AEWA PLAN OF ACTION FOR AFRICA

Coordination

Evelyn Moloko
UNEP/AEWA Secretariat
Resolution 4.9: Established post for a coordinating Officer within AEWA Secretariat

Financial support from French Government (2009-2012) for African Officer

Resolution 5.9:
- Continued Secretariat Coordination & Core Budget allocation (Resolution 5.21)
- Sub-Regional Focal Point Coordinators (SRFPC)
- Technical Support from Arrangement offered by France (TSU)

- Fund raising in framework of projects

Resolution 6.18: Additional Core Budget allocation for 50% Programme Assistant

Coordination - AEWA African Initiative & PoAA Delivery
Degree of Satisfaction with Coordination by the AEWA Secretariat
(Percentage of African CP Respondents)

- Very Satisfied: 13%
- Somewhat Satisfied: 50%
- Fairly Satisfied: 25%
- Not Satisfied: 0%
- No Response: 13%
Degree of Satisfaction with Coordination by the AEWA Secretariat

African and non-African Contracting Parties

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satisfaction Level</th>
<th>African CP Respondants</th>
<th>Non African CP Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VERY SATISFIED</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>13%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SOMewhat SATISFIED</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FAIRLY SATISFIED</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NOT SATISFIED</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO RESPONSE</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Overall Degree of Satisfaction with the Coordination by the AEWA Secretariat

- **Very Satisfied**: 13% of African CP Respondants, 50% of Non African CP Responses, 29% of Partner Responses
- **Somewhat Satisfied**: 50% of African CP Respondants, 50% of Non African CP Responses, 25% of Partner Responses
- **Fairly Satisfied**: 25% of African CP Respondants, 25% of Non African CP Responses, 14% of Partner Responses
- **Not Satisfied**: 0% of African CP Respondants, 0% of Non African CP Responses, 0% of Partner Responses
- **No Response**: 13% of African CP Respondants, 0% of Non African CP Responses, 0% of Partner Responses
Secretariat Coordination

- What can be done better?
- What should be done differently?
- How can this be sustained?
- Implications on PoAA implementation!
### Degree of Satisfaction with Sub-regional Coordination

**Percentage of African Contracting Parties**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Satisfaction Level</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very Satisfied</td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Somewhat Satisfied</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairly Satisfied</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Not Satisfied</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No Response</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Sub-Regional Focal Point Coordinators

- **Five:** Northern, Eastern, Southern, Western, Central;
- Liaison between Secretariat, TSU, NFPs and other stakeholders for planning, implementation, reporting and engagement;
- Liaise with NFPs to identify sub-regional priorities and guide sub-regional level implementation;
- Promote PoAA implementation and reporting;
- Encourage development and reporting on sub-regional/transboundary activities and initiatives

Standing Committee members

- **Three:** Middle East & North; West & Central; Eastern and Southern;
- Coordinate correspondence between CPs and Secretariat / Standing Committee (correspondence/proceedings/feedback/information exchange/update of NFP coordinates);
- Coordinate sub-regional consultations for decisions on common issues;
- Coordinate & compile sub-regional reports (to StC, MOP, etc);
- Promote and coordinate drafting/revision of documents and/or proposals (amendments, resolutions, etc.);
- Promote recruitment
Sub-regional Coordination

• What can be done better?
• What should be done differently?
• Improving effectiveness!
• Duplication of effort and roles?
• Implications on PoAA implementation?
THANK YOU!
MERCI!