragraph 4.1.3 of the AEWA Action Plan. d) By MOP9, Technical Committee and Secretariat work with relevant non-Party Range States to establish informed estimates of harvest. 	Parties Technical Committee Secretariat non-Party States in Agreement Area	Revised Guidelines on Sustainable Harvest of Migratory Waterbirds ³⁰ adopted through Resolution 6.5 (MOP6, 2015).	 SDGs: Target 12.2* Aichi Targets: No direct counterpart. Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Targets 6 & 15 			
2.2 The provisions of the AEWA Action Plan that relate to the use and management of	Indicator (quantitative): Percentage of Parties that have transposed all the legal measures required in Paragraph 4.1 of the AEWA	a) Following each MOP, Parties review their existing domestic legislation against the provisions of the latest version of the AEWA Action Plan,	Parties Technical Committee Secretariat	Phasing out the use of lead shot for hunting in wetlands: experiences made	SDG s: • Targets 12.2* & 15.7			

²⁸ This column lists the actors with primary responsibility for implementing actions. It does <u>not</u> list actors that play a secondary supporting/facilitating role.

²⁹ As determined by the AEWA Technical Committee.

³⁰ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/document/draft-revised-guidelines-sustainable-harvest-migratory-waterbirds

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ²⁸	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
migratory waterbirds, including harvesting, ³¹ are transposed into all Parties' domestic legislation and enforced effectively.	Action Plan into domestic legislation. Means of verification: National reviews under Action 2.2. a); National Reports to MOP8–MOP10. Indicator (qualitative): Degree of enforcement of legislation as assessed by each Party; analysis of trends between MOPs (could be undertaken on a Party-by- Party basis, or regionally/sub- regionally). Means of verification: National Reports to MOP8– MOP10; Technical Committee outputs.	 taking into account any amendments adopted by the MOP. b) By the subsequent MOP, Parties have identified and put in place the process for enacting appropriate legislative measures, so as to align domestic law with AEWA requirements. c) On a rolling basis adapted to the MOP and National Reporting cycle, Parties review compliance with, and enforcement of, relevant legislation and identify and implement measures to strengthen compliance and enforcement where needed. d) By MOP8, Parties that have not already done so phase out the use of lead shot in wetlands (in accordance with paragraph 4.1.4 of the AEWA Action Plan). e) By MOP9, Parties that have not already done so implement measures to reduce, and as far as possible eliminate, illegal taking (in accordance with paragraph 4.1.6 of the AEWA Action Plan). 	Partners	and lessons learned by AEWA Range States ³³ (AEWA, 2009) Guidelines on national legislation for the protection of species of migratory waterbirds and their habitats ³⁴ adopted through Resolution 6.5 (MOP6, 2015). ³⁵	 Aichi Targets: No direct counterpart Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Target 3

³¹ This Target refers in particular to Paragraph 4.1 of the Action Plan.

³³ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/publication/phasing-out-use-lead-shot-hunting-wetlands-experiences-made-and-lessons-learned-aewa

³⁴ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/document/draft-guidelines-national-legislation-protection-species-migratory-waterbirds-and-their-1

 $^{^{35} \} http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/document/draft-guidelines-national-legislation-protection-species-migratory-waterbirds-and-their-1$

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ²⁸	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
		 f) Technical Committee, Partners and Secretariat facilitate sharing of experience and exchange of best practice, e.g. with CMS Lead Task Force (ongoing). g) AEWA Implementation Review Process³² is applied in selected cases (ongoing). 			
2.3 Best-practice codes and standards for waterbird hunting are in place and applied to support enforcement of hunting laws and regulations, including customary law where appropriate and consistent with AEWA objectives, in ensuring sustainable use of migratory waterbirds in at least three-quarters of Contracting Parties.	Indicator (quantitative): Number/percentage of Parties for which there is national coverage of best-practice codes or standards for waterbird hunting (recognising that such codes and standards may be developed and applied regionally). Indicator (qualitative): Traffic-light assessment of extent to which codes/standards are <u>applied</u> . Indicator (qualitative): Traffic-light assessment of extent to which codes/standards are <u>effective</u> in supporting enforcement of hunting laws and regulations.	 a) Parties that have not already done so develop and apply best practice hunting codes and standards, including those related to Article III 2 (f) of the Agreement and Paragraph 2.3 of the AEWA Action Plan (emergency situations/measures). b) Technical Committee, Partners and Secretariat facilitate sharing of experience and exchange of best practice. 	Parties Technical Committee Secretariat Partners	Revised Guidelines on Sustainable Harvest of Migratory Waterbirds ³⁶ adopted through Resolution 6.5 (MOP6, 2015). Guidelines on identifying and tackling emergency situations for migratory waterbirds ³⁷ adopted through Resolution 1.10 (MOP1, 1999).	 SDGs: Target 12.2* Aichi Targets: Targets 1 & 4 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Target 15

 ³² http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/activities/irp
 ³⁶ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/document/draft-revised-guidelines-sustainable-harvest-migratory-waterbirds

³⁷ http://www.unep-aewa.org/sites/default/files/publication/cg_2new_0.pdf

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ²⁸	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
2.4 Adaptive	Means of verification:National Reports to MOP8–MOP10.Indicator (quantitative):	a) By MOP9, undertake a rapid	Parties	Revised Guidelines	SDGs:
harvest management regimes are in place and being effectively implemented at flyway level in the framework of Species Action or Management Plans* for all prioritised declining quarry populations and 'conflict' species ³⁸ . *These may include Species Action Plans developed under Target 1.2	Number/percentage of priority species/populations for which flyway-level adaptive harvest management plans have been agreed. Indicator (quantitative): Number/percentage of such adaptive harvest management plans that are being implemented. Indicator (qualitative): Traffic-light assessment of effectiveness of implementation. Means of verification: Collation and analysis of reports from each Species Action or Management Plan coordination mechanism timed to coincide with MOP cycle.	 a) by more standard and a seeses and the seeses of sustainability of harvest of declining quarry populations and identify priority species/ populations for inclusion in adaptive harvest management processes coordinated at flyway-level. b) By MOP9, and thereafter on a rolling basis, develop adaptive harvest management plans as part of Species Action or Management Plans for an initial set of priority species/populations. c) By the MOP succeeding the adoption of each adaptive harvest management plan, ensure that a coordination mechanism and routine implementation are in place. 	Technical Committee Partners (notably hunting organisations, other NGOs)	on Sustainable Harvest of Migratory Waterbirds ⁴⁰ adopted through Resolution 6.5 (MOP6, 2015) Adaptive harvest management plan for the Pink-footed Goose ⁴¹ AEWA International Single Species Action Plan for the conservation of the Taiga Bean Goose (Anser fabalis fabalis) adopted by MOP6 (2015) ⁴²	 Target 12.2* Aichi Targets: Targets 4 & 12 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: 6, 7, 8

³⁸ These are species for which significant conflicts with, for example, agriculture or fisheries have been identified in part of the Agreement Area.

³⁹ The rapid assessments will not be in-depth, but instead use approximation methods based on population size, population trend and available harvest data.

⁴⁰ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/document/draft-revised-guidelines-sustainable-harvest-migratory-waterbirds

⁴¹ http://pinkfootedgoose.aewa.info

⁴² http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/publication/international-single-species-action-plan-conservation-taiga-bean-goose-ts-no-56

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ²⁸	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
				Towards sustainable management of huntable migratory waterbirds in Europe (Wetlands International Waterbird Harvest Specialist Group, 2015) ⁴³	
2.5 Waterbird- related ecotourism is promoted in at least half of the Contracting Parties following the model/example of at least three ecotourism pilots focusing on migratory waterbirds that exemplify benefits to local communities as well as for the conservation status of AEWA	Indicator (interim): Number of waterbird-related ecotourism pilot initiatives launched and implemented. Indicator (quantitative): Number/percentage of Parties reporting the existence of ecotourism initiatives <u>specifically based on</u> migratory waterbirds and their habitats. Indicator (qualitative): Traffic-light assessment of extent to which these initiatives are <u>designed to</u> <u>deliver both</u> conservation and community benefits.	 a) By MOP8, collate case studies of ecotourism initiatives with <u>proven</u> <u>benefits</u> for both community livelihoods <u>and</u> conservation of AEWA species and their habitats, and identify one or more potential strategic partners with ecotourism expertise for AEWA to work with. b) By MOP9, conceive and launch at least three pilot ecotourism-related initiatives in different parts of the Agreement Area.⁴⁴ c) By MOP10, integrate wetland- and waterbird-related ecotourism into national tourism development strategies or other relevant national strategies. 	Parties Technical Committee Partners External expert partners	AEWA Conservation Guidelines No. 7 - <i>Guidelines on the</i> <i>development of</i> <i>ecotourism at</i> <i>wetlands</i> (AEWA Technical Series No. 22, 2005) ⁴⁵ Ramsar Convention guidance on Issues for stakeholders to address in achieving sustainable tourism and recreation in and around	 SDGs: Targets 1.4, 8.9, 15.c Aichi Targets: Target 14* Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Target 11*

⁴³ http://archive.wetlands.org/Portals/0/SustainableWaterbirdHarvesting_2015_02_26 final.pdf

⁴⁴ Both the case studies under action a) and the pilot projects under action b) to have a strong focus on demonstrating <u>impact</u>, <u>sustainability</u> and potential for <u>replication/adaptation</u>.

⁴⁵ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/publication/aewa-conservation-guidelines-no-7-guidelines-development-ecotourism-wetlands-ts-no-22

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ²⁸	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
populations and their habitats.	 Indicator (qualitative): Traffic-light assessment of extent to which these <u>dual</u> <u>benefits are being delivered in</u> <u>practice</u>, with commentary on ingredients for success and/or barriers. Means of verification: National Reports to MOP8– MOP10. 	 d) By MOP10, promote sharing of experience, know-how, best practice and lessons learned. 		wetlands ⁴⁶ (Annex 2 to Resolution XI.7 adopted by COP11 in 2012). Ramsar Convention and World Tourism Organization (UNWTO) publication Destination Wetlands: Supporting sustainable tourism. ⁴⁷	
2.6 Consideration of the ecosystem services derived from migratory waterbirds is integrated into policy and decision- making processes that affect waterbird habitats in at least two-thirds of AEWA Parties.	Indicator (quantitative): Number/percentage of Parties reporting specific measures to integrate cultural/provisioning services of migratory waterbirds in decisions affecting waterbird habitats. Means of verification: National Reports to MOP8– MOP10.	 a) By MOP8 provide concise initial guidance (in language adapted to policy/decision makers) on the provisioning and cultural aspects of ecosystem services in relation to migratory waterbirds. b) By MOP9, implement national pilot projects and/or collate and make available examples/case studies of decision-making which takes into consideration waterbird values and their habitats c) By MOP10 produce AEWA guidelines on valuation of ecosystem 	Parties Technical Committee Partners	Valuing wetlands – Guidance for valuing the benefits derived from wetland ecosystem services (Ramsar Technical Report No.3, CBD Technical Series No.27, 2006) ⁴⁸	 SDGs: Target 15.9 Aichi Targets: Targets 2, 5, 14 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Targets 2 & 11

⁴⁶ http://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/cop11/res/cop11-res07-e.pdf

⁴⁷ http://cf.cdn.unwto.org/sites/all/files/pdf/ramsar_unwto_tourism_en.pdf

⁴⁸ http://www.ramsar.org/sites/default/files/documents/pdf/lib/lib_rtr03.pdf

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ²⁸	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
		services derived from migratory waterbirds and their habitats and communicate to relevant stakeholders at all levels.			

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ⁴⁹	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
		d comprehensive flyway network of prote importance for migratory waterbird pop		sites, managed to ma	intain – and where
3.1 Known sites of national or international importance ⁴⁴ for populations listed in Table 1 of the AEWA Action Plan have been reviewed confirmed (in conformity with Paragraph 3.1.2 of the Action Plan) and at least three- quarters of the priority site gaps ⁵⁰ are filled in the case of Contracting Parties.	Indicator (quantitative): Number/percentage of Parties that have reviewed and confirmed the known internationally and nationally important sites for migratory waterbirds in their territory. Indicator (quantitative): Number/percentage of Parties that have conducted gap- filling assessments at national level. Means of verification: National Reports to MOP8– MOP10; Site Network Review; Technical Committee outputs.	a) By MOP8, Parties review and confirm an inventory of the known nationally and internationally important sites in their territory, taking into consideration existing site inventories and using a simple framework to be developed and disseminated by the Technical Committee in 2019. This framework should include provision of brief guidance to Parties on interpreting AEWA Action Plan references ⁵¹ to " <i>sites of international or national importance</i> " and " <i>internationally accepted criteria of international importance</i> ". It should also take into account existing site network criteria, including those used to identify EU Special Protection	Parties Technical Committee Partners	Critical Site Network Tool ⁵³ <i>Consolidated</i> <i>Report on the</i> <i>Subregional Gap</i> <i>Identification</i> <i>Workshops under</i> <i>the Wings Over</i> <i>Wetlands Project</i> WOW Technical Report 12, 2008 ⁵⁴ <i>Preliminary Report</i> <i>on the Site Network</i> <i>for Waterbirds in</i> <i>the Agreement</i> <i>Area</i> (MOP5, 2012) ⁵⁵	 SDGs: Targets 14.2, 15.1, 15.5 Aichi Targets: Target 11 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Target 10

⁴⁹ This column lists the actors with primary responsibility for implementing actions. It does <u>not</u> list actors that play a secondary supporting/facilitating role.

⁵⁰ As identified in Consolidated Report on the Subregional Gap Identification Workshops under the Wings Over Wetlands Project WOW Technical Report 12, 2008.

⁵¹ Paragraphs 3.1.2 and 3.2.2 of the AEWA Action Plan state that Parties shall endeavour "as a matter of priority, to identify all sites of international or national importance for populations

listed in Table 1" and "*to give special protection to those wetlands which meet internationally accepted criteria of international importance*". However, neither the Agreement text, nor that of the AEWA Action Plan, provides guidance on interpreting these provisions. This Action of the Strategic Plan therefore includes tasking the Technical Committee with providing such guidance to Parties.

⁵³ http://dev.unep-wcmc.org/csn/default.html - state=home

⁵⁴ http://wow.wetlands.org/Portals/1/documents/gap_identification_report.pdf

 $^{^{55}} http://www.unep-aewa.org/sites/default/files/document/mop5_15_preliminary_site_network_report_0.pdf$

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ⁴⁹	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
		 Areas, Ramsar Sites⁵², Emerald Network Sites (Council of Europe/Bern Convention) and Important Bird & Biodiversity Areas (BirdLife International). b) By MOP9, update the Critical Site Network Tool with the revised site information communicated by Parties. c) By MOP10, conduct gap-filling surveys at national level and incorporate the results into the Critical Site Network Tool. d) By MOP10 (and at least for every other MOP thereafter), Parties review and update as necessary their sites lists and communicate any changes to AEWA. 			
3.2 The status of, the threats to, and the effectiveness of conservation measures implemented at flyway network sites are being	Indicator (qualitative): traffic-light assessment of protection and management status of network sites at individual site level and grouped by flyway and species (or species	a) By MOP8, develop a monitoring framework for the AEWA flyway site network ⁵⁶ (building on the preliminary Site Network Review presented to MOP5) and coordinated with similar reporting under other multilateral processes ⁵⁷ .	Parties Technical Committee Partners	Preliminary Report on the Site Network for Waterbirds in the Agreement Area (MOP5, 2012) ⁵⁸	SDGs:Target 17.18Aichi Targets:Target 19

⁵² AEWA Resolution 5.19 Encouragement of Further Joint Implementation of AEWA and the Ramsar Convention is especially relevant here.

⁵⁶ The 'AEWA flyway site network' comprises all sites that qualify as being of national or international importance for at least one AEWA population (meaning those populations listed in Table 1 of the AEWA Action Plan, Annex 3 to the Agreement).

⁵⁷ Notably Ramsar Convention on Wetlands, the EU Birds Directive and the Bern Convention Emerald Network.

⁵⁸ http://www.unep-aewa.org/sites/default/files/document/mop5_15_preliminary_site_network_report_0.pdf

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ⁴⁹	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
assessed <u>at flyway</u> <u>scale</u> , using data provided by at least three-quarters of Contracting Parties.	assemblage), where data permit. Indicator (quantitative): number/ percentage of Contracting Parties providing national-level data on site threats and effectiveness of conservation measures. Means of verification: National Reports, TC outputs.	 b) By MOP9, Parties are assessing and reporting on the status of their flyway network sites. c) By MOP10, the Technical Committee and Partners produce a flyway-level assessment of the conservation status of flyway network sites. 			 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Targets 9 & 15
3.3 At least two- thirds of all flyway network sites are actively protected and actively managed, focusing in particular on internationally important sites and those in transboundary areas.	Indicator (quantitative): The number/percentage of flyway network sites covered by national or international protected area designations. Means of verification: National Reports, AEWA Site Network Report, UNEP/WCMC World Database on Protected Areas/Protected Planet, BirdLife Data Zone. Indicator (quantitative): The number/percentage of flyway network sites for which actively implemented management plans are in place.	 a) By MOP8, Parties that have not already done so develop and implement national strategies/plans for the protection and management of flyway network sites and/or ensure that such measures are incorporated into existing national strategies/plans. b) By MOP8, develop a joint programme with the Ramsar Convention to promote Ramsar designation of relevant flyway sites. c) By MOP8, explore the possibility of a joint strategic initiative with the World Heritage Convention (e.g. recommendation to promote the serial designation of World Heritage 	Parties Secretariat	AEWA Conservation Guidelines No. 4: <i>Guidelines on the</i> management of key sites for migratory waterbirds (AEWA Technical Series No. 18, 2005) ⁵⁹ Ramsar Convention Handbooks (5th edition, currently in preparation) ⁶⁰ Wetland Management Planning: A Guide for Site Managers (WWF with IUCN,	 SDGs: Targets 6.5, 6.6, 14.2, 15.1, 15.5, 15.9 Aichi Targets: Target 11 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Targets 9 & 10

 $^{^{59} \} http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/publication/aewa-conservation-guidelines-no-4-guidelines-management-key-sites-migratory-waterbirds$

⁶⁰ http://www.ramsar.org/news/new-edition-of-the-ramsar-handbooks

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification		Actions	Main actors ⁴⁹	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
	Means of verification: National Reports; AEWA Site Network Report. Indicator (qualitative): Traffic-light assessment of effectiveness of conservation management measures. Means of verification: National Reports; UNEP/WCMC Protected Planet collation of protected area management effectiveness (PAME) reports.		sites within particular flyways (e.g. East Atlantic, Rift Valley).		Ramsar and Wetlands International, 2008) ⁶¹ The Operational Guidelines for the Implementation of the World Heritage Convention ⁶²	
3.4 The need to maintain the importance and integrity of AEWA flyway network sites is taken into account in planning and decision- making processes in all Contracting Parties.	Indicator (quantitative): Number/percentage of Parties confirming that the importance of AEWA flyway network sites is <u>explicitly</u> taken into account in water- and land-use planning and decision making. Means of verification : National Reports to MOP8– MOP10.	a) b) c)	By MOP9, Parties that have not already done so take measures to integrate flyway network sites into their water- and land-use planning/decisions. Parties share experience and best practice in integrating flyway network sites into national planning/decision making (ongoing). Conduct CEPA activities to showcase successful examples.	Parties	Ramsar Convention Handbooks (5th edition, currently in preparation) ⁶³	 SDGs: Targets 6.5, 6.6, 14.2, 15.1, 15.5, 15.9 Aichi Targets: Targets 2, 11 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Targets 2 & 10
3.5 Legal or administrative measures are in place <u>at national</u>	Indicator (quantitative): Number of flyway network sites in the territory of each Party that are threatened with	a)	By MOP8, Parties record cases of adverse impacts (relating to waterbirds and/or their habitats) of development activities and other	Parties plus, Standing Committee, Technical	Ramsar Convention Handbooks (5th	SDGs:

 ⁶¹ http://assets.panda.org/downloads/wetlands_management_guide_2008.pdf
 ⁶² http://whc.unesco.org/en/guidelines/
 ⁶³ http://www.ramsar.org/news/new-edition-of-the-ramsar-handbooks

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification		Actions	Main actors ⁴⁹	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
<u>level</u> – and being implemented effectively – to avoid, mitigate and compensate for adverse impacts of development activities and other pressures, including the impacts of climate change, on sites of national and international importance for migratory waterbirds in all Contracting Parties.	adverse impacts from development. Indicator (quantitative): Number of Parties that have adopted legal or administrative measures to avoid, mitigate and compensate for adverse impact of development and other pressures on flyway network sites in general. Indicator (quantitative): Number of flyway sites where specific threats have been effectively avoided, mitigated or compensated. Indicator (quantitative): Number of sites with known specific threats where no effective avoidance, mitigation or compensation measures have been implemented. Means of verification: National Reports.	b) B o au th II S c) P g u p d) A P	bressures on flyway network sites and the mitigation and/or compensation measures undertaken. By MOP8, Parties ensure application of EIA/SEA procedures (in accordance with Paragraph 4.3.1 of he AEWA Action Plan) and international Finance Corporation Standards ⁶⁴ . Parties apply relevant AEWA guidelines and those made available under other relevant multilateral processes (e.g. Ramsar Convention). AEWA Implementation Review Process ⁶⁵ is applied in selected cases (ongoing).	Committee and Secretariat in relation to Action d), in which Partners, including other MEAs (e.g. through joint missions), may also have a role.	edition, currently in preparation) ⁶⁶	 Targets 6.5, 6.6, 12.2, 14.2, 15.1, 15.3, 15.5, 15.9 Aichi Targets: Targets 3, 5 & 7 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Targets 2, 4, 7 & 10

⁶⁴

 $http://www.ifc.org/wps/wcm/connect/topics_ext_content/ifc_external_corporate_site/ifc+sustainability/our+approach/risk+management/performance+standards/environmental+and+social+performance+standards+and+guidance+notes$

⁶⁵ http://www.unep-aewa.org/en/activities/irp

⁶⁶ http://www.ramsar.org/news/new-edition-of-the-ramsar-handbooks

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ⁶⁷	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
	re there is sufficient quantity a waterbird populations	and quality of habitat in the wider enviror	nment ⁶⁸ for achieving	and maintaining fav	ourable conservation
4.1 Priorities for habitat conservation and management in the wider environment (as defined at the Objective level) are identified at Agreement level and corresponding actions are being implemented in at least half of Contracting Parties.	 Indicator (interim): AEWA habitat conservation priorities agreed by MOP9. Means of verification: MOP9 outcomes. Indicator (quantitative): Number of Contracting Parties implementing the agreed action plan. Indicator (qualitative): Traffic-light assessment of level of implementation. Means of verification: National Reports to MOP10 onwards. 	 a) By MOP8, conduct Agreement-level assessment of the status of principal waterbird habitats in the wider environment, drawing on existing studies wherever possible⁶⁹. b) By MOP8, on the basis of this assessment, develop an action plan (identifying priorities, opportunities and a set of recommended actions), taking into account regional and subregional differences in key habitat types and threats/drivers. c) By MOP9, Parties commence the implementation of the prioritised action plan developed under Action 4.1.(b). 	Parties Technical Committee Partners		 SDGs: Targets 2.4, 14.2, 15.1–15.5 Aichi Targets: Targets 5 & 7 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: No direct counterpart; Targets 5 & 7 indirectly relevant
4.2 At least half of the key international policy mechanisms identified ⁷⁰ have made tangible progress towards	Indicator (interim): Table indicating the key international policy mechanism(s) to be targeted and the priorities to be promoted with each mechanism is established.	a) After MOP8, identify which international policy mechanisms AEWA should focus its attention on to maximise delivery of the prioritised actions identified under Target 4.1.	Parties Technical Committee Partners (including the governing and/or technical		 SDGs: Targets 14.2 & 15.1–15.5 Aichi Targets: Targets 5 & 7

⁶⁷ This column lists the actors with primary responsibility for implementing actions. It does <u>not</u> list actors that play a secondary supporting/facilitating role.

⁷⁰ As identified under Action 4.2.a

⁶⁸ 'Wider environment' encompasses land, coastal and marine areas that constitute important habitats for waterbirds beyond the boundaries of recognized sites and/or formally designated protected areas. These may include, for example, many farmed landscapes and other areas of land and water with multiple uses.

⁶⁹ e.g. Tucker G.M. & Evans M.I. 1997. *Habitats for Birds in Europe – a conservation strategy for the wider environment*. BirdLife International.

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification		Actions	Main actors ⁶⁷	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
integrating the waterbird habitat priorities and prescriptions of AEWA as they relate to the wider environment.	Indicator (qualitative): Traffic-light assessment, by MOP10, of progress made for each cell of the table. Means of verification: Technical Committee outputs and Secretariat reports to MOP8 to MOP10 and interim updates.	b)	By MOP9, establish and/or strengthen AEWA engagement with those mechanisms at both international and national levels. By MOP10, provide advice and guidance to selected international policy mechanisms on maximising incentives and habitat measures for waterbirds, which provide positive impacts and avoiding negative impacts from perverse incentives/subsidies.	bodies and secretariats of relevant mechanisms)		 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Targets 3 & 9
4.3 National habitat conservation and management priorities have been identified and integrated into relevant sectoral policies of at least two-thirds of Contracting Parties.	Indicator (quantitative): Number of Parties reporting that national habitat conservation and management priorities have been identified. Indicator (quantitative): Number of Parties reporting that the identified national habitat conservation and management priorities have been integrated across the relevant sectoral policies. Indicator (quantitative): Number of Parties reporting significant measures to improve extent and quality of	a) b)	By MOP9, Parties identify priority measures required to maintain or increase the extent and quality of waterbird habitats in the wider environment. By MOP10, Parties establish and/or strengthen mechanisms to ensure that AEWA 'wider habitat' priorities for waterbirds are fed into sectoral policy development.	Parties	Ramsar Convention Handbooks (5th edition, currently in preparation) ⁷¹	 SDGs: Targets 2.4, 6.5, 6.6, 14.2, 15.1 & 15.9 Aichi Targets: Target 2 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Targets 2, 4 & 10

⁷¹ http://www.ramsar.org/news/new-edition-of-the-ramsar-handbooks

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ⁶⁷	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
	 waterbird habitats in the wider environment. Indicator (qualitative): traffic-light assessment, perhaps sub-regional, of progress made MOP8–MOP10. Means of verification: National Reports. 				
4.4 At least three of the innovative, international multi- stakeholder partnerships ⁷² result in the improved management, creation and/or restoration of waterbird habitats in the wider environment.	 Indicator (quantitative): Number of specific projects being implemented and/or have been completed. Indicator (qualitative): Traffic-light assessment of the impact of these projects on management, creation and/or restoration of waterbird habitats in the wider environment. Means of verification: National Reports to MOP8– MOP10; Reports from Partners. 	 a) Parties actively encourage the establishment of innovative, international, multi-stakeholder partnerships to guide the development and implementation of habitat management, creation and restoration projects in the wider environment. b) By MOP8, AEWA Parties and Partners identify project opportunities, including potential sources of funding and technical support. c) By MOP9, at least three new waterbird habitat management restoration projects are being implemented in the wider environment, taking into consideration, as far as possible regional balance in the Agreement Area. 	Parties Partners	Guidelines on the restoration of multifunctional wetlands in the wider environment, including – but not limited to – agricultural landscapes (Technical Committee to advise on most suitable documents)	 SDGs: Targets 2.4, 6.5, 6.6, 14.2, 15.1, 15.3, Aichi Targets: Targets 5, 14 & 15 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Targets 7, 11

⁷² As established under Action 4.4.a.

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ⁶⁷	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
		d) CEPA activities (project partners and Parties as well as the Secretariat) highlight model projects, especially those providing multi-functional benefits (e.g. in relation to food/water security, climate-change mitigation, flood control, recreation, as well as waterbird conservation) and best-practice technical guidelines.			

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification		Actions	Main actors ⁷³	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
Objective 5: To ensu objectives	ire and strengthen the knowled	lge, o	capacity, recognition, awareness and	resources required fo	r the Agreement to a	chieve its conservation
5.1 Key gaps in scientific and technical information, including population monitoring data, required for implementation of the Agreement have been identified and assessed and initiatives to fill all priority gaps have been completed or are in progress.	Indicator (interim): Prioritised list of key information gaps established. Indicator (quantitative): Number of initiatives underway to fill priority gaps (per priority gap). Indicator (qualitative): Progress of initiatives tracked via traffic-light assessment in relation to specified deliverables and timeframe. Means of verification: Technical Committee outputs for MOP8–MOP10.	a) b)	By MOP8, Technical Committee identifies <u>key gaps</u> in information availability on relevant aspects of the implementation of the Agreement, to establish the potential role of AEWA in filling these, and to recommend priorities accordingly. By MOP9, establish partnerships and initiate joint research programmes, with clear timeframes for delivery, to fill priority knowledge gaps by MOP10, where feasible.	Technical Committee Parties Partners (including research institutions)		 SDGs: Target 17.6 Aichi Targets: Target 19 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Target 15
5.2 The number of Contracting Parties has increased to at least 90 ⁷⁴ .	Indicator (quantitative): (a) number of new Parties during period of Strategic Plan; (b) number of Parties per region/sub-region and percentage of theoretical total. Indicator (qualitative): sub- regional traffic-light assessment of degree of progress made towards	a)	By 2020, develop and implement a prioritised action plan (to be approved by the Standing Committee) setting out priorities for the recruitment of new Contracting Parties (setting out clear roles and responsibilities for existing Parties, the Standing Committee and the Secretariat, as well as identifying the potential contribution of Partners).	Parties Standing Committee Secretariat Partners		 SDGs: Target 17.9 Aichi Targets: No counterpart Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Targets 3 & 15

⁷³ This column lists the actors with primary responsibility for implementing actions. It does <u>not</u> list actors that play a secondary supporting/facilitating role.

⁷⁴ At the time of compiling this document, the number of Contracting Parties was 76 [to be updated at MOP7].

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ⁷³	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
5.3 Initiativas ara in	recruiting potential Parties that have still not yet joined. Means of verification : Reports of the Depositary and Secretariat Reports to MOP8- MOP10.	a) By MOP8, identify and prioritise	Partias	AEWA Resolution	SDGs:
5.3 Initiatives are in place to address at least two-thirds of the priority capacity gaps restricting implementation of AEWA.	Indicator (quantitative): Number of Contracting Parties that have identified and prioritised capacity gaps for implementation of the Agreement. Indicator (quantitative) Number of Contracting Parties that have established national AEWA implementation coordination mechanisms. Indicator (qualitative): traffic-light assessment, based on Parties' own review, of effectiveness of national AEWA coordination mechanisms Indicator (qualitative): Sub- regional traffic-light assessment of implementation capacity.	 a) By MOP's, identify and phonuse gaps at international level in capacity for the implementation of the Agreement, taking account of regional specificities, as well as consideration of possible joint action with other MEAs and the potential for site-based twinning arrangements (or similar exchange mechanisms). b) By MOP9 national AEWA implementation coordination mechanisms are in place to address <i>inter alia</i> priority capacity gaps. c) By MOP9, establish regional capacity building activities to address priority gaps. d) By MOP9, establish criteria for assessing implementation capacity at subregional level. e) By MOP10, Parties complete national capacity assessments and have developed and are implementing action plans to fill significant gaps. 	Parties Standing Committee Secretariat Partners	5.20 Promote Twinning Schemes Between the Natural Sites Covered by the AEWA and the Network of Sites Listed Under the Ramsar Convention ⁷⁵	 SDGs: Target 17.9 Aichi Targets: No counterpart Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Targets 3 & 15

⁷⁵ http://www.unep-aewa.org/sites/default/files/document/res_5_20_twinning_sites_aewa_ramsar_0.pdf 31

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification		Actions	Main actors ⁷³	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
5.4 Concompation of	Means of verification: National Reports to MOP8- MOP10.		Dy MODS Douting angung that	Desting	CMS Guidelines on	SDGs:
5.4 Conservation of migratory waterbirds is integrated into national implementation policies and plans related to the SDGs ⁷⁶ , Aichi Targets ⁷⁷ and the Strategic Plan for Migratory Species ⁷⁸ in at least two- thirds of Contracting Parties and the contribution of AEWA to these global frameworks is recognised and supported.	Indicator (quantitative): Number/percentage of Contracting Parties reporting inclusion of AEWA focal points in national processes relating to SDGs, Aichi Targets and SPMS. Indicator (qualitative): Traffic-light indicator of progress assessed by each Party. Means of verification: National Reports to MOP8– MOP10; Concise triennial summaries, compiled by the TC, of AEWA's contributions to the relevant global frameworks that can be used by Parties, Standing Committee members, Technical Committee members and Secretariat to highlight at national and	a) b)	By MOP8, Parties ensure that AEWA Administrative Authorities/focal points participate in national implementation coordination and planning processes related to the Aichi Targets, SPMS and relevant targets of the SDGs. By MOP8, AEWA Administrative Authorities ensure that the potential role and contribution of AEWA, together with relevant AEWA priorities, are communicated to focal points for other relevant global processes. Secretariat and/or members of the Standing Committee or Technical Committee represent the Agreement in relevant meetings under CBD and CMS.	Parties Standing Committee Technical Committee Secretariat	CMS Guidelines on the integration of migratory species into NBSAPs ⁷⁹ Ramsar Convention Handbooks (5th edition, currently in preparation) ⁸⁰	 SDGS: No counterpart Aichi Targets: No counterpart Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Target 3

⁷⁶ United Nations Sustainable Development Goals adopted in 2015.

⁷⁷ Aichi Biodiversity Targets under the *Strategic Plan for Biodiversity 2011–2020* adopted by Parties to the Convention on Biological Diversity through Resolution X/2.

⁷⁸ Strategic Plan for Migratory Species 2015–2023 adopted by Parties to the Convention on Migratory Species through Resolution 11.2.

⁷⁹ https://www.cbd.int/doc/nbsap/NBSAP-guidelines-CMS.pdf

⁸⁰ http://www.ramsar.org/news/new-edition-of-the-ramsar-handbooks

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ⁷³	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
	international levels the role of AEWA).				
5.5 Conservation of migratory waterbirds is integrated into the new generation of NBSAPs ⁸¹ and/or similar national plans/policies by at least three-quarters of Contracting Parties.	Indicator (quantitative): Number/percentage of Parties reporting that migratory waterbird conservation priorities are explicitly addressed in NBSAPs (or similar). Means of verification: National Reports to MOP8– MOP10	 a) Parties make sure that AEWA Administrative Authorities are fully involved in preparation of NBSAPs (AEWA National Focal Points to play a key role by seeking active engagement in relevant NBSAP processes). b) AEWA Administrative Authorities/focal points ensure that AEWA priorities are clearly communicated to NBSAP coordinator/focal point. c) Successful examples, best practice and guidance for the integration of AEWA priorities into NBSAPs and other national processes are shared by Parties (with support from the 	Parties	CMS Guidelines on the integration of migratory species into NBSAPs ⁸²	 SDGs: Target 15.9 Aichi Targets: Target 17 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species: Target 13
5.6 The resources required for coordination and delivery of the	Indicator (interim): International-level resource requirements assessed and corresponding resource	 a) Within one year of MOP7, the AEWA Secretariat, in close consultation with the Standing Committee and Technical 	Parties Standing Committee Technical		SDGs: • Targets 15.a, 17.1– 17.3 Aichi Targets:
Strategic Plan at international and national levels have been assessed as realistically as	mobilisation plan produced. Indicator (quantitative): Percentage of international- level resource requirement	Committee, assesses the resource requirements for international coordination and delivery of the 2019–2027 AEWA Strategic Plan and develops a corresponding	Committee Secretariat Partners		Target 20 Strategic Plan for Migratory Species:

 ⁸¹ National Biodiversity Strategies and Action Plans required under the Convention on Biological Diversity (CBD).
 ⁸² https://www.cbd.int/doc/nbsap/NBSAP-guidelines-CMS.pdf

2027 Target	Indicator & means of verification	Actions	Main actors ⁷³	Key resources	Contribution to <u>SDGs</u> , <u>Aichi Targets</u> & <u>Strategic Plan for</u> <u>Migratory Species</u> <u>(SPMS)</u>
possible and	that has been successfully	esource mobilisation plan for			• Target 16
corresponding	mobilised.	pproval by the Standing			
resource	Indicator (quantitative):	Committee.			
mobilisation plans implemented. ⁸³	number of Contracting Parties	Vithin one year of MOP7, Par	ties		
implemented.	that have (i) assessed resource	ndertake a national-level	mente		
	requirements at national level; and (ii) implemented resource mobilisation plans.	ssessment of resource required or the delivery of the 2019-202 AEWA Strategic Plan and draw	27		
	Indicator (qualitative): traffic-light assessment	ppropriate national resource nobilisation plans.			
	(grouped regionally or sub- regionally) of progress made with resource mobilisation at	Review and update as necessar ime for consideration at MOPS MOP10 (i) international and na	8-		
	national level.	esource requirements and (ii)			
	Means of verification: Standing Committee and Secretariat Reports to MOP8– MOP10; National Reports to MOP8–MOP10	progress in the implementation orresponding resource mobilis lans.			

⁸³ Recognising that implementation of AEWA contributes to implementation of Parties' commitments under other MEAs, including CBD, CMS and Ramsar. 34

SP Objective	Related Technical Committee outputs*
	(*also includes outputs prepared by others but requiring review by the TC)
	'UPDATE' indicates an updated version of an existing output.
	'NEW' indicates a completely new TC output required under the Objectives of the Draft Strategic Plan.
Objective 1.2:	UPDATE: Priority lists of populations for action planning
	• UPDATE: Status of preparation and implementation of AEWA International Single Species Action and Management Plans as well as Multi- Species Action Plans
Objective 1.3	• NEW: List of populations for which new or improved conservation and management guidance is required
Objective 1.5	UPDATE: Conservation Status Report
Objective 1.6	• NEW: Report on multilateral processes that can contribute most to progressing AEWA species conservation priorities
Objective 2.1	NEW: Priority lists of populations for collecting harvest data
Objective 2.2	• UPDATE: Guidelines on national legislation for the protection of species of migratory waterbirds and their habitats
	• UPDATE: Report on pertinent hunting and trade legislation in each country relating to the species listed in Annex 2 to AEWA
Objective 3.1	• NEW: Interpretation of the terms sites of international or national importance and internationally accepted criteria of international importance (2019)
	• NEW: Inventory of nationally and internationally important sites in the Agreement Area (following MOP8)
	• UPDATE: Site network review (MOP10)
Objective 3.2	NEW: Monitoring framework for the AEWA flyway site network (MOP8)
	• UPDATE: Site network review (MOP10)
Objective 4.2	• NEW: Table indicating the key international policy mechanism(s) to be targeted and the habitat conservation priorities to be promoted with each mechanism
	• NEW: Advice and guidance to selected international policy mechanisms on maximising incentives and habitat measures for waterbirds, which provide positive impacts and avoiding negative impacts from perverse incentives/subsidies
Objective 5.1	• NEW: Report on key gaps in information availability on relevant aspects of the Agreement
Objective 5.4	NEW: Summary report on AEWA's contribution to the relevant global frameworks

Annex II – List of AEWA Partners covered by the 'Main Actors' column of the Strategic Plan

"Partners" includes, but is not necessarily restricted to, the following:

- Other United Nations bodies and Multilateral Environmental Agreements (MEAs).
- Secretariats and technical/scientific bodies of other MEAs and their projects/programmes/initiatives, notably those within the CMS Family, but also the Convention
 on Wetlands (Ramsar Convention including relevant Ramsar Regional Initiatives), Convention on the Conservation of Biological Diversity (CBD), Convention on
 International Trade in Endangered Species of Wild Fauna & Flora (CITES), Convention on the Conservation of European Wildlife & Natural Habitats (Bern
 Convention), Trilateral Cooperation on the Protection of the Wadden Sea, and the Revised African Convention on the Conservation of Nature and Natural Resources.
 MEAs with specific relevance to the seabird species covered by AEWA include: Baltic Marine Environment Protection Commission (HELCOM), Conservation of
 Arctic Flora & Fauna (CAFF), Convention for the Protection of the Marine Environment of the North-East Atlantic (OSPAR Convention), Convention),
 Convention for Cooperation in the Protection, Management and Development of the Marine and Coastal Environment of the Atlantic Coast of the West, Central and
 Southern Africa Region (Abidjan Convention), Convention for the Protection, Management and Development of Marine and Coastal and Environment of the Western
 Indian Ocean Region (Nairobi Convention), and Regional Fisheries Management Organisations (RFMOs).
- Other frameworks, including the International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN notably the Specialist Groups of the IUCN Species Survival Commission) and the East Asian-Australasian Flyway Partnership (EAAFP).
- Secretariats and relevant bodies of Regional Economic Integration Organizations.
- International nature conservation NGOs, notably BirdLife International, International Crane Foundation / Endangered Wildlife Trust, Wetlands International, the Wildfowl & Wetlands Trust, and the World Wildlife Fund (WWF).
- International hunting organisations, notably the European Federation of Associations for Hunting & Conservation (FACE), International Council for Game & Wildlife Conservation (CIC), and Migratory Birds of the Western Palearctic (OMPO).
- Other relevant international and national NGOs, including from other sectors.
- Universities and technical/research institutes, including the University of Aarhus and the US Geological Survey.