



4th SESSION OF THE MEETING OF THE PARTIES
15 – 19 September 2008, Antananarivo, Madagascar

“Flyway Conservation at Work – Review of the Past, Vision for the Future”

DRAFT NATIONAL REPORT FORMAT FOR ONLINE REPORTING

Introduction

In its Resolution 3.5 the Meeting of the Parties instructed the Secretariat, in close cooperation with the Technical Committee, to develop an online national report format to be submitted for approval to MOP4.

A draft format for national reports was circulated by the Secretariat in November 2006 and comments were invited from the Technical Committee. Based on the feedback received, the draft format was revised during an ad hoc workshop in January 2007 with the participation of the Secretariat and two members of the Technical Committee. The national report format was also aligned with the draft Strategic Plan.

In February 2007 the second draft of the national report format was submitted by mail to the Technical and Standing Committees for comments. Following this consultation the final draft was compiled by early April 2007.

This final draft of the national report format was further used as a basis for developing the online reporting facility. This work was done by UNEP-WCMC in the framework of a UNEP-funded project on Knowledge Management across the biodiversity MEAs. Online reporting facilities were developed for AEWA, CMS and CITES. The development process took nearly a year, involving several intensive consultations between UNEP-WCMC and the Secretariat.

In March 2008 the AEWA Technical Officer and the AEWA Information Officer took part in a training workshop, where UNEP-WCMC introduced the online reporting system and handed over the maintenance and further development of the templates to the MEA Secretariats.

Since March 2008 the Secretariat has further optimised and re-designed the online templates. The format was finally presented to the 5th Standing Committee meeting in June 2008 and was approved for submission to MOP4.

The attached document is a PDF export from the online system for the purpose of presenting the templates in a hard copy version. The online reporting system will be briefly demonstrated to the delegates during MOP4.

The development of the online reporting systems so far focused solely on the entry templates; the development of an analytical tool to extract information from across the national reports is planned for the future.

Action requested from the Meeting of the Parties

The Meeting of the Parties is invited to review and approve this final draft of the AEWA National Report Format for online reporting.



Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds

Welcome to the online reporting facility
Please log in to commence

Email:

Country:

Language:

Password:

Login

[Register](#) | [Forgot your password?](#)

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

1. General Information

Name of reporting Contracting Party

?

Date of entry into force of AEWA in your country

?

List any reservations that your country has made (if any) upon deposition of its instruments of accession on provisions of the Agreement or its Action Plan in accordance with Article XV of AEWA

?

Save

Next Page

If you have any questions regarding this online report, please contact fkeil@unep.de.

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

2. Institutional Information

Please update information on the National AEWA Administrative Authority and National Focal Points

Designated National AEWA Administrative Authority

Full name of the institution

|

Name and title of the head of institution

|

Mailing address - Street and number

|

P.O.Box

|

Postal code

|

City

|

Country

|

Telephone

|

?

Fax

|

?

E-mail

|

Website

|

Designated National Focal Point (NFP) for AEWA matters

Name and title of the NFP

|

Affiliation (institution, department)

|

Mailing address - Street and number

|

P.O.Box

|

Postal code

|

City

|

Country

Telephone

?

Fax

?

E-mail

Website

Designated National Focal Point for AEWA Technical Committee (NFPTC) matters

Name and title of the NFPTC

Affiliation (institution, department)

Mailing address - Street and number

P.O.Box

Postal code

City

Country

Telephone

?

Fax

?

E-mail

Website

Save

Next Page

If you have any questions regarding this online report, please contact fkeil@unep.de.

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

Status

3. Species Matrix

Select a species... AEWA Species - Gavia adamsii

English common names

White-billed Diver
Yellow-billed Loon

French common names

Plongeon à bec blanc



Non-native species

Tick this box only if this species is alien to your country's fauna and has been introduced on purpose or accidentally

AEWA Table 1 Categorisation

Please indicate the AEWA Table 1 category applicable to the population of this species occurring in your country. If two or more populations occur at any time in your country, please select the highest applicable category. For the latest version of the AEWA Table 1 please click here for English or here for French.

Column A - Category 1(a)

Species Status - Breeding (pairs)

Latest population estimate

Year

?

Minimum

?

Maximum

?

Population data quality

Select...

Source of information

?

No information

?

Previous population estimate

Year

?

Minimum

 ?

Maximum

 ?

Population data quality

Source of information

 ?

No information

?

Population trend

Trend data quality

Source of information

 ?

No information

?

Species Status - Passage (individuals)

Latest population estimate

Year

 ?

Minimum

 ?

Maximum

 ?

Population data quality

Source of information

 ?

No information

?

Previous population estimate

Year

?

Minimum

?

Maximum

?

Population data quality

Select...

Source of information

?

No information ?

Population trend

Select...

Trend data quality

Select...

Source of information

?

No information ?

Species Status - Non-breeding/wintering (individuals)

Latest population estimate

Year

?

Minimum

?

Maximum

?

Population data quality

Select...

Source of information

?

No information ?

Previous population estimate

Year

 ?

Minimum

 ?

Maximum

 ?

Population data quality

Source of information

 ?

No information

?

Population trend

Trend data quality

Source of information

 ?

No information

?

Species Status - Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on the status of this species in your country

Legal Status - Taking of birds and eggs prohibited

Yes No

[clear](#)

Legal Status - Deliberate disturbance prohibited

Yes No

[clear](#)

Legal Status - Possession or utilization of, and trade in, birds, eggs or any readily recognisable parts or derivatives prohibited

Yes No

[clear](#)

Legal Status - Applicable for quarry (hunnable) species only

Taking of birds and eggs during reproductive or rearing period and pre-nuptial migration prohibited

Yes

No
clear

Regulated modes of taking in place

Yes

No

clear

Established limits of taking (birds or eggs per hunter per day or season)

Yes

No

clear

Prohibited possession or utilization of, and trade in, birds and eggs of the populations which have been taken in contravention of any prohibition laid down pursuant to the provisions of paragraph 2.1.2 of the AEWa Action Plan (i.e. in the reproductive or rearing period, pre-nuptial migration, using modes of taking that are under regulation, and excess over the limits) as well as the possession or utilization of, and trade in, any parts of such birds and their eggs

Yes

No

clear

Legal Status - Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on the legal status of this species in your country

National Red Listing Status - Is a National Red List of threatened species maintained in your country?

If "Yes", then answer the following questions. If "No", then proceed to the next section.

Yes

No

clear

National Red Listing Status - Do National Red Lists have a legal status in your country?

Yes

No

clear

National Red Listing Status - Latest Assessment

Indicate the category of this species on the latest edition of the National Red List. If your national categories differ from these ones, indicate those which most closely correspond to them.

Select...

Year

?

National Red Listing Status - Previous Assessment

Indicate the category of this species on the previous edition of the National Red List. If your national categories differ from these ones, indicate those which most closely correspond to them.

Select...

Year

?

National Red Listing Status - Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on the Red List status of this species in your country

Save

Next Page

If you have any questions regarding this online report, please contact fkeil@unep.de.

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

Pressures and Responses

4. Species Conservation

4.1 Legal Measures

1 - Were any exemptions granted to the prohibitions laid down in paragraphs 2.1.1 and 2.1.2 of the AEWA Action Plan? (AP 2.1.3)

Yes No

clear

If "Yes", then provide information for each exemption granted for each species:

Spheniscus demersus

Purpose of exemption (from the AEWA Action Plan)

- (a) To prevent serious damage to crops, water and fisheries
- (b) In the interests of air safety or other overriding public interests
- (c) For the purpose of research and education, of re-establishment and for the breeding necessary for these purposes
- (d) To permit under strictly supervised conditions, on a selective basis and to a limited extent, the taking and keeping or other judicious use of certain birds in small numbers
- (e) For the purpose of enhancing the propagation or survival of the populations concerned

Number of individuals for which exemption was granted

Number of eggs for which exemption was granted

Territorial coverage of the exemption

Time span of the exemption

Year when the exemption was granted

Additional information on each exemption (provide descriptive information or a link to an internet resource in English or French)

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on section 4.1. Legal Measures



Save

Next Page

If you have any questions regarding this online report, please contact fkeil@unep.de.

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

Pressures and Responses

4. Species Conservation

4.2. Single Species Action Plans

2 - Which International Single Species Action Plans (ISSAP), for species whose populations are listed on Column A of Table 1, developed under or recognised by AEWA were turned into National Single Species Action Plans (NSSAP)? (Action Plan 2.2)

Glareola nordmanni

- NSSAP in place and being implemented
 - NSSAP in place, but not being implemented properly or at all
 - NSSAP in development
 - No NSSAP
- clear

If "Not being implemented", then: Explain the reasons

If "In development", then: Provide starting date and expected finalisation date

If "No NSSAP", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

3 - For which other species/populations, that are not a subject to AEWA ISSAP, does your country have in place or is developing National Single Species Action Plans? (AP 2.2.2)

- NSSAP in place and being implemented
 - NSSAP in development
- clear

Provide details

4 - Did you use the AEWA Guidelines for the preparation of National Single Species Action Plans for migratory waterbirds?

Yes

No

[clear](#)

If "Yes" then: How? Give examples

If "No", then: Explain the reasons. What has been used instead as a basis for the preparation of NSSAPs?

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on section 4.2. Single Species Action Plans

[Save](#)

[Next Page](#)

If you have any questions regarding this online report, please contact fkeil@unep.de.

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

Pressures and Responses

4. Species Conservation

4.3 Emergency Measures

5a - If any emergency situation has occurred in your country over the last triennium please select the type of this situation from the drop down menu below (AEWA Action Plan 2.3)

Botulism

If you have selected any emergency situation in the drop down menu above, please provide the following information:

Indicate when the emergency situation took place

Indicate where the emergency situation took place (including geographical coordinates)

Indicate which species were affected by the emergency situation ?

Spheniscus demersus
 Gavia adamsii
 Gavia arctica
 Gavia immer

Indicate the estimated magnitude of impact (e.g. number of individuals per species/population that suffered/died, area of habitat(s)/site that was affected, etc.)

Have emergency measures been implemented?

Yes

No

clear

If "Yes", then: Provide details

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional) (Optionally you can provide additional information on the emergency case)

5b - Describe any other emergency measures, which were not mentioned above, but were developed and are in place in your country

Botulism

Select from the drop down menu above the type of emergency situation for which there are emergency measures in place in your country

Shortly describe the emergency measures in place in our country

Field for additional information (optional) (Optionally you can provide additional information)

6 - Did you use the AEWA Guidelines on identifying and tackling emergency situations for migratory waterbirds?

Yes

No

[clear](#)

If "Yes", then: How? Give examples

If "No", then: Explain the reasons. What was used instead as a basis for dealing with emergency situations?

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on section 4.3. Emergency Measures

If you have any questions regarding this online report, please contact fkeil@unep.de.

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

Pressures and Responses

4. Species Conservation

4.4. Re-establishments

7 - Is there a regulatory framework for re-establishments of species, including waterbirds, in your country (AP 2.4)?

- Yes
- Partial
- No
- [clear](#)

Provide details

8 - Has your country developed and implemented re-establishment projects for any species listed on Table 1? (AP 2.4)

Spheniscus demersus

- Re-establishment plan developed and being implemented
- Re-establishment plan being developed
- No plan in place, but the idea of re-establishment is being considered
- [clear](#)

Additional information on each species (provide descriptive information or a link to an internet resource in English or French)

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on section 4.4. Re-establishments

If you have any questions regarding this online report, please contact fkeil@unep.de.

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

Pressures and Responses

4. Species Conservation

4.5. Introductions

9 - Does your country have legislation in place, which prohibits the introduction of non-native species of animals and plants, which may have detrimental effect? (AP 2.5.1)

- Yes, and being enforced
 - Yes, but not being enforced properly or at all
 - Being developed
 - No
- clear

If "Yes, being enforced", then: Title of legislation, year of adoption, institution that adopted it, institution that enforces it

If "Yes, not being enforced", then: As above, including reasons for non-enforcement

If "Being developed", then: Provide starting date and expected finalisation date of the process

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

10 - Has your country introduced requirements to zoos, private collections, etc. in order to avoid the accidental escape of captive birds belonging to non-native species? (AP 2.5.2)

- Yes, and being enforced
 - Yes, but not being enforced properly or at all
 - Being developed
 - No
- clear

If "Yes, being enforced", then: Title of the document, year of adoption, institution that adopted it, institution that enforces it

If "Yes, not being enforced", then: As above, including reasons for non-enforcement

If "Being developed", then: Provide starting date and expected finalisation date of the process

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

11 - Does your country have in place a National Action Plan for Invasive Species (NAPIS) (in the framework of other MEAs, such as CBD, Bern Convention, and GISP (Global Invasive Species Programme) (SP, Objective 1, Target 5)?

- Yes, and being implemented
- Yes, but not being implemented properly or at all
- Being developed
- No

[clear](#)

If "Yes", then: Has consideration been given to waterbirds in the NAPIS?

- Yes, fully
- Partially
- Not

[clear](#)

If "Not being implemented", then: Explain the reasons

If "Being developed", then: Provide starting date and expected finalisation date of the process

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

12 - Did you use the AEWA Guidelines on avoidance of introductions of non-native waterbird species?

- Yes
- No

[clear](#)

If "Yes", then: How? Give examples

If "No", then: Explain the reasons. What was used instead as a basis for dealing with the issue?

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on section 4.5. Introductions

Empty text input field for providing additional information.

Save

Next Page

If you have any questions regarding this online report, please contact fkeil@unep.de.

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

Pressures and Responses

5. Habitat Conservation

5.1 Habitat Inventories

13 - Has your country identified the network of all sites of international and national importance for the migratory waterbird species/populations listed on Table 1? (AP 3.1.2)

Yes

Partially

Being developed

No

[clear](#)

If "Yes", then: Provide full reference, e.g. title, year, authors, etc. or a web link with information in English or French

If "Partially", then: Describe the progress

If "Being developed", then: Provide starting date and expected date of finalisation

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

14 - If your country has identified or is currently identifying the networks of sites of international and national importance, have you used the AEWA Guidelines on the preparation of site inventories for migratory waterbirds?

Yes

No

[clear](#)

If "Yes", then: How? Give examples

If "No", then: Explain the reasons. What has been used instead as a basis for the inventory?



AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

Pressures and Responses

5. Habitat Conservation

5.2. Conservation of Areas

15 - Which sites that were identified as important, either internationally or nationally, for Table 1 migratory waterbird species/populations have been designated as protected areas under the national legislation and have management plans that are being implemented? (AP 3.2.1)

All sites of international importance

Total number

Total area (ha)

Out of the above total: number of protected sites

Out of the above total: protected area (ha)

Number of protected sites with management plans in place which are being implemented

Area under protection (in ha) covered by management plans which are being implemented

All sites of national importance

Total number ?

Total area (ha) ?

Out of the above total: number protected sites ?

Out of the above total: protected area (ha) ?

Number of protected sites with management plans in place which are being implemented ?

Area under protection (in ha) covered by management plans which are being implemented ?

Examples of best practice (optional)

If any site, in your opinion, represents an outstanding process of management planning or implementation, please highlight it as an example of best practice (provide descriptive information or a link to an internet resource in English or French)

16 - Has your country used the AEWA Guidelines on the management of key sites for migratory waterbirds?

Yes

No

clear

If "Yes", then: How? Give examples

If "No", then: Explain the reasons. What guidance has been used instead?

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on section 5.2. Conservation of Areas

Save

Next Page

If you have any questions regarding this online report, please contact fkeil@unep.de.

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

Pressures and Responses

6. Management of Human Activities

6.1. Hunting

17 - Does your country have an established system for the collection of harvest data, which covers the species listed in Table 1? (Action Plan 4.1.3)

Yes No

clear

If "Yes", then: Does it cover the following? (tick where applicable and provide details)

All AEWA species occurring in your country	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Only some AEWA species occurring in your country	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
The whole territory of your country	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Only part of the territory of your country	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
All harvesting activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Only some harvesting activities	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Other	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)
Optionally you can provide additional information on the harvest data collection system in your country

18 - Has your country phased out the use of lead shot for hunting in wetlands? (Action Plan 4.1.4)

- Fully
- Partially
- No
- Not applicable

[clear](#)

If "Fully", then: When was the lead shot use in wetlands banned? What legislation is in place? Who does enforce this legislation?

If "Partially", then: As above, including: What % of the country's territory is covered by the ban?

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

If "Not applicable", then: Explain the reasons

If lead shot use in wetlands has not been banned in your country yet, then have you introduced self-imposed and published timetable for banning the use of lead shot for hunting in wetlands?

- Yes
- No

Provide details

[clear](#)

If lead shot use in wetlands has been banned only partially in your country yet, then have you introduced self-imposed and published timetable for banning fully the use of lead shot for hunting in wetlands?

- Yes
- No

Provide details

[clear](#)

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on the lead shot issue

19 - Are there measures in your country to reduce/eliminate illegal taking? (Action Plan 4.1.6)

- Yes No

[clear](#)

If "Yes", then: How would you rate the effectiveness of the measures?

- High ?
- Moderate ?
- Low ?

Other
clear

Provide details

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on the issue of illegal taking

20 - Has your country used the AEWA Guidelines on sustainable harvest of migratory birds?

Yes No
clear

If "Yes", then: How? Give examples

If "No", then: Explain the reasons. What other guidance has been used instead?

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on section 6.1. Hunting

Save Next Page

If you have any questions regarding this online report, please contact fkeil@unep.de.

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

Pressures and Responses

6. Management of Human Activities

6.2. Other human activities

21 - Does your country have legislation in place, which provides for Strategic Environmental Assessment/Environmental Impact Assessment (SEA/EIA) of activities potentially negatively affecting natural habitats or wildlife? (AP 4.3.1)

- Yes and being implemented
 - Yes, but not being implemented properly or at all
 - Being developed
 - No
 - Other
- clear

If "Yes, being implemented", then: Does SEA/EIA process consider waterbirds and habitats on which they depend?

- Yes
 - No
 - Other
- clear

Provide details

If "Yes, being implemented", then: Does SEA/EIA process include public participation?

- Yes
 - No
 - Other
- clear

Provide details

If "Yes, but not being implemented", then: Explain the reasons

If "Being developed", then: Provide starting date and expected finalisation date

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on the SEA/EIA legislation in your country

22 - In the last three years, has your country used SEA/EIA for all relevant projects to assess the impact of proposed projects on migratory waterbird species listed on table 1 and/or habitats/sites on which they depend? (AP 4.3.1)

- Yes, all proposed projects
 - Partially (some projects only)
 - No (not any)
 - Other
- [clear](#)

If "Yes", then: Provide information on the outstanding cases

If "Partially", then: Provide information on the projects where potential impact on migratory birds has not been assessed

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on the assessment of projects with potential impact on migratory waterbirds in your country

23 - Is by-catch of waterbirds in fishing gear taking place in your country? (Resolution 3.8)

Yes

No

No information

[clear](#)

If "Yes" or "No", then: Provide details

If "No information", then: When and how do you intend to fill this information gap?

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on by-catch of waterbirds in fishing gear in your country

24 - Has your country undertaken steps towards the adoption/application of measures to reduce the incidental catch of seabirds and combat Illegal Unregulated and Unreported (IUU) fishing practices in Agreement area? (Resolution 3.8)

Yes

No

Not applicable

[clear](#)

If "Yes", then: Provide short description of all actions

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

If "Not applicable", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on measures to reduce by-catch of seabirds and to combat IUU fishing practices

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on section 6.2. Other Human Activities



Save

Next Page

If you have any questions regarding this online report, please contact fkeil@unep.de.

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

Pressures and Responses
7. Research and Monitoring

25 - Does your country have a waterbird monitoring scheme in place? (Strategic Plan, Objective 3, Target 2)

Breeding season

- Yes
- Partial
- No

clear

If "Yes" or "Partial", then: Provide details

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Passage/migration period

- Yes
- Partial
- No

clear

If "Yes" or "Partial", then: Provide details

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Non-breeding/wintering season

Yes
Partial
No
[clear](#)

If "Yes" or "Partial", then: Provide details

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on the waterbird scheme(s) in your country

26 - Have you used the AEWA Guidelines for a waterbird monitoring protocol?

Yes
No
[clear](#)

If "Yes", then: How? Give examples

if "No", then: Explain the reasons. What guidance has been used instead?

27 - List (or provide links to lists) of research related to waterbirds and their conservation that has been undertaken or results published in the past triennium (Strategic Plan, Objective 3, Target 5)

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on waterbird research in your country

28 - Has your government provided over the past triennium funds and/or logistical support for the International Waterbird Census at international or national level? (Strategic Plan, Objective 3, Target 1)

Nationally
Yes

No
clear

If "Yes", then: Provide details

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Internationally

Yes
No
clear

If "Yes", then: Provide details

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on the engagement of your government with IWC

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on section 7. Research and Monitoring

If you have any questions regarding this online report, please contact fkeil@unep.de.

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

Pressures and Responses 8. Education and Information

8.1. AEWA Communication Strategy

29 - Has your country developed and implemented programmes for raising awareness and understanding on waterbird conservation and about AEWA? (Strategic Plan, Objective 3, Target 3 & Action Plan 6.1-6.4)

Yes, being implemented

Yes, but not being implemented

Being developed

No

Other

[clear](#)

If "Yes, being implemented", then: List major features of the programmes and details of a contact person for each programme

If "Yes, not being implemented", then: Explain the reasons

If "Being developed", then: Provide starting date and expected finalisation date of the development process; contact details of a contact person for each programme

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

If "Other", then: Provide details

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on public awareness programmes in your country on waterbird and AEWA issues

30 - Has your country provided funding and other support, as appropriate (e.g. expertise, network, skills and resources), secured for the implementation of the AEWA Communication Strategy? (Strategic Plan, Objective 3, Target 1 & Resolution 3.10)

Yes No

[clear](#)

If "Yes", then: Provide details

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on the engagement of your country with the AEWA Communication Strategy

31 - In Resolution 3.10 the Meeting of the Parties encouraged Contracting Parties to host AEWA Exchange Centres for their respective regions. Has your country considered/interested in hosting a Regional AEWA Exchange Centre? (Strategic Plan, Objective 3, Target 2 & Resolution 3.10)

- Yes, considered and is interested
 - Yes, considered, but is not interested
 - It is currently considering
 - Not considered yet
 - Not applicable, in our region already exists an AEWA Exchange Centre
 - Other
- [clear](#)

Provide details on either answer given above

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information

32 - Training for CEPA (Communication, Education and Public Awareness) at national level is supposed to be conducted by staff, which has been trained in the framework of the AEWA Training of Trainers programme. Has such training taken place in your country in the past triennium? (Strategic Plan, Objective 3, Target 2)

Applicable only for countries in regions where Training of Trainers programme has taken place

- Yes
 - Being planned
 - No
 - Other
- [clear](#)

If "Yes", then: Provide details

If "Being planned", then: When is it being planned for? Provide contact details of a contact person.

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on CEPA training in your country

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on section 8.1. AEWA Communication Strategy

Save

Next Page

If you have any questions regarding this online report, please contact fkeil@unep.de.

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

Pressures and Responses

9. Implementation

33 - Has your country approached non-contracting parties to encourage them to ratify the Agreement? (Resolution 3.10)

Report only on activities over the past triennium

Yes No

clear

If "Yes", then: List all non-CPs, which were approached, and describe each case, including achieved progress

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on your country's efforts to increase the number of Contracting Parties to the Agreement

34 - Has your country supported/developed international co-operation projects for the implementation of the Agreement, according to the priorities outlined in the AEWA International Implementation Priorities (IIP) for the current triennium? (Resolution 3.11)

Yes No

clear

If "Yes", then: List grants provided to IIP projects, or list all undertaken initiatives and briefly provide any relevant information

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on initiatives of your country regarding AEWA International Implementation Priorities

35 - Has your country resourced the AEWA Small Grants Fund over the past triennium? (Strategic Plan, Objective 5, Target 5)

Yes No

[clear](#)

If "Yes", then: Provide details, including amount of funds provided to the SGF

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on your country's support for the SGF

36 - Does your country have in place a national coordination mechanism for implementation of AEWA, possibly linking to national coordination mechanisms for other biodiversity MEAs? (Strategic Plan, Objective 5, Target 8)

Yes, it is operational on regular basis

Yes, but it is not operational

No

[clear](#)

If "Yes, it is operational", then: Provide details

If "Yes, but is not operational", then: Explain the reasons

If "No", then: Explain the reasons

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on your country's coordination mechanism for implementation of AEWA

37 - How would you suggest promoting further links between the biodiversity MEAs (Multilateral Environmental Agreements) to which your country is a Contracting Party, so as to make your work more efficient and effective?

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on section 9. Implementation

Save

Next Page

If you have any questions regarding this online report, please contact fkeil@unep.de.

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.





AEWA National Reporting Format for triennium 2009-2011

Bulgaria

Pressures and Responses

10. Avian Influenza

38 - What issues have proved challenging in responding nationally to the spread of the Highly Pathogenic Avian Influenza (HPAI) in the last triennium and what further guidance or information would be useful in this respect?

List challenges

List required further guidance or information

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on HPAI-related issues from your country

39 - Have you visited and used as a reference the AIWEb (the Avian Influenza, Wildlife and the Environment web site, <http://www.aiweb.info>)?

Yes No

[clear](#)

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information

Field for additional information (optional)

Optionally you can provide additional information on section 10. Avian Influenza

This tool was developed and is powered by UNEP-WCMC with support from UNEP.

