



THIRD MEETING OF THE STANDING COMMITTEE
04 - 05 July 2005, Bonn, Germany

**PROPOSAL FOR INTERNATIONAL IMPLEMENTATION PRIORITIES
FOR 2006-2008**

INTRODUCTION

1. The following list of priority activities has been established to assist Contracting Parties, donors and other stakeholders to further the implementation of the Action Plan of the Agreement on the Conservation of African-Eurasian Migratory Waterbirds during the period 2006-2008.
2. At the first session of the Meeting of the Parties (MOP) to the Agreement, which took place from 6 to 9 November 1999 in Cape Town (South Africa), the international implementation priorities (IIP) for 2000-2004 were adopted in Resolution 1.4. Updated IIP for 2003-2007 were adopted with Resolution 2.4 at MOP2 in Bonn in September 2002. The current proposal for IIP 2006-2008 represents a reviewed and upgraded list of activities from the previous IIP 2003-2007.

IMPLEMENTATION PRIORITIES 2003-2007 AS THE BASIS

3. In a separate document (AEWA/MOP3.12) the implementation status of the priorities over the period 2003-2007 is presented, focussing on actions undertaken or in progress within the AEWA framework (more may have been undertaken by individual countries or other agencies in a different context). Document AEWA/MOP3.12 shows that although there was considerable progress, many priorities have not yet been implemented, mainly because of a lack of funding. Priorities that have been or are currently being implemented do not re-appear in the present list of 2006-2008 priorities.

CONSULTATION

4. In order to identify necessary changes and additions that were needed to the existing implementation priorities, the AEWA Secretariat set up a wide consultation. The updated list of activities is based on an extensive consultation with the Range States, NGOs, scientific institutes and consultants. Comments and proposals were received from 3 Range States, 2 NGOs, 1 scientific institute and 2 consultants. However some of them were irrelevant to IIP as they were reflecting priority activities at national level only. Although quite a few of the activities from the list as adopted in 1999 and updated in 2002 have been implemented, the remaining list of activities is still valid.

NATURE OF SUGGESTED CHANGES

5. The external consultation network has proposed no suggestions to change existing priorities, while a number of additional priority activities were suggested. This initial list of additional projects was reviewed by the Technical Committee at its 6th meeting and was reduced or some proposals were slightly modified to extend their scope (e.g. training courses on migratory waterbird conservation). Remaining proposed additional priorities are presented in **Bold** and *Italics* for discussion purposes. The Technical Committee introduced also several other modifications: wording of some of the previous priorities that remained in the

document, especially such that are matching funding for the GEF project, was enhanced to reflect better current state; two of the previous priorities (guidelines on satellite telemetry and on telemetry in waterbirds) were merged into one priority.

ORDER AND FORMAT OF PRESENTATION

6. As in the previous versions, the presentation of the priorities in the present document follows the headings of the Action Plan to the Agreement. The number(s) in parentheses after each priority title refer(s) to the relevant paragraph of the Agreement's Action Plan. The order of presentation does not reflect any order of priority.
7. For each priority, an indicative budget and timescale is presented for guidance, along with the types of activity involved. It should be noted that the budgets are only indicative. Detailed project proposals and budgets to meet each priority will be required at a later stage and should be the basis for the final fund-raising.

DISCUSSION

8. During the 3rd Session of the Meeting of the Parties to the Agreement, a discussion on priorities should take place and the Parties should establish a list of priorities. This document provides the basis for the discussion.
9. The priorities include only those requiring international cooperation, and are not intended to reflect national implementation priorities, which must be determined by each Contracting Party and could include more on-the-ground conservation activities. A number of the proposals underlined the importance of such activities. Five types of international cooperation will be appropriate in addressing these priorities:
 - (a) Exchange/transfer of information;
 - (b) Research, surveys and monitoring;
 - (c) Exchange/transfer of expertise;
 - (d) Financial assistance;
 - (e) Transboundary drafting and implementation of action plans

ACTION REQUESTED FROM THE STANDING COMMITTEE

The Standing Committee is requested to review this latest version of the proposal for International Implementation Priorities 2006-2008 as suggested by the Technical Committee, noting that the Secretariat is still working on finalisation in accordance with the changes made by the Technical Committee, to discuss and introduce amendment and/or changes (if applicable) and approve it for submission to the 3rd Session of the Meeting of the Parties along with draft Resolution 3.8

A. SPECIES CONSERVATION1. Implement existing international single species action plans (AP 2.2.1, 7.4)

Prior to the entry into force of the Agreement, a number of international single species action plans relevant to Paragraph 2.2.1 of the Agreement's Action Plan had already been developed (by BirdLife International, Wetlands International and International Crane Foundation). These include action plans for: *Phalacrocorax pygmeus*, *Pelecanus crispus*, *Botaurus stellaris*, *Anser erythropus*, *Branta ruficollis*, *Marmaronetta angustirostris*, *Polysticta stellerii*, *Grus leucogeranus*, *Fulica cristata*, *Numenius tenuirostris*, *Larus audouinii*, and *Sterna dougallii*. (NB. Several of these action plans cover the European part of the range of the species only, and a priority is to extend them to cover their full range within the Agreement area (see next item). A number of international single species action plans were also adopted by MOP2 and MOP3 of AEWa for *Vanellus gregarius*, *Glareola nordmanni*, *Gallinago media*, *Oxyura leucocephala*, *Crex crex*, *Aythya nyroca*, *Geronticus eremita*, and *Branta bernicla hrota* (East Canadian High Arctic population), and a number of action plans are under preparation or are being updated, including for intra-African migrants, such as *Oxyura maccoa*, *Anser erythropus*, and *Branta bernicla bernicla*. Whilst many of the actions identified for these species will have to be undertaken and financed at national or local level, a budget is required for international coordination and promotion, and to provide small grants for national and local initiatives.

Indicative budget: €50,000 min. /species / year (for coordination/grants)

Duration: Annual, ongoing

Activities: Coordination, small grants, evaluation, reporting

2. Develop new international single species action plans (AP 2.2.1, 7.4)

New international single species action plans need to be developed for the populations listed in category 1 of column A of Table 1 to the Agreement Action Plan as a priority, and for those species listed with an asterisk in column A of Table 1. Production and format of the action plans should follow the recommendations given in the relevant conservation guidelines. As soon as the new action plans are prepared for each species, implementation should begin. In view of the large number of action plans to be prepared, it is strongly recommended that the most urgent attention be given to globally threatened species. Furthermore, it is recommended that individual Range States agree to take the lead on development of individual action plans (as an in-kind contribution to the Agreement), in close cooperation with the other Range States for each species (coordination of plan development including workshops, drafting, consultation and publication of each plan). Plans should be submitted to the Technical Committee in draft form before final approval, to ensure harmonization and quality control.

Indicative budget: €40,000 per species for action plan preparation

Duration: 12 months per plan

Activities: Coordination, workshop, planning, publication

B. HABITAT CONSERVATION3. Identify all sites of international importance for AEWa species (AP 3.1.2, 7.4)

A vital piece of information for the conservation of any migratory species is an understanding of the network of key sites required to sustain their populations throughout the year. A large body of information already exists concerning key sites for migratory waterbirds (that is, sites which meet the Ramsar criteria of international importance for waterbirds and Important Bird Areas). This information has largely been collected through the International Waterbird Census of Wetlands International, but also through BirdLife International's Important Bird Areas programme and Endemic Bird Areas programme, wetland inventories (particularly the Directory of Wetlands of the Middle East) and one-off surveys of remote areas. It is proposed to compile from these various existing sources a "matrix" of key sites by Species, which will show all known internationally important sites for each species covered by the Agreement. This matrix will be made available in database form through the World Wide Web as a planning, conservation and awareness

tool. The successful presentation of the results of this activity depends on the completion of implementation priority number 4.

Indicative budget: €125,000
Duration: 2 years
Activities: Desk study, review, database, web site

Being proposed for matching funding as an activity in the full project proposal of the GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways project to be launched in 2005.

4. Creating an interactive tool that presents information on important sites for migratory waterbirds (AP 3.1.2, 7.4)

Currently large amounts of data exist in databases on migratory waterbirds (International Waterbird Census) and the sites they depend upon in the AEWA region (Important Bird Areas, Ramsar database). These data reside with the custodians and are not inter-operable at the moment. This hampers the interactive application of these data for flyway conservation purposes. Development of a web-based portal that can integrate data on sites of critical importance to migratory waterbirds from these dispersed sources and that provides the option of interactive data submission through the web, is a priority.

A condition for increasing the ‘inter-operability’ of essential databases like the International Waterbird Census database and the Important Bird Areas database, but also the Ramsar database, is that they have common geographic references, in the form of digitized boundaries. These do not currently exist to a significant extent and considerable work will need to be done to create these, especially for the International Waterbird Census database. This will be a key activity in creating the tool.

Indicative budget: €215,000
Duration: 4 years
Activities: Gathering of reliable map data, coordination, data input (digitization of boundaries); database adaptation, portal development, data management, maintenance

Being proposed for matching funding as an activity in the full project proposal of the GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways to be launched in 2005.

5. Identify priority areas for further survey work (AP 3.1, 7.4)¹

Based on the study undertaken in Implementation priority number 3 above, a gap analysis should be undertaken to identify sites/regions where migratory waterbirds would particularly benefit from further surveys. This would be achieved by asking species experts and national focal points to comment on maps based on existing knowledge, and to identify areas of potential importance for migratory waterbirds, but for which survey data are lacking. This would also include identification of areas important for dispersed species (e.g., waders and *Anatidae* during their breeding season) or very large, complex or composite sites. The results will be used both to stimulate “expedition” work in remote areas, as well as to identify countries which would most benefit from a national wetlands inventory programme.

Indicative budget: €50,000
Duration: 2 years
Activities: Desk study, consultation, review, publication, survey proposals

Being proposed for matching funding as an activity in the full project proposal of the GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways project to be launched in 2005.

6. Identify priority areas for better protection (AP 3.2, 7.4)²

¹ The Secretariat is working with Wetlands International on rewording of the title

² The Secretariat is working with Wetlands International on rewording of the text

Based on the study undertaken in implementation priority number 3 above, the key sites matrix will be examined to ascertain the degree of existing protection of each site under both international and national legislation. At the international level, this will be achieved by comparison with existing databases on protected areas (e.g. the Ramsar sites database (maintained by Wetlands International), the Natura 2000/Special Programme of Action databases of the European Commission, and the protected areas database (maintained by the World Conservation Monitoring Centre). At national level, information will also be requested from national focal points for the Agreement. The results will be used to assess whether adequate site protection measures are in place to maintain each species under the Agreement in a favourable conservation status. Specific recommendations will be made for species where the network of key sites is thought to be inadequately protected. The study will also list those key sites which are shared between two or more countries, and which require special cooperation measures for effective management.

Indicative budget: €70,000
Duration: 2 years
Activities: Desk study, review, publication, and recommendations

Being proposed for matching funding as an activity in the full project proposal of the GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways project to be launched in 2005.

7. Habitat Priorities for Waterbirds, particularly in Africa and South-west Asia(AP 3.2, 3.3)³
The BirdLife International project Habitat Action Plans for Birds in Europe, has made an important contribution to defining habitat conservation priorities for birds in Europe. This now needs to be made much more specific to waterbird habitats and, particularly, to be extended to Africa and South-west Asia, where habitat requirements are much less well known. The project should result in a series of habitat action plans containing prioritised recommendations and costed projects for each key habitat type. Severely threatened habitats, and habitats of importance to globally threatened species, should be given priority.

Indicative budget: €200,000
Duration: 3 years
Activities: Desk study, review, workshops, publication, project proposals

8. Restoration and rehabilitation techniques for waterbird habitats, particularly in Africa (AP 3.3)⁴
There has been significant loss and degradation of waterbird habitats throughout the Agreement area. Techniques are relatively well developed for the restoration and rehabilitation of wetlands in temperate regions, but are poorly developed or known for wetlands in the tropics. It is therefore proposed to draw together the available information to produce two manuals (one for temperate and one for tropical areas), including information on the sources of available expertise. Close coordination will be necessary with existing work under the Ramsar Convention. Because of the paucity of information on restoration of tropical waterbird habitats, a special project will be launched to undertake demonstration restoration measures for a small number of African wetlands. These will also be used as a focus for training activities. Restoration techniques will focus on low-cost, low technology management options.

Indicative budget: €60,000 per manual
€80,000 minimum for each demonstration project
Duration: 18 months for the manuals
Activities: Manuals, demonstration projects, training courses

9. **Conservation programme of migratory bird roosting sites located in the Albertine Rift region (Eastern Africa) (AP 3.2.3, 3.2.4)**⁵
The Albertine Rift region is an important north-south flyway for migratory birds from Europe heading to their wintering places in the southern part of the African Continent. This part of

³ The Secretariat is working with Wetlands International on rewording of the text

⁴ The Secretariat is working with Wetlands International on rewording of the text

⁵ Final decision of the Technical Committee on this proposal is pending upon submission on more information from the proponent

Eastern Africa counts numerous important bird areas (IBAs) which make the Albertine Rift a global biodiversity hotspot. Two important factors weighing on the conservation status of these sites are extremely high human population densities and poverty that is rampant in the Albertine Rift region and neighbouring areas. Due to human pressure, all IBAs of the region face the following problems: encroachment for settlement, agriculture, cattle breeding and grazing, poaching, illegal harvesting, bush fires during the dry season etc., so that actually all these sites are getting more and more degraded.

To overcome all above-mentioned problems and threats and contribute to poverty alleviation in the region, a conservation programme concerning protected and non-protected IBAs, led essentially by local populations including communities and local and traditional authorities, is intended in the respective countries, i.e. Burundi, Rwanda, Tanzania and Uganda. Identification of strategies and mechanisms for contributing to livelihood improvement of local people and safeguarding the ecosystem qualities of IBAs is expected as well as efficient collaboration of riparian communities with national and regional conservation authorities.

Indicative budget: €712,000; four fifth of total amount (€570,000) to be sourced from AEWAs
Duration: 3 years
Activities: Coordination of collaborators, analysis

10. *Identify the River Danube for international importance for AEWAs species*⁶

*It is well known that a great number of waterbirds which are listed in column A of Table 1 to the Action Plan of the Agreement as priority species winter on big lakes and rivers such as the Danube. Up to 75% of the European population of some species (e.g. *Mergus albellus*, *Bucephala clangula*, *Aythya nyroca*, *Clangula hyemalis*) winter on this river. Because of this it is necessary to conduct more intensive monitoring of non-breeding migratory waterbird population through the International Waterbird Census of Wetlands International. Collected data will be used for better protection of the regions that are rich in species at both international and national levels. At the international level, this will be achieved by a mutual comparison of the results gathered down the Danube's flow, which will show the richness of its regions in species and necessary improvements in protecting measures by comparison with other protected area databases (e.g. the Ramsar sites database or the World Conservation Monitoring Centre). At national level, information will be used for the national focal point for the Action Plan of the Agreement. Also, results will be used for the valorisation of the existing protection measures on the River Danube, related to waterbirds from the Agreement. A budget is required for international coordination and promotion, and to provide small grants for national, local monitoring activities and for international cooperation.*

Indicative budget: €112,000
Duration: 3 years
Activities: Coordination, monitoring, recommendation

C. MANAGEMENT OF HUMAN ACTIVITIES

11. Evaluation of waterbird harvests in the Agreement area (AP 4.1, 5.7)

Waterbirds are harvested widely throughout the Agreement area for sport, trade and subsistence (including by indigenous people) thus having importance for local economies. However, little is known of the scale of such harvesting, particularly in Africa and South-west Asia, nor of the impacts that such harvesting has on waterbird populations. The effects of wounding of waterbirds by hunters remain little known and would be a valuable subject for study. It is therefore proposed to examine the location, scale (by species), methods and

⁶ Final decision of the Technical Committee on this proposal is pending upon submission on more information from the proponent

impacts of waterbird harvest throughout the Agreement area, but with a particular focus on poorly known regions. The project will identify areas, methods or species where harvest may be unsustainable and require intervention, and will feed into the development of future monitoring programmes. The taking of live waterbirds for collections and zoos should be included in this work.

Indicative budget: €200,000 (can be split into 4-5 sub-projects on regional basis)
Duration: 3 years
Activities: Reviews, research, survey, publications

12. Review of the use of non-toxic shot for waterbird hunting (AP 4.1.4)

The International Waterfowl and Wetlands Research Bureau (now Wetlands International) workshop on Lead Poisoning in Waterfowl (Brussels, 1991), was a landmark event for actions which have subsequently taken place to reduce the impact of lead poisoning in waterbirds. A follow-up international workshop was organised in 2001 in Central Europe, in close cooperation between the AEWAS Secretariat, international hunting organisations and others, to share the most up-to-date information on this subject. Wetlands International published an updated report on the implementation of the ban of lead shot. A further workshop is needed in Southern Europe and the update review/reports undertaken by Wetlands International should be continued.

Indicative budget: €50,000 (workshop); €50,000 for each review report
Duration: 18 months (workshop); review reports still to be planned
Activities: Workshop, proceedings, 2 triennial review reports

13. Regional workshops on sustainable harvest (AP 4.1.1)

Millions of birds are harvested as they migrate through the Agreement area each year. Some of these birds are internationally threatened species. In addition to direct takings, migratory waterbirds in particular are threatened by poisoning due to the pollution of their habitats from lead shot. In the countries of North Africa and the Middle East that border on the Mediterranean, especially in Lebanon, Syria and Egypt, hunting is an important socio-economic activity and a significant proportion of birds are shot or trapped there. A regional workshop should take place to promote more sustainable hunting practices and enhance compliance with international and regional agreements on the conservation of migratory birds as well as management of bird hunting to reduce excessive, indiscriminate and illegal hunting of migratory birds.

***Indicative budget: €30,000 per workshop
Duration: 2 years
Activities: Workshop, proceedings***

14. Evaluation of socio-economic impacts of waterbird hunting (AP 4.2.2)

Sport, market and subsistence hunting of waterbirds have the potential to contribute substantially to sustainable rural development throughout the Agreement area. Yet, very little is known of the socio-economic impacts of such forms of hunting in different regions and its potential contribution to species and habitat conservation. This project will build on implementation priority number 10 above, and will research the socio-economic benefits of different types of waterbird hunting in different parts of the Agreement area (e.g. subsistence hunting in arctic / sub-arctic areas (including by indigenous populations), tourist or market hunting in Africa, and sport hunting in Europe). Significant work has been undertaken on this subject in North America, and should provide a useful background to the study. The results of the case studies will be presented to a workshop and published to advise future sustainable rural development initiatives.

Indicative budget: €150,000
Duration: 2.5 years
Activities: Research, socio-economic surveys, workshop, publication

15. Evaluation of waterbirds as agricultural pests in Africa (AP 4.3.2, 4.3.3)
A number of migratory waterbird species covered by the Agreement are known to consume and potentially damage agricultural crops or commercial fish stocks (including those at fish-farms). Although the subject is relatively well studied in Europe, where geese, cormorants and herons are implicated, the situation in Africa is less well known. Here, populations of ducks and waders are reported as pests of rice and other crops. This project will work with the Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations to review the extent, species involved and location of this problem. The project will involve review of existing knowledge, and a workshop of experts, culminating in a review publication and recommendations on crop protection measures. The need to develop specific action plans for any of the species concerned will also be considered.

Indicative budget: €100,000
Duration: 2 years
Activities: Review, workshop, publication

16. Guideline on minimizing/mitigating the impacts of infrastructural (and disturbance-related) developments affecting waterbirds (AP 4.3.5, 4.3.6)
Because many waterbirds occur in dense concentrations on individual sites, their conservation status can easily be threatened or impaired by point infrastructure developments (road or bridge-building, factories, oil terminals, tourist developments) or by the associated disturbance. This project will produce new conservation guidelines, recommending the steps to be taken to minimize or mitigate the impacts of such activities.

Indicative budget: €25,000
Duration: 12 months
Activities: Review, consultation, guidelines

D. RESEARCH AND MONITORING

17. Improving survey and monitoring capacity for migratory waterbirds
Enhancing survey and monitoring capacity for migratory waterbirds and the sites they use through training and by providing equipment. Analysis of the geographic coverage and the quality of the network for data gathering on waterbirds and the sites they use will show that sub-regions within the AEWA region can be identified where capacity is lacking or limiting the data quality. Depending on the need of the specific sub-region, capacity-building and field survey work will be performed to enhance the quality of the data. Twinning is a potential implementation mechanism whereby countries with higher capacity adopt countries with less well-developed schemes. In addition, in areas where the economic conditions prevent observers buying their own essential optical equipment, technical resources to support the network of volunteers will be provided.

Indicative budget: Based on implementation by experts from the region per country: €32.500 in the first year, €20,000 in the second year
Duration: 5 years in total, 2-3 years per country, depending on the needs
Activities: Fieldwork, training, supply of equipment (first year)

Being proposed for matching funding as an activity in the full project proposal of the GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways project to be launched in 2005.

18. Survey work in poorly-known areas (AP 5.1)
There remain many gaps in knowledge of the importance and utilization of even some very large wetlands by migratory waterbirds, particularly in Africa and South-West Asia. Based on existing knowledge of gaps, and also the systematic gap analysis to be undertaken in implementation priority number 6 above, it is recommended that grants (and expertise, if necessary) be made available for locally organized surveys or expeditions, to assess the importance of lesser known areas. Such surveys, if conducted by visiting teams of experts, should involve a high component of training (and equipping) of local experts, and should result in a summary publication. These activities will be closely linked to those required for the next priority (16).

Indicative budget: €15,000 per survey (average)

Duration: Ongoing
Activities: Field survey, training, publication.

Being proposed for matching funding as an activity in the full project proposal of the GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways project to be launched in 2005.

19. International Waterbird Census – special gap-filling survey (AP 5.2, 5.3, 7.4)

The International Waterbird Census, organized by Wetlands International, and conducted in most countries within the Agreement area, is the primary tool for monitoring the conservation status of the populations covered by AEWA. It is based on annual non-breeding season surveys at a sample of sites, by an extensive network of mainly volunteer counters. As the census is conducted on a sample of sites only, it is necessary to try periodically to achieve a maximum coverage through a full census of as many sites as possible. This will enable better coverage of poorly known species and sites, better population estimates and calibration of population indices.

Wetlands International conducted a pilot project on prioritizing and costing the work for such a gap-filling census. The actual gap-filling has not yet been planned because it depends on the availability of (substantial) funds. This approach will currently only apply to the Western Palearctic and South-West Asia, since the census networks in Africa are insufficiently developed to enable the additional effort required for this extra survey work. Extended coverage in some countries may best be achieved through international field surveys as under implementation priority number 15 above. The project will provide the additional coordination, support, small grants and awareness materials necessary to ensure a successful outcome.

Indicative budget: €560,000 (including 6 regional workshops (@ €20,000 each), planning/coordination (€240,000), analysis/report writing (€200,000)) Plus 20-50 surveys @ €10-15,000 each.

Duration: 5 years including planning and report writing

Activities: Planning, regional workshops, coordination, field surveys, publication

Being proposed for matching funding as an activity in the full project proposal of the GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways project to be launched in 2005.

20. Publication of flyway atlases for gulls, terns, herons, ibises, storks and rallidae (AP 5.4, 7.4)

A first flyway atlas has been produced for *Anatidae* (1996). The Wader Flyway Atlas is under development (see priority 17). These initiatives have been received with great enthusiasm. They lay the basis for the flyway approach in the conservation of these species. The *Anatidae* atlas needs a second edition. Similarly, the conservation of other species groups of migratory waterbirds would benefit from flyway atlases being produced for them. This can be done species group by group or in an integrated publication. Ideally the use of ringing recoveries should be integrated into these flyway population atlases.

Indicative budget: Depending on the number of species in the species group up to €250,000 (excluding the integration of ringing recovery data) per species group atlas.

Duration: 3 years

Activities: Coordination, review, data analysis, drafting and editing text, production of graphics, publication

21. Ringing recoveries in atlases (AP 5.4)

Ringing recoveries provide the physical evidence for an individual bird to have traveled from one point to another. Since in many cases the flyway population to which an individual belongs is known, this contributes greatly to visualizing and understanding the concept and delimitation of flyway populations. Mapping ringing recoveries and providing background statistics with them, are a very valuable addition to census information presented in flyway atlases. Ideally therefore, the publication of these data should be combined. For gulls, terns, herons, ibises, storks and rallidae (the species mentioned in implementation priority 18) the integration of these data into one publication is still feasible. For *Anatidae* another solution will have to be found. For

waders, when finalizing the atlas it will be worthwhile making an effort to include these data into the work that has already been done.

Indicative budget: €100,000 (aiming at inclusion in flyway atlases (see priority 18), therefore excluding stand alone publication)
Duration: 18 months
Activities: Coordination, data analysis, review, wide consultation, graphical presentation, text drafting, editing

22. Coordination of waterbird ringing schemes, particularly in Africa. (AP 5.4)

Ringing studies have contributed greatly to our current understanding of waterbird migration and ecology. Whilst in Europe, the European Union for Bird Ringing has provided international coordination between the various national ringing schemes, no homologue exists for Africa or South-west Asia. It is proposed to support the development of an African ringing scheme (AFRING), specifically for studies of migratory waterbirds. This will initially be through a coordinated study of intra-African migratory waterbirds. The project will have fixed goals and a five-year timetable.

Indicative budget: €50,000 per annum
Duration: Ongoing, One-year activities out of the five-year timetable were carried out in 2004
Activities: Coordination, ringing programmes, review, publication

23. Guideline on the use of satellite tracking for migratory waterbirds (AP 5.4)⁷

The development of satellite tracking technology for studying animal migrations has advanced substantially in recent years, and has revolutionized our understanding of the migration ecology of some species. The technique has revealed that certain types of information can be gathered with substantially higher quality and cost-effectiveness than from traditional ringing schemes. However, the technique has only been successfully applied to larger species, and there remain important questions regarding animal welfare. The Scientific Council of CMS is coordinating work on this subject as a whole, but it is proposed to produce conservation guidelines specifically on the use of satellite tracking for migratory waterbirds. In addition, case studies showing the advantages and drawbacks of the technique should be listed, and an assessment of its value in studying globally threatened species should be made.

Indicative budget: €25,000
Duration: 1 year
Activities: Review, consultation, guidelines

24. Telemetry in migratory waterbirds

Besides guidelines on the use of telemetry, a short review of results of tracking methods (other than ringing) would provide essential information for the better understanding of how the technology can be used to provide information on migratory routes, the use of sites by birds during migration and the relation between those and environmental variables – including practical and technical limitations of current technologies, and identification of which groups of birds could or could not be used as a focus for telemetry studies at present. Having this knowledge in hand – a listing of high priority species and/or populations with unknown or uncertain migratory routes, breeding, staging and/or wintering areas – could guide future implementation of telemetry studies towards answering questions of higher conservation importance. Compilation of an overview (e.g., into a web-journal) is necessary.

Indicative budget: €50,000
Duration: 1 year
Activities: Desk study, consultation, guidelines

⁷ This and the following priority to be merged into one

25. Actions for the conservation of colonial waterbirds (AP 3.1.2, 3.2, 4.2, 5)

A large proportion of the migratory waterbird species covered by the Agreement nest in colonies (particularly of the families: Pelecanidae, Phalacrocoracidae, Ardeidae, Ciconiidae, Threskiornithidae, Phoenicopteridae, Laridae). For different species, coloniality may be an adaptation for avoidance of predators and for efficient exploitation of food resources. One result of this behaviour is that a very significant proportion of the population of a species may be breeding at one or a few localities at one time. This makes the species particularly vulnerable to habitat change, taking (of eggs, young or adults), disturbance or emergency situations at such sites. On the positive side, waterbird colonies provide excellent opportunities for ecotourism, research and monitoring, and can be relatively easily protected.

In order to provide guidance to Contracting Parties, it is recommended that two activities be undertaken: i) (a) preparation of conservation guidelines on national actions to be undertaken for colonial waterbirds (establishment of a sites register, protection, monitoring, ecotourism and avoidance of disturbance, restoration and creation of breeding sites etc.); (b) a desk study to explore options, priorities and costings for coordinated international monitoring of colonial waterbirds during the breeding season, since many of these species are not adequately covered by the existing International Waterbird Census, which is based on non-breeding season surveys.

Indicative budget: €15,000 (monitoring study)
Duration: Ongoing, conservation guidelines have been contracted in 2005
Activities: Review, analysis, consultation, publications

26. Causes of population changes in migratory waterbirds

In order to address effectively the conservation of migratory waterbirds, we need to know more about the major threats and mechanisms that drive changes in their population sizes. Many of the species action plans identify these, species by species. By compiling the information from sources such as these into a comprehensive overview of “causes of population change”, it will become more feasible to address some of these causes horizontally, rather than on a species by species basis.

Indicative budget: €30,000
Duration: 1 year
Activities: Desk study, consultation, drafting text, publication

27. Migratory waterbirds and climatic change⁸

One of the major topics on the environmental agenda is climate change. This will also have a major influence on migratory waterbirds. The way and the extent to which changes in global climate will interact with waterbirds have not been systematically described. A desk study describing these relationships should be undertaken.

Indicative budget: €25,000
Duration: 1 year
Activities: Desk study, consultation, publication

28. Field guide for Central Asia and adjacent countries

For building sustainable monitoring capacity, the availability of a good field identification guide is essential. For Central Asia and adjacent areas like Siberia and other Range States of the Central Asian-South Asian Flyway such a guide, in the appropriate language (Russian) and targeted at the relevant species is not currently available. The knowledge, the capacity and even the artwork exist to make such a guide, and a guide can be realized in a relatively short time span, if financial resources become available for editing and publishing.

Indicative budget: €50,000
Duration: 1 year

⁸ The Secretariat is working on rewording of the text

Activities: Text drafting, publication (in Russian)

Being proposed for matching funding as an activity in the full project proposal of the GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways project to be launched in 2005

29. Compiling flyway information (in digital format) for use in conjunction with existing waterbird count data and site information

For Anatidae, an atlas has been produced compiling available flyway information. For waders this is under way, but needs further work. For other migratory waterbird species this needs to still be taken up. The information from sources like these needs to be stored in databases (including GIS representation of flyway delimitations), for use in conjunction with census and site information. This will involve expert use of the databases and consultation of expert groups (specialist groups). This should also result in project proposals for further research to fill gaps in existing knowledge.

Indicative budget: €125,000
Duration: 2 years
Activities: Database analysis, information compilation, desk study, review, expert consultation, coordination

Being proposed for matching funding as an activity in the full project proposal of the GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways project to be launched in 2005.

30. The use of wetland sites by migratory waterbirds

Throughout their annual cycle, migratory waterbirds depend on a variety of wetland sites. Given the concentration of so many individual waterbirds in these sites, they are of vital importance for their survival. We therefore look at these places as a network of critical sites. But can the role of any of these sites be taken over by another site in case something goes wrong? And what if such a change happens in the far north of the “network”, how will this affect the role of the sites further down along the migratory route? In order to be able to assess this, we need to gather more knowledge about the way birds use these sites, in relation to environmental parameters, and about the flexibility in site use by individual birds. What are the basic ecological requirements of the migratory waterbird species with respect to these sites. This may again differ between the different life-cycle stages (e.g., breeding, moulting, migration, wintering, displaying). The understanding of the importance of sites for the survival and conservation of species should be strongly improved by a study into these factors. There is a strong link to priority 8.

Indicative budget: €30,000
Duration: 1 year
Activities: Desk study, consultation, publication

Being proposed for matching funding as an activity in the full project proposal of the GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways project to be launched in 2005.

31. Migratory waterbirds as indicators

Migratory waterbirds react to parameters in and around wetland sites in a way that opens the possibility to use them as indicators of the status of these wetlands and the pressures on them. This is highly relevant to policy makers. By constructing powerful indicators, decisions about measures to be taken (affecting nature conservation) can be facilitated. Currently many of the causal links between numbers of migratory waterbirds and wetland parameters are insufficiently known, and the state of knowledge needs to be improved.

Indicative budget: €30,000
Duration: 1 year
Activities: Desk study, consultation, publication

E. EDUCATION AND INFORMATION

32. Analysis of training needs for migratory waterbird conservation (AP 6.1, 6.2)

The levels of know-how in practical techniques for migratory waterbird conservation vary substantially throughout the Agreement area. Sharing such expertise through training materials and programmes, is an important aspect of international cooperation for the implementation of the Agreement. Using a questionnaire approach, it is proposed to develop an analysis of training needs by subregion, and also to compile information on appropriate international training institutions and existing materials. The project should focus on subregions outside North-West Europe, where training opportunities are already adequate.

Indicative budget: €30,000 (approximately 50 per cent already available)

Duration: 1 year

Activities: Questionnaire, review, consultation, publication

Study done in GEF preparation and development facility (category B) Flyways project. Further analysis, development of subregional programmes and their implementation in the full GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways project to be launched in 2005.

33. Regional training programmes in Africa for implementation of the Agreement (AP 6.1, 6.2)

Training has been identified at numerous forums as one of the key elements for advancing the implementation of the Agreement, particularly in Africa. Access to modern planning, assessment and management techniques, relevant to local situations, will greatly help under-resourced agencies use their resources most effectively. The regional training programmes in West Africa, currently organized by Wetlands International and the Office National de la Chasse et de la Faune Sauvage (France) provide a useful model from which new programmes can be developed. It is strongly recommended that this type of training programme be extended throughout Africa. Cost-effectiveness will be greatest if courses are based on groups of neighbouring countries, and if local expertise can be used for the majority of the training. Courses should target specific groups of professionals and include the following subjects, as appropriate: a general introduction to the work of the Agreement; waterbird identification, assessment and monitoring; waterbird ecology; habitat management for waterbirds; managing human activities; and public awareness.

Indicative budget: €150,000 per year, per regional programme

Duration: 5 years

Activities: Coordination, training courses, materials, follow-up

Being proposed for matching funding as an activity in the full project proposal of the GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways project to be launched in 2005.

34. *CIS training course on migratory waterfowl conservation and waterfowl habitat management (AP 6.1)*⁹

CIS are different in size and population but have a similar legacy in the wake of the collapse of the USSR: economy in transition, unfavourable environmental conditions, and lack of funds for nature conservation. Staff involved in migratory waterfowl conservation and waterfowl habitat management in CIS are very enthusiastic, but training needs in this region are of highest priority. A two-week training course for 12 representatives of institutions and organisations of the CIS countries is being proposed to be organised at Lake Sevan, Armenia. The general goals of the course are to provide participants with knowledge and skills necessary for the organisation and implementation of measures for migratory waterfowl conservation in breeding and resting areas, waterfowl habitat management, waterfowl and habitat sustainable use (hunting, ecotourism), as well as to identify and develop common approaches for conservation and restoration of shared waterfowl habitats, to identify and develop common approaches for taking management actions on waterfowl on common migratory routes, and to identify and

⁹ The Secretariat is working on rewording of the text, this priority is to cover the entire Agreement area

develop common information materials and ⁻¹⁴⁻ mechanisms for public awareness with regard to migratory waterfowl conservation and waterfowl habitat protection. The course is expected to contribute to an increase among participants in knowledge necessary for the conservation of migratory waterfowl and management of their habitats, establishment of closer cooperation among the different experts of different countries and institutions, and the strengthening of regional cooperation.

Indicative budget: €28,000
Duration: 1 year
Activities: training course

35. Publication of waterbird monitoring manuals (AP 6.2)

Effective monitoring of migratory waterbirds is essential for the functioning of the Agreement, and it is vital that comparable data are collected between sites, regions and years. The production of manuals to help train coordinators and counters will be an important tool for continuous improvement of the monitoring networks. The manuals will be particularly valuable for the relatively new counting networks in Africa and South-West Asia, but will also benefit European counters. It will be necessary to publish the manual(s) in a number of languages. Furthermore, it may be necessary to have versions appropriate to the situation in different parts of the Agreement area. Aerial survey methods for remote, inaccessible and offshore areas throughout the Agreement area should not be neglected. Preliminary proposals are for one manual for the Western Palearctic and South-West Asia, and one for Africa.

Indicative budget: €40,000 per manual in one language
€20,000 for translation/printing/mailing other languages
Duration: 18 months
Activities: Drafting, consultation, publication, free distribution

Being proposed for matching funding as an activity in the full project proposal of the GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways project to be launched in 2005.

36. Establish a clearing house for training materials for the Agreement (AP 6. 2)

A wealth of training materials relevant to the implementation of the Agreement already exists both within the Agreement area, and also in other parts of the world. The establishment of an internet-based clearing house for such training materials will greatly assist Parties in meeting the obligations of the Agreement. It is suggested that the Agreement Secretariat should establish a contract with an appropriate international organization to establish and maintain this clearinghouse.

Indicative budget: €30,000 to establish clearinghouse
€10,000 per annum for maintenance
Duration: 5 years
Activities: Collection of materials, web site development, dissemination

37. Regional workshops for the promotion of the Agreement (AP 6.3)

In order to give the development of the Agreement a strong start throughout the Agreement area, a number of promotional workshops should be arranged for specific subregions. The priority regions identified so far would be, in order: (i) the Central Asian Republics; (ii) the Arab states. These workshops should aim to gather appropriate decision makers, research biologists, conservation professionals and donors, in order to raise awareness of the Agreement, promote membership, debate regional priorities, stimulate international cooperation and develop project initiatives. Where possible, the workshops should be linked with those of other relevant CMS or partner-Convention/organization activities, so as to increase synergy and maximize cost-effectiveness.

Indicative budget: €50,000 per regional workshop
Duration: 1 per year
Activities: Regional workshop and follow-up

Being proposed for matching funding as an activity in the full project proposal of the GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways project to be launched in 2005.

38. Communicating the importance of a network of critical sites for migratory waterbirds

The network of critical sites that will be developed as an interactive and dynamic tool via a web portal, will gain enormously in power and practical applicability if it is published as a convincing booklet. It will serve additional audiences to what the web portal will achieve, such as policy makers, who are unlikely to have the time to access the information in the web, and people in areas where internet access is underdeveloped. Having a booklet to browse through will be an effective means of communicating the network of critical sites. In addition, awareness raising is needed, using the network of critical site information to make brochures, posters, flyers and to undertake other public relations activities, including organization of a session at the Global Flyway Conference in 2004.

Indicative budget: €100,000
Duration: 1 year
Activities: Editing, layout, printing, publishing, distribution, coordination, public relations activities

Being proposed for matching funding as an activity in the full project proposal of the GEF AEWA/Ramsar flyways project to be launched in 2005.